

λ -RING STRUCTURE IN DIFFERENTIAL K-THEORY

BO LIU AND XIAONAN MA

ABSTRACT. We prove that any differential K^0 -ring for closed manifolds admits a λ -ring structure.

0. INTRODUCTION

The notion of λ -rings was first introduced by Grothendieck [9] in 1956 and later studied by Atiyah and Tall [2]; see also [3].

For a commutative ring R with identity, a λ -structure on R consists of a countable family of maps $\lambda^n : R \rightarrow R$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfying two sets of conditions (see Definition 1.2). If only a subset of these conditions is satisfied, we refer to it as a pre- λ -ring structure (Definition 1.1). The λ -ring structure is closely related to Adams operations: if R is a λ -ring, one can associate naturally Adams operations. Conversely, for a pre- λ -ring R , with compatible Adams operations, if R is torsion free, the pre- λ -ring structure of R is a λ -ring structure (Theorem 1.3).

It is well known that, although the topological K-ring is not torsion free, it carries a natural λ -ring structure [2]. The corresponding Adams operations plays a key role in the proof of Adams' celebrated theorem on the Hopf invariant [1].

In [8], Gillet and Soulé introduced arithmetic K-theory in Arakelov geometry. This theory extends Grothendieck's K-theory by adding conjugation-invariant Hermitian metrics on homomorphic vector bundles over the complex points and differential forms of type (p, p) modulo $\text{Im}\partial + \text{Im}\bar{\partial}$. A pre- λ -ring structure on the arithmetic K-ring was constructed in [8], which was later shown by Roessler [14] to be a λ -ring structure.

Differential K-theory, introduced by Freed-Hopkins [6] and developed further by Hopkins-Singer [10], Simons-Sullivan [16], Bunke-Schick [5], Freed-Lott [7], etc., provides a smooth analogue of arithmetic K-theory. It extends topological K-theory by adding Hermitian metrics and connections on complex vector bundles and differential forms modulo exact forms. In [4], Bunke defined Adams operations on the differential K-ring directly in a sophisticated topological way and obtained an associated Adams-Riemann-Roch theorem. In [13], the authors explicitly constructed a pre- λ -structure on the differential K-ring explicitly, which plays important role in their work on the localization formula for η -invariants. In this paper, we prove in Theorem 2.4 that this pre- λ -structure is in fact a λ -ring structure, and we show that the corresponding Adams operations yield a constructive realization of those defined in [4].

1. λ -RING STRUCTURE

Definition 1.1. [3, (1.1)-(1.3)] For a commutative ring R with identity, a pre- λ -ring structure is defined by a countable set of maps $\lambda^n : R \rightarrow R$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x, y \in R$,

- (1) $\lambda^0(x) = 1$;
- (2) $\lambda^1(x) = x$;
- (3) $\lambda^n(x + y) = \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda^j(x)\lambda^{n-j}(y)$.

If R has a pre- λ -ring structure, we call it a pre- λ -ring.

Remark that in [2, §1] the pre- λ -ring here is called the λ -ring.

Let R be a pre- λ -ring. If t is an indeterminate, we define for $x \in R$,

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda_t(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^n(x)t^n.$$

Then the relations (1) and (3) show that λ_t is a homomorphism from the additive group of R into the multiplicative group $1 + R[[t]]^+$, of formal power series in t with constant term 1, i.e.,

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda_t(x + y) = \lambda_t(x)\lambda_t(y) \quad \text{for any } x, y \in R.$$

Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r$ be indeterminates and let s_i and σ_i be the i -th elementary symmetric functions in ξ_1, \dots, ξ_q and ζ_1, \dots, ζ_r respectively. Let $P_n(s_1, \dots, s_n; \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ be the coefficient of t^n in $\prod_{i,j}(1 + \xi_i\zeta_j t)$. Let $P_{n,m}(s_1, \dots, s_{nm})$ be the coefficient of t^n in

$$\prod_{i_1 < \dots < i_m} (1 + \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_m} t).$$

We may assume that $r, q \geq n$ for P_n and $q \geq nm$ for $P_{n,m}$. Easy to see that P_n is a polynomial with weight n in $\{s_i\}$ and also weight n in $\{\sigma_i\}$, $P_{n,m}$ is a polynomial with weight nm in $\{s_i\}$. Both P_n and $P_{n,m}$ have integer coefficients and so may be defined in any commutative ring.

