

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Number Theory





General Section

On the sum of squares of the middle-third Cantor set



Zhiqiang Wang^a, Kan Jiang^{b,*}, Wenxia Li^a, Bing Zhao^b

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 14 January 2020
Received in revised form 16 July 2020
Accepted 28 July 2020
Available online 13 August 2020
Communicated by F. Pellarin

MSC: primary 28A80 secondary 11K55

Keywords: Middle-third Cantor set Middle- $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ Cantor set Sum of four squares

ABSTRACT

Let C be the middle-third Cantor set. In this paper, we show that for every $x \in [0,4]$, there exist $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in C$ such that $x = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$, which was conjectured in Athreya et al. (2019) [1].

© 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The middle-third Cantor set

$$C = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{3^i} : \varepsilon_i \in \{0, 2\} \right\}$$

E-mail addresses: zhiqiangwzy@163.com (Z. Wang), jiangkan@nbu.edu.cn (K. Jiang), wxli@math.ecnu.edu.cn (W. Li), zhaobing@nbu.edu.cn (B. Zhao).

 ^a School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanghai Key Laboratory of PMMP, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, People's Republic of China
 ^b Department of Mathematics, Ningbo University, People's Republic of China

^{*} Corresponding author.

is a classical object in fractal geometry. The arithmetic on the middle-third Cantor set has been studied in [1,2,4,3,5,6,8]. The first classical result is that the set

$$C - C := \{x - y : x, y \in C\} \tag{1.1}$$

equals to the interval [-1,1]. The proof of (1.1) was first given by H. Steinhaus in 1917. The result was rediscovered by J. F. Randolph in 1940 [7]. Using the symmetry of C, we can deduce that

$$C + C = C + (1 - C) = 1 + (C - C) = [0, 2],$$

where $C + C := \{x + y : x, y \in C\}$. The multiplication and division on middle-third Cantor set were discussed in [1]. Athreya, Reznick and Tyson [1] proved that

$$\mathcal{L}(C \cdot C) \ge \frac{17}{21}$$
 and $\frac{C}{C} = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2}{3} \cdot 3^n, \frac{3}{2} \cdot 3^n \right] \cup \{0\},$

where $C \cdot C := \{xy : x, y \in C\}$, $\frac{C}{C} := \{\frac{x}{y} : x, y \in C, y \neq 0\}$ and \mathcal{L} denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Gu, Jiang, Xi and Zhao [3] gave the complete topological structure of $C \cdot C$. Moreover, they also proved that the Lebesgue measure of $C \cdot C$ is about 0.80955.

The main motivation of this paper is due to a conjecture posed by Athreya, Reznick and Tyson [1]. They conjectured $\{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 : x_i \in C\} = [0,4]$ and claimed that there is strong numerical evidence supporting it. In this paper, we will prove this conjecture.

Fixing $\alpha > 1$, let C_{α} (the middle- $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ Cantor set) be generated by the iterated function system $\Phi = \{f_1(x) = rx, f_2(x) = rx + 1 - r\}$ with $r = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$. Thus the classical middle-third Cantor set $C = C_3$. In the present paper we prove

Theorem 1.1. Let C_{α} be the middle- $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ Cantor set for $\alpha > 1$. Then

$$\{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 : x_i \in C_\alpha\} = [0, 4] \text{ if and only if } \alpha \ge 3.$$

The proof of the above theorem is similar to the case $\alpha = 3$. We only give an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1 for the middle-third Cantor set. Using the similarity of C, it suffices to prove that

$$(4/9,4] \subseteq f(C^4)$$

where the function f is defined by (2.2). This is shown in Lemma 3.1 and 3.2. The basic idea to find intervals contained in $f(C^4)$ is due to [1, Lemma 3]. Notice that for the sum of four squares, the calculation is complicated. We divide the sum of four squares into two parts as

$$f(C^4) = g(C^3) + \{x^2 : x \in C\},\$$

where the function g is defined by (2.1). We find some intervals contained in $g(C^3)$ and use the fourth number to translate these intervals so that they can cover the interval (4/9,4]. With similar discussions as Lemma 2.3 and 2.4, in Corollary 2.5 we give a concrete condition to find the following intervals contained in $g(C^3)$, i.e.

