

# THE CELLS OF THE AFFINE WEYL GROUP $\tilde{C}_n$ IN A CERTAIN QUASI-SPLIT CASE, II

JIAN-YI SHI

Department of Mathematics  
East China Normal University  
Shanghai, 200241, P.R.China

ABSTRACT. The affine Weyl group  $(\tilde{C}_n, S)$  can be realized as the fixed point set of the affine Weyl group  $(\tilde{A}_{2n-1}, \tilde{S})$  under a certain group automorphism  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha(\tilde{S}) = \tilde{S}$ . Let  $\tilde{\ell}$  be the length function of  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$ . The main results of the paper are to prove the left-connectedness of any left cell of the weighted Coxeter group  $(\tilde{C}_n, \tilde{\ell})$  in the set  $E_\lambda$  for any nice  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$ , to prove all the partitions  $(2n - k, k)$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$  being nice and to describe all the cells of  $(\tilde{C}_n, \tilde{\ell})$  in the set  $E_{(2n-k, k)}$ .

## §0. Introduction.

**0.1.** This is a continuation for the study of Kazhdan-Lusztig cells in the weighted Coxeter group  $(\tilde{C}_n, \tilde{\ell})$  in my previous paper [10].

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  (respectively,  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$ ) be the set of all integers (respectively, non-negative integers, positive integers). For any  $i \leq j$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , denote by  $[i, j]$  the set  $\{i, i+1, \dots, j\}$ . Denote  $[1, j]$ ,  $[0, j]$  simply by  $[j]$ ,  $(j]$  respectively. Let  $W$  be a Coxeter group with  $S$  the Coxeter generator set. Lusztig defined a *weighted function*  $L$  on  $W$ , called  $(W, L)$  a *weighted Coxeter group* (see 1.1) and extended the concepts of left, right and two-sided cells from an ordinary Coxeter group to a weighted Coxeter group (see [3], [7]). Each cell of  $(W, L)$  provides a representation of  $(W, L)$  and the associated Hecke algebra. It is a big project for the explicit description of cells in any weighted Coxeter group.

---

*Key words and phrases.* Affine Weyl group; weighted Coxeter group; quasi-split case; cells, partitions..

Supported by the NSF of China, the SFUDP of China, and Program of Shanghai Subject Chief Scientist (11xd1402200)

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

**0.2.** For any  $n > 1$ , consider the affine Weyl group  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  with the Coxeter generator set  $\tilde{S} = \{s_i \mid i \in (2n-1]\}$ , where  $s_i^2 = 1$ ,  $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$  if  $j \not\equiv i \pm 1 \pmod{2n}$  and  $s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$  for any  $i, j \in (2n-1]$  (we stipulate  $s_{2n} = s_0$ ). Let  $\tilde{\ell}_{2n-1}$  be the length function of  $(\tilde{A}_{2n-1}, \tilde{S})$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be the group automorphism of  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  determined by setting  $\alpha(s_i) = s_{2n-i}$  for  $i \in (2n-1]$ . Then the affine Weyl group  $\tilde{C}_n$  can be realized as the fixed point set of  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  under  $\alpha$  with the Coxeter generator set  $S = \{t_i \mid i \in [n]\}$ , where  $t_i = s_i s_{2n-i}$  for any  $i \in [n-1]$ ,  $t_0 = s_0$  and  $t_n = s_n$ . The restriction to  $\tilde{C}_n$  of  $\tilde{\ell}_{2n-1}$  is a weighted function on  $(\tilde{C}_n, S)$ . Hence  $(\tilde{C}_n, \tilde{\ell}_{2n-1})$  forms a weighted Coxeter group.

It is known that there is a surjective map  $\psi$  from  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  to the set  $\Lambda_{2n}$  of partitions of  $2n$  which induces a bijection from the set of two-sided cells of  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  to  $\Lambda_{2n}$  (see [6, Theorem 6] and [8, Theorem 17.4 and Proposition 5.15]). Let  $E_\lambda := \psi^{-1}(\lambda) \cap \tilde{C}_n$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$ .

**0.3.** In our previous paper [10], we described all the cells of the weighted Coxeter group  $(\tilde{C}_n, \tilde{\ell}_{2n-1})$  in the sets  $E_{\mathbf{k}1^{2n-k}}$  and  $E_{\mathbf{h}21^{2n-h-2}}$  for all  $k \in [2n]$  and  $h \in [2, 2n-2]$  and also all the cells of the weighted Coxeter group  $(\tilde{C}_3, \tilde{\ell}_5)$ . In the present paper, we define two kinds of partitions in  $\Lambda_{2n}$ , called a dual-symmetrizable partition and a nice partition respectively (see 3.13). We prove that any nice partition must be dual-symmetrizable (see Lemma 3.5) and conjecture that the converse should also be true (see Conjecture 3.14). We prove that any left cell of  $\tilde{C}_n$  in  $E_\lambda$  is left-connected if  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$  is nice (Theorem 3.15). We give some detailed investigation on the set  $E_{(2n-k, k)}$  for any  $k \in [n]$ . We prove that all the partitions  $(2n-k, k)$ ,  $k \in [n]$ , are nice (see Theorem 4.12), that the set  $E_{(2n-k, k)}$  is two-sided-connected and forms a single two-sided cell of  $\tilde{C}_n$  (see Theorem 4.13), and that the number of left cells contained in  $E_{(2n-k, k)}$  is  $2^{n-m}n!$  if  $k = 2m$  is even and  $2^{n-m-1}n!$  if  $k = 2m+1$  is odd (see Theorem 4.12).