Let A be any commutative ring with identity. By [2, Lemma 1.1], $1 + A[[t]]^+$ is a pre- λ -ring with new operations $(\tilde{+}, \tilde{\times}, \tilde{\lambda}^n)$ (with 1 is the “zero” and $1 + t$ is the “identity”) defined as follows: for $a_i, b_j \in A$,

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(1 + \sum a_n t^n\right) \tilde{+} \left(1 + \sum b_n t^n\right) &:= \left(1 + \sum a_n t^n\right) \cdot \left(1 + \sum b_n t^n\right), \\ \left(1 + \sum a_n t^n\right) \tilde{\times} \left(1 + \sum b_n t^n\right) &:= 1 + \sum P_n(a_1, \dots, a_n; b_1, \dots, b_n) t^n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad \tilde{\lambda}^m \left(1 + \sum a_n t^n\right) = 1 + \sum P_{n,m}(a_1, \dots, a_{nm}) t^n.$$

Definition 1.2. [2, Definition 1.2] We say a pre- λ -ring structure of R is a λ -ring structure if $\lambda_t : R \rightarrow 1 + R[[t]]^+$ is a homomorphism of pre- λ -rings, i.e., for any $x, y \in R$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(1.5) \quad \lambda_t(x + y) = \lambda_t(x) \tilde{+} \lambda_t(y), \quad \lambda_t(xy) = \lambda_t(x) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t(y), \quad \lambda_t(\lambda^n(x)) = \tilde{\lambda}^n(\lambda_t(x)),$$

which reads that for any $x, y \in R$,

$$(1.6) \quad \lambda^n(xy) = P_n(\lambda^1(x), \dots, \lambda^n(x); \lambda^1(y), \dots, \lambda^n(y)), \quad \text{i.e., } \lambda_t(xy) = \lambda_t(x) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t(y),$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad \lambda^m(\lambda^n(x)) = P_{m,n}(\lambda^1(x), \dots, \lambda^{mn}(x)), \text{ i.e., } \lambda_t(\lambda^n(x)) = \tilde{\lambda}^n(\lambda_t(x)).$$

If R has a λ -ring structure, we call it a λ -ring.

If R is a λ -ring, from (1.7) for $n = 0$, we get

$$(1.8) \quad \lambda_t(1) = 1 + t.$$

Note that in [2] the λ -ring here is called the special λ -ring. The following theorem (see [12, p.49] or [3, §V, Appendice]) is a convenient criterion to verify whether a pre- λ -ring is in fact a λ -ring.

Theorem 1.3. *Let R be a pre- λ -ring. We assume that R is torsion free, that is, for any $r \in R$, $r \neq 0$ and any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $nr = \underbrace{r + \dots + r}_n \neq 0$. Let $\Psi^n : R \rightarrow R$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be the Adams operations defined by*

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \log \lambda_t(a) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^n \Psi^{n+1}(a) t^n, \quad \text{for all } a \in R.$$

Suppose that for any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $a, b \in R$, we have

$$(1.10) \quad \Psi^n(1) = 1, \quad \Psi^n(ab) = \Psi^n(a)\Psi^n(b), \quad \Psi^n(\Psi^m(a)) = \Psi^{nm}(a).$$

Then the pre- λ -ring structure of R is a λ -ring structure.

2. DIFFERENTIAL K-THEORY

Let B be a closed manifold. Let $\underline{E} = (E, h^E, \nabla^E)$ be a triple which consists of a complex vector bundle E over B , a Hermitian metric h^E on E and a Hermitian connection ∇^E on (E, h^E) . As in [13], we call such \underline{E} a geometric triple on B .

For a geometric triple \underline{E} on B , denote by $R^E := (\nabla^E)^2$ the curvature on E . The Chern character form (cf. e.g., [17, (1.22)])

$$(2.1) \quad \text{ch}(\underline{E}) := \text{Tr} \left[\exp \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} R^E \right) \right] \in \Omega^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R}).$$

For two geometric triples \underline{E}_0 and \underline{E}_1 , which have the same underlying vector bundle E , for the obvious projection $\pi : B \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow B$, there exists geometric triple $\underline{\pi^*E}$ on $B \times \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$(2.2) \quad \underline{\pi^*E}|_{B \times \{j\}} = \underline{E}_j, \quad j = 0, 1.$$

For $\alpha = \alpha_0 + ds \wedge \alpha_1 \in \Lambda^\bullet(T^*(B \times \mathbb{R}))$ with $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \Lambda^\bullet(T^*B)$, we denote by $\{\alpha\}^{ds} := \alpha_1$. Thus the Chern-Simons form (cf. e.g., [15, Definition B.5.3])

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{\text{ch}}(\underline{E}_0, \underline{E}_1) := \int_0^1 \{\text{ch}(\underline{\pi^*E})\}^{ds} ds \in \Omega^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d.$$