$$[44/81, 67/81] \cup [8/9, 3] \subset q(C^3).$$
 (1.2)

By the above inclusion, if we take $0, 1 \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$f(C^4) \supset g(C^3) \cup (g(C^3) + 1) \supset [8/9, 4].$$

It remains to prove that $f(C^4)$ can cover the points around 4/9. We divide the interval (4/9, 4/3] into the intervals of form

$$\left(\frac{4}{9} + \frac{8}{3^{2n+2}}, \frac{4}{9} + \frac{8}{3^{2n}}\right] \tag{1.3}$$

for every positive integer n. By the similarity of C and (1.2), we have that

$$\left[\frac{8}{3^{2n+2}}, \frac{27}{3^{2n+2}}\right] \cup \left[\frac{44}{3^{2n+2}}, \frac{67}{3^{2n+2}}\right] \subseteq g(C^3).$$

It remains to choose special points in C as translations such that the translations of the above two intervals cover the intervals of form (1.3) for any $n \geq 1$. More precisely, we can choose the points $2/3, 2/3 + 3^{-2n} \in C$ for the interval $[44 \cdot 3^{-2n-2}, 67 \cdot 3^{-2n-2}]$, and the points $2/3, 2/3 + 3^{-2n}, 2/3 + 2 \cdot 3^{-2n} \in C$ for the interval $[8 \cdot 3^{-2n-2}, 27 \cdot 3^{-2n-2}]$. These points motivate the choices of some special points for the general case C_{α} .

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we discuss the set $\{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 : x_i \in C_\alpha\}$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is arranged in the section 3.

2. Sum of three squares

As stated in the previous section, C_{α} is the unique nonempty compact set satisfying

$$C_{\alpha} = f_1(C_{\alpha}) \cup f_2(C_{\alpha}) = rC_{\alpha} \cup (rC_{\alpha} + 1 - r)$$

where $r = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$. It follows that if $x \in C_{\alpha}$, then $rx \in C_{\alpha}$. We will use this simple observation in Lemma 3.1. For each positive integer n let

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \{ f_{\sigma}([0,1]) : \sigma \in \{1,2\}^n \} \text{ and } F_n = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{F}_n} A,$$

where $f_{\sigma}(x) = f_{\sigma_1} \circ f_{\sigma_2} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\sigma_n}(x)$ for $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n \in \{1, 2\}^n$. Then the sequence $F_n, n = 1, 2, \cdots$, of nonempty compact sets is decreasing and

$$C_{\alpha} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\sigma \in \{1,2\}^n} f_{\sigma}([0,1]).$$

It is easy to see that for $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n \in \{1, 2\}^n$

$$f_{\sigma}(0) = \frac{1-r}{r} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\sigma_k - 1)r^k$$

and so

$$f_{\sigma}([0,1]) = [f_{\sigma}(0), f_{\sigma}(1)] = \left[\frac{1-r}{r} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\sigma_k - 1)r^k, \frac{1-r}{r} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\sigma_k - 1)r^k + r^n\right].$$

Each element of \mathcal{F}_n , called an n-level basic interval, has length r^n . For an n-level basic interval $f_{\sigma}([0,1])$, it contains two (n+1)-level basic intervals $f_{\sigma 1}([0,1])$ and $f_{\sigma 2}([0,1])$. The interval $f_{\sigma}([0,1])$ shares the same left endpoint with $f_{\sigma 1}([0,1])$, and shares the same right endpoint with $f_{\sigma 2}([0,1])$. The length of the open interval $f_{\sigma}([0,1]) \setminus (f_{\sigma 1}([0,1]) \cup f_{\sigma 2}([0,1]))$ is $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ times that of $f_{\sigma}([0,1])$.

Denote by L_n the collection of left endpoints of all *n*-level basic intervals. For $u \in L_n$, we associate u with an n-level basic interval

$$I_u = [u, u + r^n]$$

and two (n+1)-level basic intervals denoted by

$$I_{u,0} = [u, u + r^{n+1}], I_{u,1} = [u + (1-r)r^n, u + r^n].$$

The key to discuss the sum of squares of Cantor set is the following lemma, which is an easy exercise in real analysis and also appears as Lemma 2 in [1].