**0.4.** The most difficulty part in proving our results is to show the left-connectedness of a left cell in  $E_\lambda$  for our considered partition  $\lambda$ . The set  $\Omega$  (see 3.2) plays a crucial role in our proof. Each  $w \in \Omega$  determines a tabloid  $T(w)$ . Any  $w \in \tilde{C}_n \cap \Omega$  determines a  $2n$ -self-dual tabloid (see Lemma 3.5). Fix a left cell  $\Gamma$  of  $\tilde{C}_n$ . We first prove that the set  $\Gamma \cap \Omega$  is contained in some left-connected component of  $E_{\psi(\Gamma)}$  (see Theorem 3.12). This implies that any left cell of  $E_\lambda$  is left-connected for any nice  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$ .

(see Theorem 3.15). We prove by a step-by-step reduction in Section 4 that any partition of the form  $(2n - k, k)$ ,  $k \in [n]$ , is nice (see Lemma 4.11). This proves the left-connectedness for any left cell of  $\tilde{C}_n$  in  $E_{(2n-k,k)}$ . Then the number of left cells of  $\tilde{C}_n$  contained in  $E_{(2n-k,k)}$  can be obtained simply by counting the number of all the  $2n$ -self-dual tabloids corresponding to a fixed symmetric composition  $\mathbf{a}$  with  $\zeta(\mathbf{a})^\vee = (2n - k, k)$  (see Theorem 4.12). We conclude that  $E_{(2n-k,k)}$  forms a single two-sided cell of  $\tilde{C}_n$  by showing the two-sided-connectedness of  $E_{(2n-k,k)}$  (see Theorem 4.13).

It is shown that the composition  $\mathbf{a} := \xi(T(w))$  is symmetric for any  $w \in \Omega \cap \tilde{C}_n$ . Conjecture 3.14 states that if  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$  is such that there is some symmetric composition  $\mathbf{a}$  of  $2n$  satisfying  $\lambda = \zeta(\mathbf{a})^\vee$ , then  $\lambda$  is nice. We expect that our arguments in Section 4 could be extended to verify this conjecture.

We would like to mention that the successive star-operations applied in Sections 3-4 (e.g., the elements  $w'$ ,  $y$  so obtained from  $w$  in (3.11.4) and 4.4 respectively) are essentially the iterated star operations defined in [8, Chapter 8]. This is a generalization of Robinson-Schensted inserting algorithm on the symmetric group (see [8, Section 21.2]) and is one of powerful tools in getting our results.

**0.5.** The contents of the paper are organized as follows. In Section 1, we collect some concepts and known results concerning cells of a weighted Coxeter group. Then we concentrate ourselves to the weighted Coxeter group  $(\tilde{C}_n, \tilde{\ell}_{2n-1})$  in Section 2, many useful results and technical tools are provided there. In Section 3, we study the properties for the set  $\Omega \cap \tilde{C}_n$  and prove that any left cell of  $\tilde{C}_n$  in  $E_\lambda$  is left-connected if  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$  is nice. Finally, we study all the cells of  $\tilde{C}_n$  in  $E_{(2n-k,k)}$  for any  $k \in [n]$  in Section 4.

## §1. Cells in Coxeter groups.

In this section, we collect some concepts and results concerning cells of a weighted Coxeter group, all but Lemma 1.5 follow Lusztig in [7], while Lemma 1.5 is a result in [10].

**1.1.** Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system with  $\ell$  its length function and  $\leq$  the Bruhat-Chevalley ordering on  $W$ . An expression  $w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r \in W$  with  $s_i \in S$  is called *reduced* if  $r = \ell(w)$ . By a *weight function* on  $W$ , we mean a map  $L : W \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying that  $L(s) = L(t)$  for any  $s, t \in S$  conjugate in  $W$  and that  $L(w) = L(s_1) +$

$L(s_2) + \cdots + L(s_r)$  for any reduced expression  $w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r$  in  $W$ . Call  $(W, L)$  is a *weighted Coxeter group*.

We say that a weighted Coxeter group  $(W, L)$  is in the *split* case if  $L = \ell$ .