It is independent of the choice of $\underline{\pi^*E}$ and satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad d \tilde{\text{ch}}(\underline{E}_0, \underline{E}_1) = \text{ch}(\underline{E}_1) - \text{ch}(\underline{E}_0).$$

Definition 2.1. [7, Definition 2.16] A cycle for the differential K-group of B is a pair (\underline{E}, ϕ) where $\underline{E} = (E, h^E, \nabla^E)$ is a geometric triple on B and ϕ is an element in $\Omega^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d$. We say two cycles $(\underline{E}_1, \phi_1)$ and $(\underline{E}_2, \phi_2)$ are equivalent if there exist a geometric triple $\underline{E}_3 = (E_3, h^{E_3}, \nabla^{E_3})$ and a vector bundle isomorphism over B

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi : E_1 \oplus E_3 \rightarrow E_2 \oplus E_3$$

such that

$$(2.6) \quad \widetilde{\text{ch}}(\underline{E}_1 \oplus \underline{E}_3, \Phi^*(\underline{E}_2 \oplus \underline{E}_3)) = \phi_2 - \phi_1.$$

We define the sum of the cycles in the natural way and denote by $\widehat{K}^0(B)$ the Grothendieck group of equivalence classes of cycles.

Denote by $[\underline{E}, \phi] \in \widehat{K}^0(B)$ the equivalence class of a cycle (\underline{E}, ϕ) . For $[\underline{E}, \phi], [\underline{F}, \psi] \in \widehat{K}^0(B)$, set

$$(2.7) \quad [\underline{E}, \phi] \cup [\underline{F}, \psi] = [\underline{E} \otimes \underline{F}, \text{ch}(\underline{E}) \wedge \psi + \phi \wedge \text{ch}(\underline{F}) - d\phi \wedge \psi].$$

This product (2.7) on $\widehat{K}^0(B)$ is well-defined, associative and commutative ([11, Proposition 4.79]). Thus $(\widehat{K}^0(B), +, \cup)$ is a commutative ring with unity $[\underline{\mathbb{C}}, 0]$, where $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the trivial line bundle over B with trivial metric and connection.

We denote by $Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ the vector space of even degree closed differential forms on B . Consider the vector space

$$(2.8) \quad \Gamma(B) := Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R}) \oplus (\Omega^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d).$$

We give degree $l \geq 0$ to $Z^{2l}(B, \mathbb{R}) \oplus (\Omega^{2l-1}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d)$ with $\Omega^{-1}(\cdot) = \{0\}$. We define the multiplication on $\Gamma(B)$ by the formula

$$(2.9) \quad (\omega_1, \phi_1) * (\omega_2, \phi_2) := (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2, \omega_1 \wedge \phi_2 + \phi_1 \wedge \omega_2 - d\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2),$$

which is commutative and associative. Then $\Gamma(B)$ is a ring with unity $(1, 0)$ which is torsion free. We define the Adams operation $\Psi_{\Gamma}^k : \Gamma(B) \rightarrow \Gamma(B)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$(2.10) \quad \Psi_{\Gamma}^k(\alpha, \beta) = (k^l \alpha, k^l \beta) \quad \text{for } (\alpha, \beta) \in Z^{2l}(B, \mathbb{R}) \oplus (\Omega^{2l-1}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d).$$

Then the relation

$$(2.11) \quad \lambda_t(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda_{\Gamma}^n(x) t^n := \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \Psi_{\Gamma}^k(x) t^k}{k} \right)$$

gives a pre- λ -ring structure on $\Gamma(B)$ (cf. [13, (2.4)]). For $\alpha \in Z^{2l}(B, \mathbb{R})$, the map $\Psi_{\mathbb{Z}}^k(\alpha) := k^l \alpha$ also defines Adams operations $\Psi_{\mathbb{Z}}^k : Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. It induces a pre- λ -ring structure $\lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^n : Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ on $Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ as in (2.11). From [13, Lemma 2.3], the restriction map $p : \Gamma(B) \rightarrow Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ is a homomorphism of pre- λ -rings.

Lemma 2.2. *The pre- λ -ring structures on $\Gamma(B)$ and $Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ are λ -ring structures. Moreover the restriction map $p : \Gamma(B) \rightarrow Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ is a homomorphism of λ -rings.*

Proof. Since $\Gamma(B)$ (resp. $Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$) is torsion free and the Adams operations Ψ_{Γ}^k (resp. $\Psi_{\mathbb{Z}}^k$) satisfy (1.9) and (1.10), from Theorem 1.3, the pre- λ -ring structure on $\Gamma(B)$ (resp.

$Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ is a λ -ring structure. Moreover, since $p \circ \Psi_{\Gamma}^k = \Psi_Z^k \circ p$, by (2.11) and the corresponding definition for λ_Z^n , the restriction map $p : \Gamma(B) \rightarrow Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ is a homomorphism of λ -rings. The proof of Lemma 2.2 is complete. \square

Let (\underline{E}, ϕ) and (\underline{F}, ψ) be cycles of $\widehat{K}^0(B)$. Then by (2.7) and (2.9),

$$(2.12) \quad (\underline{E}, \phi) \cup (\underline{F}, \psi) = \left(\underline{E} \otimes \underline{F}, [(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi) * (\text{ch}(\underline{F}), \psi)]_{\text{odd}} \right),$$

where $[\cdot]_{\text{odd}}$ (resp. $[\cdot]_{\text{even}}$) is the component of $\Gamma(B)$ in $\Omega^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d$ (resp. $Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$).

From (2.9) and (2.11), for $\omega \in Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$, $\phi \in \Omega^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d$, we have

$$(2.13) \quad [\lambda_{\Gamma}^k(\omega, 0)]_{\text{odd}} = 0, \quad [\lambda_{\Gamma}^k(0, \phi)]_{\text{even}} = 0.$$

Note that from [13, Lemma 2.3, (2.11), (2.33) and (2.47)], we have

$$(2.14) \quad [\lambda_{\Gamma}^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi)]_{\text{even}} = \text{ch}(\Lambda^k(\underline{E})),$$

here $\Lambda^k(\underline{E})$ denotes the k -th exterior algebra bundle of E with corresponding metric and connection induced from \underline{E} . Set

$$(2.15) \quad \lambda^k(\underline{E}, \phi) := (\Lambda^k(\underline{E}), [\lambda_{\Gamma}^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi)]_{\text{odd}}).$$

By (2.13) and (2.15),

$$(2.16) \quad \lambda^k(\underline{0}, \phi) = (\underline{0}, [\lambda_{\Gamma}^k(0, \phi)]_{\text{odd}}), \quad \lambda^k(\underline{E}, 0) := (\Lambda^k(\underline{E}), 0).$$

From the proof of [13, Theorem 2.6], the map λ^k in (2.15) induces a well-defined map $\lambda^k : \widehat{K}^0(B) \rightarrow \widehat{K}^0(B)$. Moreover by [13, Theorem 2.6], (2.15) induces a pre- λ -ring structure on $\widehat{K}^0(B)$.

From the proof of [2, Theorem 1.5 (i)], for vector bundles E and F , by the splitting principle we have

$$(2.17) \quad \Lambda^n(E \otimes F) = P_n(\Lambda^1(E), \dots, \Lambda^n(E); \Lambda^1(F), \dots, \Lambda^n(F)),$$

and

$$(2.18) \quad \Lambda^n(\Lambda^m(E)) = P_{n,m}(\Lambda^1(E), \dots, \Lambda^{nm}(E)).$$

as isomorphisms of complex vector bundles.

Lemma 2.3. *For geometric triples \underline{E} and \underline{F} on B , we have*

$$(2.19) \quad \Lambda^n(\underline{E} \otimes \underline{F}) = P_n(\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), \dots, \Lambda^n(\underline{E}); \Lambda^1(\underline{F}), \dots, \Lambda^n(\underline{F}))$$

and

$$(2.20) \quad \Lambda^n(\Lambda^m(\underline{E})) = P_{n,m}(\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), \dots, \Lambda^{nm}(\underline{E})).$$

Proof. From (2.17) and (2.18), we only need to prove (2.19) and (2.20) locally. So we may assume that B is contractible and E, F are trivial over B . Here we only prove (2.19). The proof of (2.20) is the same.

Since E and F can be decomposed into the orthogonal sums of the complex line bundles, from the definition of P_n , the isomorphism in (2.17) is an isometry.

Let $\{s_i^E\}$ and $\{s_j^F\}$ be the orthonormal frames of E and F respectively. Then for a fixed vector field X ,

$$(2.21) \quad \nabla_X^E s_i^E = \sum_k \omega_{ik}^E(X) s_k^E, \quad \nabla_X^F s_j^F = \sum_l \omega_{jl}^F(X) s_l^F,$$

where $\omega^E(X)$ and $\omega^F(X)$ are the connection matrices associated with E and F respectively. Since E and F can be decomposed into the orthogonal sums of the complex line bundles generated by s_i^E and s_j^F respectively, for any section s of $\Lambda^n(E \otimes F)$, it has the same representation by s_i^E and s_j^F as the corresponding section of $P_n(\Lambda^1(E), \dots, \Lambda^n(E); \Lambda^1(F), \dots, \Lambda^n(F))$. Thus the connection matrices on two hand sides of (2.17) induced by (2.21) are the same.