Lemma 2.1. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. If $\{K_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a decreasing sequence of nonempty compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^d , then

$$\varphi\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j\right) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(K_j).$$

Proof. Since $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j \subseteq K_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\varphi\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty}K_{j}\right)\subseteq\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty}\varphi(K_{j}).$$

Conversely, assume that $y \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(K_j)$. For every j, we can find $x_j \in K_j$ such that $\varphi(x_j) = y$. Since K_1 is compact, by Bolzano–Weierstrass Theorem, there is a convergent subsequence $x_{n_j} \to x$. Since φ is continuous, we have $\varphi(x) = y$. Note that the sequence $\{x_{n_j}\}_{j\geq m}$ is in K_m for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from compactness that $x \in K_m$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $y = \varphi(x) \in \varphi\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j\right)$, which completes the proof. \square

Define functions $g: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $f: \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$ by letting

$$g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$$
(2.1)

and

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = g(x_1, x_2, x_3) + x_4^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2.$$
 (2.2)

For a positive integer k and a nonempty set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, denote

$$A^k = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_k) : x_i \in A\}.$$

In order to show $f(C_{\alpha}^4) = [0,4]$, we need to discuss the set $g(C_{\alpha}^3)$ and find some intervals in $g(C_{\alpha}^3)$. Note that $C_{\alpha}^3 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n^3$. Applying Lemma 2.1 for the continuous function g, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. $g(C_{\alpha}^3) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} g(F_n^3)$.

If an interval $I \subseteq g(F_n^3)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $I \subseteq g(C_\alpha^3)$. The following two lemmas give a sufficient condition to find intervals in $g(C_\alpha^3)$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\alpha \geq 3$. For any $u, v, w \in L_n$, if

$$\max\{u, v, w\} > 0 \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$4(1-r)\max\{u,v,w\} \le 2(u+v+w) + (1+2r)r^n,\tag{2.4}$$

then

$$g(I_u \times I_v \times I_w) = g((I_{u,0} \cup I_{u,1}) \times (I_{v,0} \cup I_{v,1}) \times (I_{w,0} \cup I_{w,1})).$$

Proof. At first we have $r = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \in [1/3, 1/2)$ since $\alpha \geq 3$. Write $t = u^2 + v^2 + w^2$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $u \geq v \geq w$. By (2.3) we have u > 0 and so $u \geq f_{1^{n-1}2}(0) = (1-r)r^{n-1} > r^n$. In addition, (2.4) reduces to

$$2v + 2w + (1+2r)r^n \ge 2(1-2r)u. \tag{2.5}$$

It is routine to verify that

$$g(I_{u,1} \times I_{v,0} \times I_{w,0}) = [t + 2u(1-r)r^n + (1-r)^2r^{2n},$$

$$t + 2(u + rv + rw)r^n + (1 + 2r^2)r^{2n}],$$

$$g(I_{u,1} \times I_{v,0} \times I_{w,1}) = [t + 2(u + w)(1 - r)r^n + 2(1 - r)^2r^{2n},$$

$$t + 2(u + rv + w)r^n + (2 + r^2)r^{2n}],$$

$$g(I_{u,1} \times I_{v,1} \times I_{w,0}) = [t + 2(u + v)(1 - r)r^n + 2(1 - r)^2r^{2n},$$

$$t + 2(u + v + rw)r^n + (2 + r^2)r^{2n}],$$

and

$$g(I_{u,1} \times I_{v,1} \times I_{w,1}) = [t + 2(u+v+w)(1-r)r^n + 3(1-r)^2r^{2n},$$

$$t + 2(u+v+w)r^n + 3r^{2n}].$$

Note that

$$t + 2(u + rv + rw)r^{n} + (1 + 2r^{2})r^{2n}$$
$$- (t + 2(u + w)(1 - r)r^{n} + 2(1 - r)^{2}r^{2n})$$
$$= 2(ru + rv + 2rw - w)r^{n} + (4r - 1)r^{2n}$$
$$\ge 2(4r - 1)wr^{n} + (4r - 1)r^{2n} > 0,$$

and

$$t + 2(u + rv + w)r^{n} + (2 + r^{2})r^{2n}$$

$$- (t + 2(u + v)(1 - r)r^{n} + 2(1 - r)^{2}r^{2n})$$

$$= 2(ru + 2rv - v + w)r^{n} + (4 - r)r^{2n+1}$$

$$> 2(3r - 1)vr^{n} + (4 - r)r^{2n+1} > 0.$$

and

$$t + 2(u + v + rw)r^{n} + (2 + r^{2})r^{2n}$$

$$- (t + 2(u + v + w)(1 - r)r^{n} + 3(1 - r)^{2}r^{2n})$$

$$= 2(ru + rv + 2rw - w)r^{n} + (6r - 2r^{2} - 1)r^{2n}$$

$$\ge 2(4r - 1)wr^{n} + (6r - 2r^{2} - 1)r^{2n} > 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$g(I_{u,1} \times (I_{v,0} \cup I_{v,1}) \times (I_{w,0} \cup I_{w,1}))$$

$$= [t + 2u(1-r)r^n + (1-r)^2r^{2n}, t + 2(u+v+w)r^n + 3r^{2n}].$$
(2.6)

It is also routine to verify that

$$g(I_{u,0} \times I_{v,0} \times I_{w,0}) = [t, t + 2(u + v + w)r^{n+1} + 3r^{2n+2}],$$

$$g(I_{u,0} \times I_{v,0} \times I_{w,1}) = [t + 2w(1 - r)r^n + (1 - r)^2r^{2n},$$

$$t + 2(ru + rv + w)r^n + (1 + 2r^2)r^{2n}],$$

$$g(I_{u,0} \times I_{v,1} \times I_{w,0}) = [t + 2v(1 - r)r^n + (1 - r)^2r^{2n},$$

$$t + 2(ru + v + rw)r^n + (1 + 2r^2)r^{2n}],$$

and

$$g(I_{u,0} \times I_{v,1} \times I_{w,1}) = [t + 2(v+w)(1-r)r^n + 2(1-r)^2r^{2n},$$

$$t + 2(ru+v+w)r^n + (2+r^2)r^{2n}].$$

Since $u > r^n$, we have

$$t + 2(u + v + w)r^{n+1} + 3r^{2n+2} - (t + 2w(1 - r)r^n + (1 - r)^2r^{2n})$$

$$= 2(ru + rv + 2rw - w)r^n + (2r^2 + 2r - 1)r^{2n}$$

$$\geq 2(3r - 1)wr^n + 2ur^{n+1} + (2r - 1)r^{2n}$$

$$> 2(3r - 1)wr^n + (4r - 1)r^{2n} > 0,$$

and

$$t + 2(ru + rv + w)r^{n} + (1 + 2r^{2})r^{2n} - (t + 2v(1 - r)r^{n} + (1 - r)^{2}r^{2n})$$

$$= 2(ru + 2rv - v + w)r^{n} + (r + 2)r^{2n+1}$$

$$> 2(3r - 1)vr^{n} + (r + 2)r^{2n+1} > 0.$$

and

$$t + 2(ru + v + rw)r^{n} + (1 + 2r^{2})r^{2n}$$
$$- (t + 2(v + w)(1 - r)r^{n} + 2(1 - r)^{2}r^{2n})$$
$$= 2(ru + rv + 2rw - w)r^{n} + (4r - 1)r^{2n}$$
$$\ge 2(4r - 1)wr^{n} + (4r - 1)r^{2n} > 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$g(I_{u,0} \times (I_{v,0} \cup I_{v,1}) \times (I_{w,0} \cup I_{w,1}))$$

$$= [t, t + 2(ru + v + w)r^{n} + (2 + r^{2})r^{2n}].$$
(2.7)

It follows from condition (2.5) that

$$t + 2(ru + v + w)r^{n} + (2 + r^{2})r^{2n} \ge t + 2u(1 - r)r^{n} + (1 - r)^{2}r^{2n}.$$

Thus, the intervals in (2.6) and (2.7) overlap and so

$$g((I_{u,0} \cup I_{u,1}) \times (I_{v,0} \cup I_{v,1}) \times (I_{w,0} \cup I_{w,1})) = [t, t + 2(u + v + w)r^n + 3r^{2n}].$$