The proof of Lemma 2.3 is complete. \square

The main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 2.4. *The pre- λ -ring structure on $\widehat{K}^0(B)$ defined in (2.15) is a λ -ring structure.*

Proof. From Definition 1.2, we only need to prove that for cycles (\underline{E}, ϕ) and (\underline{F}, ψ) ,

$$(2.22) \quad \lambda_t((\underline{E}, \phi) \cup (\underline{F}, \psi)) = \lambda_t(\underline{E}, \phi) \widetilde{\times} \lambda_t(\underline{F}, \psi)$$

and

$$(2.23) \quad \lambda_t(\lambda^m(\underline{E}, \phi)) = \widetilde{\lambda}^m(\lambda_t(\underline{E}, \phi)).$$

By (1.2) and (1.3),

$$(2.24) \quad \lambda_t((\underline{E}, \phi) \cup (\underline{F}, \psi)) = \lambda_t((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (\underline{F}, 0)) \widetilde{+} \lambda_t((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (0, \psi)) \\ \widetilde{+} \lambda_t((0, \phi) \cup (\underline{F}, 0)) \widetilde{+} \lambda_t((0, \phi) \cup (0, \psi)).$$

Then by (1.1), (1.3), (2.9), (2.12), (2.15), (2.16) and (2.19),

$$(2.25) \quad \lambda_t((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (\underline{F}, 0)) \stackrel{(2.12)}{=} \lambda_t((\underline{E} \otimes \underline{F}, 0)) \stackrel{(1.1)(2.15)}{=} 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (\Lambda^n(\underline{E} \otimes \underline{F}), 0) \cdot t^n \\ \stackrel{(2.19)}{=} 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(P_n(\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), \dots, \Lambda^n(\underline{E}); \Lambda^1(\underline{F}), \dots, \Lambda^n(\underline{F})), 0 \right) \cdot t^n \\ \stackrel{(2.9)(2.12)}{=} 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} P_n\left((\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), 0), \dots, (\Lambda^n(\underline{E}), 0); (\Lambda^1(\underline{F}), 0), \dots, (\Lambda^n(\underline{F}), 0) \right) \cdot t^n \\ \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (\Lambda(\underline{E}), 0) t^n \right) \widetilde{\times} \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (\Lambda(\underline{F}), 0) t^n \right) \stackrel{(2.16)}{=} \lambda_t(\underline{E}, 0) \widetilde{\times} \lambda_t(\underline{F}, 0).$$

By (2.7), (2.9) and (2.15),

$$(2.26) \quad \lambda^n((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (0, \psi)) \stackrel{(2.7)}{=} \lambda^n(0, \text{ch}(\underline{E})\psi) \stackrel{(2.15)}{=} \left(0, [\lambda_\Gamma^n(0, \text{ch}(\underline{E})\psi)]_{\text{odd}} \right) \\ \stackrel{(2.9)}{=} \left(0, [\lambda_\Gamma^n((\text{ch}(\underline{E}), 0) * (0, \psi))]_{\text{odd}} \right).$$

From (2.13) and (2.14), we have

$$(2.27) \quad \lambda_\Gamma^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), 0) = (\text{ch}(\Lambda^k(\underline{E})), 0).$$

From (2.13), we have

$$(2.28) \quad \lambda_{\Gamma}^k(0, \psi) = (0, [\lambda_{\Gamma}^k(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}}).$$

Recall that P_n is a polynomial with weight n in $\{\sigma_i\}$. Since $\Gamma(B)$ is a λ -ring, by (1.6), (2.9), (2.27) and (2.28), for $n \geq 1$, we get

$$(2.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_{\Gamma}^n((\text{ch}(\underline{E}), 0) * (0, \psi)) &\stackrel{(1.6)}{=} P_n(\lambda_{\Gamma}^1(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), 0), \dots, \lambda_{\Gamma}^n(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), 0); \lambda_{\Gamma}^1(0, \psi), \dots, \lambda_{\Gamma}^n(0, \psi)) \\ &\stackrel{(2.27)(2.28)}{=} P_n((\text{ch}(\Lambda^1(\underline{E})), 0), \dots, (\text{ch}(\Lambda^n(\underline{E})), 0); (0, [\lambda_{\Gamma}^1(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}}), \dots, (0, [\lambda_{\Gamma}^n(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}})) \\ &\stackrel{(2.9)}{=} (0, [P_n((\text{ch}(\Lambda^1(\underline{E})), 0), \dots, (\text{ch}(\Lambda^n(\underline{E})), 0); \\ &\quad (0, [\lambda_{\Gamma}^1(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}}), \dots, (0, [\lambda_{\Gamma}^n(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}}))]_{\text{odd}}). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.12), (2.16) and (2.29), for $n \geq 1$,