Note that

$$g(I_u \times I_v \times I_w) = \left[t, \ t + 2(u+v+w)r^n + 3r^{2n}\right].$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$g(I_u \times I_v \times I_w) = g((I_{u,0} \cup I_{u,1}) \times (I_{v,0} \cup I_{v,1}) \times (I_{w,0} \cup I_{w,1})),$$

as desired. \Box

Lemma 2.4. Let $\alpha \geq 3$. For any $u, v, w \in L_n$, if

$$2(1-r)\max\{u,v,w\} + (1-2r)r^n \le u+v+w,\tag{2.8}$$

then

$$g(I_u \times I_v \times I_w) \subseteq g(C_\alpha^3).$$

Proof. Note that the condition (2.8) implies (2.3) and (2.4).

For $k \geq n$, we define

$$\mathcal{F}_{1,k} = \{ I \in \mathcal{F}_k : I \subseteq I_u \}, \ \mathcal{F}_{2,k} = \{ I \in \mathcal{F}_k : I \subseteq I_v \}, \ \mathcal{F}_{3,k} = \{ I \in \mathcal{F}_k : I \subseteq I_w \},$$

and

$$F_{1,k} = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{F}_{1,k}} A, \quad F_{2,k} = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{F}_{2,k}} A, \quad F_{3,k} = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{F}_{3,k}} A.$$

By Corollary 2.2, it suffices to show that for $k \geq n$,

$$g(I_u \times I_v \times I_w) \subseteq g(F_{1,k} \times F_{1,k} \times F_{1,k}). \tag{2.9}$$

We now prove it by induction on k.

When k = n, we have $F_{1,n} = I_u$, $F_{2,n} = I_v$, $F_{3,n} = I_w$, and thus

$$g(I_u \times I_v \times I_w) \subseteq g(F_{1,n} \times F_{2,n} \times F_{3,n}).$$

Next, assume that (2.9) is true for some $m \geq n$, i.e.,

$$g(I_u \times I_v \times I_w) \subseteq g(F_{1,m} \times F_{2,m} \times F_{3,m}). \tag{2.10}$$

Then, taking $x \in g(I_u \times I_v \times I_w)$, it follows from (2.10) that there exist $u', v', w' \in L_m$ such that

$$I_{u'} \subseteq I_u$$
, $I_{v'} \subseteq I_v$, $I_{w'} \subseteq I_w$ and $x \in q(I_{u'} \times I_{v'} \times I_{w'})$.

Now condition (2.8) implies that $\max\{u, v, w\} > 0$, and thus

$$\max\{u', v', w'\} > 0.$$

Moreover, it follows from (2.8) that

$$(1-2r)\max\{u',v',w'\} \le (1-2r)\max\{u,v,w\} + (1-2r)r^n$$

$$\le u+v+w-\max\{u,v,w\}$$

$$\le u'+v'+w'-\max\{u',v',w'\},$$

where the last inequality holds because the function

$$\psi(x, y, z) = x + y + z - \max\{x, y, z\},\$$

i.e. the sum of two smallest elements among x, y, z, is increasing in its components. Therefore,

$$2(1-r)\max\{u',v',w'\} \le u' + v' + w'.$$

Thus, applying Lemma 2.3, there exist $i, j, \ell \in \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$x \in g(I_{u',i} \times I_{v',j} \times I_{w',\ell}).$$

Obviously, we have $I_{u',i} \in \mathcal{F}_{1,m+1}$, $I_{v',j} \in \mathcal{F}_{1,m+1}$ and $I_{w',\ell} \in \mathcal{F}_{1,m+1}$. Therefore,

$$x \in g(F_{1,m+1} \times F_{2,m+1} \times F_{3,m+1}).$$

This shows that (2.9) is true for k = m + 1. \square

Corollary 2.5. For $\alpha \geq 3$,

$$[a, b] \cup [2(1-r)^2, 3] \subseteq g(C_{\alpha}^3),$$

where $a = 2r^4 - 4r^3 + 3r^2 - 2r + 1$ and $b = r^4 - 2r^3 + 5r^2 - 2r + 1$.

Proof. Note that

$$g([0,r] \times [1-r,1] \times [1-r,1]) \cup g([1-r,1] \times [1-r,1] \times [1-r,1])$$

= $[2(1-r)^2, 2+r^2] \cup [3(1-r)^2, 3] = [2(1-r)^2, 3]$

and

$$g([r-r^2,r] \times [r-r^2,r] \times [1-r,1-r+r^2]) = [a,b].$$

We claim that the intervals

$$g([0,r]\times[1-r,1]\times[1-r,1]), g([1-r,1]\times[1-r,1]\times[1-r,1]),$$

and

$$g\left(\left\lceil r-r^2,r\right\rceil\times\left\lceil r-r^2,r\right\rceil\times\left\lceil 1-r,1-r+r^2\right\rceil\right)$$

are all included in $g(C^3_{\alpha})$. Note that the intervals [0,r], [1-r,1] are 1-level basic intervals and $[r-r^2,r], [1-r,1-r+r^2]$ are 2-level basic intervals. By Lemma 2.4 these just are done by checking condition (2.8) respectively for n=1 and n=2. In fact, we have

$$2(1-r)\cdot(1-r) + (1-2r)r - 2(1-r) = -r < 0,$$

$$2(1-r)\cdot(1-r) + (1-2r)r - 3(1-r) = -1 < 0,$$

and

$$2(1-r) \cdot (1-r) + (1-2r)r^2 - (2(r-r^2) + (1-r))$$
$$= -2r^3 + 5r^2 - 5r + 1 = -r(2r-1)(r-2) - (3r-1) < 0. \quad \Box$$

3. The proof of Theorem 1.1

For $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $t \cdot E = \{tx : x \in E\}$.

Lemma 3.1. If $E \subseteq f(C^4_\alpha)$, then $r^2 \cdot E \subseteq f(C^4_\alpha)$. Similarly, if $E \subseteq g(C^3_\alpha)$, then $r^2 \cdot E \subseteq g(C^3_\alpha)$.

Proof. Assume that $E \subseteq f(C_{\alpha}^4)$. For $x \in E$, there are $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in C_{\alpha}$ such that $x = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$. Then $r^2x = (rx_1)^2 + (rx_2)^2 + (rx_3)^2 + (rx_4)^2 \in f(C_{\alpha}^4)$. It follows that $r^2 \cdot E \subseteq f(C_{\alpha}^4)$.

Similarly, the result for $g(C^3_{\alpha})$ can be proved. \square

Lemma 3.2. $f(C_{\alpha}^4) = [0, 4]$ if and only if $(4r^2, 4] \subseteq f(C_{\alpha}^4)$.

Proof. Note that

$$0 \in f(C_{\alpha}^4) \text{ and } (0,4] = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{2n} \cdot (4r^2,4].$$

The sufficiency follows from Lemma 3.1. \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

The proof of Theorem 1.1. For $1 < \alpha < 3$, we have $0 < r < \frac{1}{3}$, which implies $4r^2 < (1-r)^2$. Note that C_{α} is contained in $[0,r] \cup [1-r,r]$. Assume that $x = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$ with $x_j \in C_{\alpha}$. If all x_j are contained in the interval [0,r], then $x \leq 4r^2$; otherwise $x \geq (1-r)^2$. Thus,

$$(4r^2, (1-r)^2) \cap f(C_{\alpha}^4) = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show $f(C_{\alpha}^4) = [0, 4]$ when $\alpha \geq 3$.

Assume that $\alpha \geq 3$. Note that $\frac{1}{3} \leq r < \frac{1}{2}$. Then we have $(1-r)^2 \leq 4r^2$. By Lemma 3.2, it suffices to prove that

$$((1-r)^2, 4] \subseteq f(C_{\alpha}^4).$$
 (3.1)

In Corollary 2.5, we have $[2(1-r)^2, 3] \subseteq g(C_{\alpha}^3)$. Thus

$$f(C_{\alpha}^4) \supseteq f(C_{\alpha}^3 \times \{0,1\}) = (g(C_{\alpha}^3) + 0^2) \cup (g(C_{\alpha}^3) + 1^2) \supseteq \left[2(1-r)^2, 4\right]$$
(3.2)