$$(2.30) \quad \begin{aligned} &P_n(\lambda^1(\underline{E}, 0), \dots, \lambda^n(\underline{E}, 0); \lambda^1(\underline{0}, \psi), \dots, \lambda^n(\underline{0}, \psi)) \\ &\stackrel{(2.16)}{=} P_n((\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), 0), \dots, (\Lambda^n(\underline{E}), 0); (\underline{0}, [\lambda_{\Gamma}^1(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}}), \dots, (\underline{0}, [\lambda_{\Gamma}^n(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}})) \\ &\stackrel{(2.12)}{=} (\underline{0}, [P_n((\text{ch}(\Lambda^1(\underline{E})), 0), \dots, (\text{ch}(\Lambda^n(\underline{E})), 0); \\ &\quad (0, [\lambda_{\Gamma}^1(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}}), \dots, (0, [\lambda_{\Gamma}^n(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}}))]_{\text{odd}}) \\ &\stackrel{(2.29)}{=} (\underline{0}, [\lambda_{\Gamma}^n((\text{ch}(\underline{E}), 0) * (0, \psi))]_{\text{odd}}). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.26) and (2.30), we get

$$(2.31) \quad \lambda^n((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (\underline{0}, \psi)) = P_n(\lambda^1(\underline{E}, 0), \dots, \lambda^n(\underline{E}, 0); \lambda^1(\underline{0}, \psi), \dots, \lambda^n(\underline{0}, \psi)).$$

Similarly, we have

$$(2.32) \quad \lambda^n((\underline{0}, \phi) \cup (\underline{0}, \psi)) = P_n(\lambda^1(\underline{0}, \phi), \dots, \lambda^n(\underline{0}, \phi); \lambda^1(\underline{0}, \psi), \dots, \lambda^n(\underline{0}, \psi)).$$

Thus from (1.1), (1.3), (2.31) and (2.32),

$$(2.33) \quad \lambda_t((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (\underline{0}, \psi)) = \lambda_t(\underline{E}, 0) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t(\underline{0}, \psi), \quad \lambda_t((\underline{0}, \phi) \cup (\underline{0}, \psi)) = \lambda_t(\underline{0}, \phi) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t(\underline{0}, \psi).$$

To sum up, since $(\tilde{+}, \tilde{\times})$ satisfies the distributive law (cf. [2, Lemma 1.1]), by (1.3), (2.25) and (2.33), we have

$$(2.34) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_t((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (\underline{F}, \psi)) &= \lambda_t((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (\underline{F}, 0)) \cdot \lambda_t((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (\underline{0}, \psi)) \\ &= \lambda_t((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (\underline{F}, 0)) \tilde{+} \lambda_t((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (\underline{0}, \psi)) = (\lambda_t(\underline{E}, 0) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t(\underline{F}, 0)) \tilde{+} (\lambda_t(\underline{E}, 0) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t(\underline{0}, \psi)) \\ &= \lambda_t(\underline{E}, 0) \tilde{\times} (\lambda_t(\underline{F}, 0) \tilde{+} \lambda_t(\underline{0}, \psi)) = \lambda_t(\underline{E}, 0) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t(\underline{F}, \psi). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, by (1.3), (2.24), (2.33) and (2.34), we have

$$(2.35) \quad \lambda_t((\underline{E}, \phi) \cup (\underline{F}, \psi)) = \lambda_t((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (\underline{F}, \psi)) \cdot \lambda_t((\underline{0}, \phi) \cup (\underline{F}, \psi)) = \lambda_t(\underline{E}, \phi) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t(\underline{F}, \psi).$$

On the other hand, by (2.12), (2.14) and (2.15), we have

$$(2.36) \quad \lambda^i(\underline{E}, \phi) \cup \lambda^j(\underline{F}, \psi) = \left(\Lambda^i(\underline{E}) \otimes \Lambda^j(\underline{F}), [\lambda_{\Gamma}^i(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi) * \lambda_{\Gamma}^j(\text{ch}(\underline{F}), \psi)]_{\text{odd}} \right).$$

Note that by (2.15),

$$(2.37) \quad \lambda^i(\underline{E}, \phi) + \lambda^j(\underline{F}, \psi) = \left(\Lambda^i(\underline{E}) \oplus \Lambda^j(\underline{F}), [\lambda_\Gamma^i(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi) + \lambda_\Gamma^j(\text{ch}(\underline{F}), \psi)]_{\text{odd}} \right).$$