Applying Corollary 2.5 and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$g(C_{\alpha}^3) \supseteq [ar^{2n}, br^{2n}] \cup [2(1-r)^2r^{2n}, 3 \cdot r^{2n}] \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (3.3)

where a, b are given in Corollary 2.5. For each positive integer n, since $1 - r, 1 - r + r^{2n} \in C_{\alpha}$, it follows that

$$f(C_{\alpha}^{4}) \supseteq f\left(C_{\alpha}^{3} \times \left\{1 - r, 1 - r + r^{2n}\right\}\right)$$

= $\left(g(C_{\alpha}^{3}) + (1 - r)^{2}\right) \cup \left(g(C_{\alpha}^{3}) + (1 - r + r^{2n})^{2}\right)$.

Using (3.3), we have that

$$f(C_{\alpha}^{4}) \supseteq [ar^{2n-2} + (1-r)^{2}, br^{2n-2} + (1-r)^{2}]$$

$$\cup [ar^{2n-2} + (1-r+r^{2n})^{2}, br^{2n-2} + (1-r+r^{2n})^{2}]$$

$$= [ar^{2n-2} + (1-r)^{2}, br^{2n-2} + (1-r+r^{2n})^{2}]$$

$$\supseteq [ar^{2n-2} + (1-r)^{2}, (b+2r^{2}-2r^{3})r^{2n-2} + (1-r)^{2}]$$
(3.4)

where the last equality and the last inclusion hold because

$$br^{2n-2} + (1-r)^2 - (ar^{2n-2} + (1-r+r^{2n})^2)$$

$$= (b-a)r^{2n-2} - 2(1-r)r^{2n} - r^{4n}$$

$$= (4r - r^2 - r^{2n})r^{2n} > (4r - 2r^2)r^{2n} > 0.$$

and

$$br^{2n-2} + (1-r+r^{2n})^2 - ((b+2r^2-2r^3)r^{2n-2} + (1-r)^2) = r^{4n} > 0.$$

For each positive integer n, by virtue of the fact

$$1 - r, 1 - r + r^{2n}, 1 - r + r^{2n-1} - r^{2n} \in C_{\alpha},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{split} f(C_{\alpha}^4) &\supseteq f\left(C_{\alpha}^3 \times \left\{1-r, 1-r+r^{2n}, 1-r+r^{2n-1}-r^{2n}\right\}\right) \\ &= \left(g(C_{\alpha}^3) + (1-r)^2\right) \cup \left(g(C_{\alpha}^3) + (1-r+r^{2n})^2\right) \\ &\quad \cup \left(g(C_{\alpha}^3) + (1-r+r^{2n-1}-r^{2n})^2\right). \end{split}$$

In terms of (3.3), we have that

$$f(C_{\alpha}^{4}) \supseteq [2(1-r)^{2}r^{2n} + (1-r)^{2}, 3r^{2n} + (1-r)^{2}]$$