Since $P_{n,m}$ is a polynomial, from (2.36) and (2.37), we have

$$(2.38) \quad P_{n,m}(\lambda^1(\underline{E}, \phi), \dots, \lambda^{mn}(\underline{E}, \phi)) \\ = \left(P_{n,m}(\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), \dots, \Lambda^{mn}(\underline{E})), \left[P_{n,m}(\lambda_\Gamma^1(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi), \dots, \lambda_\Gamma^{mn}(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi)) \right]_{\text{odd}} \right).$$

Recall that $\Gamma(B)$ is a λ -ring. By (1.7) and (2.38), we see that

$$(2.39) \quad P_{n,m}(\lambda^1(\underline{E}, \phi), \dots, \lambda^{mn}(\underline{E}, \phi)) \\ = \left(P_{n,m}(\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), \dots, \Lambda^{mn}(\underline{E})), [\lambda_\Gamma^n(\lambda_\Gamma^m(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi))]_{\text{odd}} \right).$$

Similarly, by (1.1), (1.4), (2.14), (2.15), (2.20) and (2.39),

$$(2.40) \quad \lambda_t(\lambda^m(\underline{E}, \phi)) \stackrel{(2.15)}{=} \lambda_t(\Lambda^m(\underline{E}), [\lambda_\Gamma^m(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi)]_{\text{odd}}) \\ \stackrel{(1.1)(2.15)}{=} 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} t^n \left(\Lambda^n(\Lambda^m(\underline{E})), [\lambda_\Gamma^n(\text{ch}(\Lambda^m(\underline{E})), [\lambda_\Gamma^m(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi)]_{\text{odd}}]_{\text{odd}} \right) \\ \stackrel{(2.14)}{=} 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} t^n \left(\Lambda^n(\Lambda^m(\underline{E})), [\lambda_\Gamma^n(\lambda_\Gamma^m(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi))]_{\text{odd}} \right) \\ \stackrel{(2.20)(2.39)}{=} 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} t^n P_{n,m}(\lambda^1(\underline{E}, \phi), \dots, \lambda^{mn}(\underline{E}, \phi)) \stackrel{(1.4)}{=} \tilde{\lambda}^m(\lambda_t(\underline{E}, \phi)).$$

The proof of Theorem 2.4 is complete. \square

3. ADAMS OPERATIONS

If R is a λ -ring, by [3, Proposition V.7.5 i)], the Adams operations $\Psi^k : R \rightarrow R$ defined in (1.9) satisfy (1.10). From [2, §I.5 (2)], for $x \in R$,

$$(3.1) \quad \Psi^k(x) = \nu_k(\lambda^1(x), \dots, \lambda^k(x))$$

where $\nu_k(s_1, \dots, s_k) = \xi_1^k + \dots + \xi_q^k$ is the k -th Newton polynomial, s_i being the i -th elementary symmetric function in $\{\xi_j\}$. By [2, Proposition I.5.1], $\Psi^k : R \rightarrow R$ is a homomorphism of λ -rings.

For a geometric triple \underline{E} on B , we denote by

$$(3.2) \quad \Psi^k(\underline{E}) := \nu_k(\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), \dots, \Lambda^k(\underline{E})).$$

Proposition 3.1. *For $[\underline{E}, \phi] \in \widehat{K}^0(B)$, $\phi = \sum_{l \geq 1} \phi_l$, $\phi_l \in \Omega^{2l-1}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$(3.3) \quad \Psi^k([\underline{E}, \phi]) = \left[\Psi^k(\underline{E}), \sum_{l \geq 1} k^l \phi_l \right].$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Psi^k : \widehat{K}^0(B) \rightarrow \widehat{K}^0(B)$ is a homomorphism of λ -rings.

Proof. Applying (3.1) for the λ-ring $\Gamma(B)$, we get

$$(3.4) \quad \Psi^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi) = \nu_k(\lambda^1(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi), \dots, \lambda^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi)).$$

Since $\widehat{K}^0(B)$ is a λ-ring, from (2.36), (2.37), (3.1), (3.2), (3.4) and ν_k is a polynomial, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi^k(\underline{E}, \phi) &\stackrel{(3.1)}{=} \nu_k(\lambda^1(\underline{E}, \phi), \dots, \lambda^k(\underline{E}, \phi)) \\ &\stackrel{(2.36)(2.37)}{=} (\nu_k(\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), \dots, \Lambda^k(\underline{E})), [\nu_k(\lambda_\Gamma^1(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi), \dots, \lambda_\Gamma^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi))]_{\text{odd}}) \\ &\stackrel{(3.1)(3.2)(3.4)}{=} (\Psi^k(\underline{E}), [\Psi_\Gamma^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi)]_{\text{odd}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus this proposition follows directly from (2.10). The last part is from [2, Proposition I.5.1] as explained above. \square

From (3.2), if $\underline{L} = (L, h^L, \nabla^L)$ is a geometric triple on B with line bundle L , by the definition of ν_k , we have

$$(3.6) \quad \Psi^k(\underline{L}) = \underline{L}^k.$$

If $\underline{E} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \underline{L}_j$, and $\underline{L}_j = (L_j, h^{L_j}, \nabla^{L_j})$ with line bundles L_j , then by Theorem 2.4, Proposition 3.1 and (3.6),

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} [\Psi^k(\underline{E}), 0] &= \Psi^k([\underline{E}, 0]) = \Psi^k\left(\sum_{j=1}^r [\underline{L}_j, 0]\right) = \sum_{j=1}^r \Psi^k([\underline{L}_j, 0]) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r [\Psi^k(\underline{L}_j), 0] = \sum_{j=1}^r [\underline{L}_j^k, 0] = [\bigoplus_{j=1}^r \underline{L}_j^k, 0]. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.2 and (2.14),

$$(3.8) \quad \lambda_Z^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E})) = \text{ch}(\Lambda^k(\underline{E})).$$

Thus from (3.1), (3.2), (3.8) and ν_k is a polynomial, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi_Z^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E})) &= \nu_k(\lambda_Z^1(\text{ch}(\underline{E})), \dots, \lambda_Z^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}))) = \nu_k(\text{ch}(\Lambda^1(\underline{E})), \dots, \text{ch}(\Lambda^k(\underline{E}))) \\ &= \text{ch}(\nu_k(\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), \dots, \Lambda^k(\underline{E}))) = \text{ch}(\Psi^k(\underline{E})). \end{aligned}$$

So the Adams operations constructed in this section satisfy the conditions in [4, (12)]. Thus the Adams operations Ψ^k in (3.3) gives a constructive realization of $\widehat{\Psi}^k$ in [4].

Acknowledgments. BL is partially supported by NSFC No.12225105, National Key R&D Program of China No.2024YFA1013203 and Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality No.22DZ2229014. XM is partially supported by Nankai Zhide Foundation, and funded through the Institutional Strategy of the University of Cologne within the German Excellence Initiative.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. Adams, *Vector fields on spheres*, Ann. of Math. (2) **75** (1962), 603–632.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah and D. O. Tall, *Group representations, λ -rings and the J -homomorphism*, Topology **8** (1969), 253–297.
- [3] P. Berthelot, A. Grothendieck, and L. Illusie, *Théorie des Intersections et Théorème de Riemann-Roch (SGA6)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics,, vol. 225, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [4] U. Bunke, *Adams operations in smooth K -theory*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), no. 4, 2349–2381.
- [5] U. Bunke and T. Schick, *Smooth K -theory*, Astérisque **328** (2009), 45–135.
- [6] D. S. Freed and M. Hopkins, *On Ramond-Ramond fields and K -theory*, J. High Energy Phys., (2000) no. 5 , Paper 44, 14 pp.
- [7] D. S. Freed and J. Lott, *An index theorem in differential K -theory*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), no. 2, 903–966.
- [8] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, *Characteristic classes for algebraic vector bundles with Hermitian metric. II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **131** (1990), no. 1, 205–238.
- [9] A. Grothendieck, *La théorie des classes de Chern* Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, **86** (1958), 137–154.
- [10] M. J. Hopkins and I. M. Singer, *Quadratic functions in geometry, topology, and M -theory*, J. Differential Geom. **70** (2005), no. 3, 329–452.
- [11] K. R. Klonoff, *An index theorem in differential K -theory*, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Texas at Austin, 2008, 119 pp., ISBN: 978-0549-70973-2, ProQuest LLC.
- [12] D. Knutson, *λ -rings and the representation theory of the symmetric group*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **308**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973. iv+203 pp.
- [13] B. Liu and X. Ma, *Differential K -theory and localization formula for η -invariants*, Invent. Math. **222** (2020), no. 2, 545–613.
- [14] D. Roessler, *Lambda-structure on Grothendieck groups of Hermitian vector bundles*, Israel J. Math. **122** (2001), 279–304.
- [15] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 254, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [16] J. Simons and D. Sullivan, *Structured vector bundles define differential K -theory*, in *Quanta of maths*, Clay Math. Proc. **11**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, 579–599.
- [17] W. Zhang, *Lectures on Chern-Weil theory and Witten deformations*, Nankai Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 4, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KEY LABORATORY OF MEA (MINISTRY OF EDUCATION) & SHANGHAI KEY LABORATORY OF PMMP, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200241, CHINA
Email address: bliu@math.ecnu.edu.cn

CHERN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS & LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, P.R. CHINA
Email address: xiaonan.ma@nankai.edu.cn