$$\cup [2(1-r)^{2}r^{2n} + (1-r+r^{2n})^{2}, 3r^{2n} + (1-r+r^{2n})^{2}]$$

$$\cup [2(1-r)^{2}r^{2n} + (1-r+r^{2n-1}-r^{2n})^{2},$$

$$3r^{2n} + (1-r+r^{2n-1}-r^{2n})^{2}]$$

$$= [2(1-r)^{2}r^{2n} + (1-r)^{2}, 3r^{2n} + (1-r+r^{2n-1}-r^{2n})^{2}]$$

$$\supseteq [2(1-r)^{2}r^{2n} + (1-r)^{2}, (2-r+2r^{2})r^{2n-1} + (1-r)^{2}]$$

$$(3.5)$$

where the last equality and inclusion hold because

$$3r^{2n} + (1-r)^2 - (2(1-r)^2r^{2n} + (1-r+r^{2n})^2)$$

$$= (6r - 2r^2 - 1 - r^{2n})r^{2n}$$

$$\geq (6r - 3r^2 - 1)r^{2n} = [3(1-r)r + 3r - 1]r^{2n} > 0,$$

$$3r^{2n} + (1-r+r^{2n})^2 - (2(1-r)^2r^{2n} + (1-r+r^{2n-1}-r^{2n})^2)$$

$$= -2r^{2n-1} + 7r^{2n} - 2r^{2n+2} - r^{4n-2} + 2r^{4n-1}$$

$$= 2(3r - 1)r^{2n-1} + (1 - 2r^2)r^{2n} - r^{4n-2} + 2r^{4n-1}$$

$$\geq 2(3r - 1)r^{2n-1} + r^{2n+1} - r^{4n-2} + 2r^{4n-1}$$

$$\geq 2(3r - 1)r^{2n-1} - r^{4n-2} + 3r^{4n-1}$$

$$= 2(3r - 1)r^{2n-1} + (3r - 1)r^{4n-2} \geq 0,$$

and

$$3r^{2n} + (1 - r + r^{2n-1} - r^{2n})^2 - ((2 - r + 2r^2)r^{2n-1} + (1 - r)^2) = (r^{2n-1} - r^{2n})^2 > 0.$$

Note that

$$a - (2 - r + 2r^{2})r = 2r^{4} - 6r^{3} + 4r^{2} - 4r + 1$$
$$= (2r - 1)r^{3} - (5r^{2} - 4r + 1)r - (3r - 1) < 0,$$

which implies that the intervals in (3.4) and (3.5) overlap. It follows that for each positive integer n,

$$f(C_{\alpha}^{4}) \supseteq [2(1-r)^{2}r^{2n} + (1-r)^{2}, (b+2r^{2}-2r^{3})r^{2n-2} + (1-r)^{2}]$$
$$\supseteq [2(1-r)^{2}r^{2n} + (1-r)^{2}, 2(1-r)^{2}r^{2n-2} + (1-r)^{2}],$$

where the last inclusion holds because

$$(b+2r^2-2r^3)-2(1-r)^2=r^4-4r^3+5r^2+2r-1$$

= $r^4+2r^2(1-2r)+(3r-1)(r+1)>0$.

Therefore,

$$f(C_{\alpha}^{4}) \supseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [(1-r)^{2}(1+2r^{2n}), (1-r)^{2}(1+2r^{2n-2})]$$

$$= ((1-r)^{2}, 3(1-r)^{2}].$$
(3.6)

By (3.2) and (3.6), we have

$$f(C_{\alpha}^4) \supseteq ((1-r)^2, 3(1-r)^2] \cup [2(1-r)^2, 4] = ((1-r)^2, 4],$$

obtaining (3.1). \square

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Zhiqiang Wang: Original draft preparation, find a solution. Kan Jiang: Find a solution. Wenxia Li: Supervision. Bing Zhao: Software.

Acknowledgments

The second author was supported by NSFC No. 11701302 and K.C. Wong Magna Fund in Ningbo University. The second author was also supported by Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China with No. LY20A010009. The third author was supported by NSFC No. 11671147, 11971097 and Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (STCSM) No. 13dz2260400.

References

- Jayadev S. Athreya, Bruce Reznick, Jeremy T. Tyson, Cantor set arithmetic, Am. Math. Mon. 126 (1) (2019) 4–17.
- [2] Carlos A. Cabrelli, Kathryn E. Hare, Ursula M. Molter, Sums of Cantor sets, Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst. 17 (6) (1997) 1299–1313.
- [3] Jiangwen Gu, Kan Jiang, Lifeng Xi, Bing Zhao, Multiplication on uniform λ -Cantor sets, arXiv: 1910.08303, 2019.
- [4] Kan Jiang, Lifeng Xi, Interiors of continuous images of the middle-third Cantor set, arXiv:1809.01880, 2018.
- [5] Pedro Mendes, Fernando Oliveira, On the topological structure of the arithmetic sum of two Cantor sets, Nonlinearity 7 (2) (1994) 329–343.
- [6] Marta Pawłowicz, Linear combinations of the classic Cantor set, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. 56 (2013) 47–60.
- [7] J.F. Randolph, Distances between points of the Cantor set, Am. Math. Mon. 47 (1940) 549–551.
- [8] W.R. Utz, The distance set for the Cantor discontinuum, Am. Math. Mon. 58 (1951) 407–408.