Suppose that there exists a group automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $W$  with  $\alpha(S) = S$ . Let  $W^\alpha = \{w \in W \mid \alpha(w) = w\}$ . For any  $\alpha$ -orbit  $J$  on  $S$ , let  $w_J$  be the longest element in the subgroup  $W_J$  of  $W$  generated by  $J$ . Let  $S_\alpha$  be the set of elements  $w_J$  with  $J$  ranging over all  $\alpha$ -orbits on  $S$ . Then  $(W^\alpha, S_\alpha)$  is a Coxeter group and the restriction to  $W^\alpha$  of the length function  $\ell$  is a weight function on  $W^\alpha$ . We say that the weighted Coxeter group  $(W^\alpha, \ell)$  is in the *quasi-split* case.

**1.2.** Let  $\leqslant_L$  (respectively,  $\leqslant_R$ ,  $\leqslant_{LR}$ ) be the preorder on a weighted Coxeter group  $(W, L)$  defined in [7]. The equivalence relation associated to this preorder is denoted by  $\sim_L$  (respectively,  $\sim_R$ ,  $\sim_{LR}$ ). The corresponding equivalence classes in  $W$  are called *left cells* (respectively, *right cells*, *two-sided cells*) of  $W$ .

**1.3.** For  $w \in W$ , define  $\mathcal{L}(w) = \{s \in S \mid sw < w\}$  and  $\mathcal{R}(w) = \{s \in S \mid ws < w\}$ . If  $y, w \in W$  satisfy  $y \leqslant_L w$  (respectively,  $y \leqslant_R w$ ), then  $\mathcal{R}(y) \supseteq \mathcal{R}(w)$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{L}(y) \supseteq \mathcal{L}(w)$ ). In particular, if  $y \sim_L w$  (respectively,  $y \sim_R w$ ), then  $\mathcal{R}(y) = \mathcal{R}(w)$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{L}(y) = \mathcal{L}(w)$ ) (see [7, Lemma 8.6]).

**1.4.** In [7, Chapter 13], Lusztig defined a function  $a : W \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  in terms of structural coefficients of the Hecke algebra associated to  $(W, L)$ .

In [7, Chapters 14-16], Lusztig proved the following results when  $W$  is either a finite or an affine Coxeter group and when  $(W, L)$  is either in the split case or in the quasi-split case.

- (1)  $y \leqslant_{LR} w$  in  $W$  implies  $a(w) \leqslant a(y)$ . Hence  $y \sim_{LR} w$  in  $W$  implies  $a(w) = a(y)$ .
- (2) If  $w, y \in W$  satisfy  $a(w) = a(y)$  and  $y \leqslant_L w$  (respectively,  $y \leqslant_R w$ ,  $y \leqslant_{LR} w$ ) then  $y \sim_L w$  (respectively,  $y \sim_R w$ ,  $y \sim_{LR} w$ ).

For any  $X \subset W$ , denote  $X^{-1} := \{x^{-1} \mid x \in X\}$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** (see [10, Lemma 1.7]) *Suppose that  $W$  is either a finite or an affine Coxeter group and that  $(W, L)$  is either in the split case or in the quasi-split case.*

*Let  $E$  be a non-empty subset of  $W$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (a) *There exists some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $a(x) = k$  for any  $x \in E$ ;*
- (b)  *$E$  is a union of some left cells of  $W$ ;*
- (c)  *$E^{-1} = E$ .*

Then  $E$  is a union of some two-sided cells of  $W$ .

## §2. The affine Weyl groups $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$ and $\tilde{C}_n$ .

From now on, we restrict our attention to the weighted Coxeter groups  $(\tilde{A}_{2n-1}, \tilde{\ell})$  and  $(\tilde{C}_n, \tilde{\ell})$ , where  $\tilde{\ell} = \tilde{\ell}_{2n-1}$  is the length function of the affine Weyl group  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$ .

**2.1.** The affine Weyl group  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  can be realized as the following permutation group on the set  $\mathbb{Z}$  (see [5, Subsection 3.6] and [8, Subsection 4.1]):

$$\tilde{A}_{2n-1} = \left\{ w : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \mid (i + 2n)w = (i)w + 2n, \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (i)w = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} i \right\}.$$

The Coxeter generator set  $\tilde{S} = \{s_i \mid i \in (2n - 1]\}$  of  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  is given by

$$(t)s_i = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } t \not\equiv i, i + 1 \pmod{2n}, \\ t + 1, & \text{if } t \equiv i \pmod{2n}, \\ t - 1, & \text{if } t \equiv i + 1 \pmod{2n}, \end{cases}$$

for any  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $i \in (2n - 1]$ . Any  $w \in \tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  can be realized as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -indexed monomial matrix  $A_w = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $a_{ij}$  is 1 if  $j = (i)w$  and 0 if otherwise. The row (respectively, column) indices of  $A_w$  increase from top to bottom (respectively, from left to right). We can conveniently use some familiar operations in linear algebra on the matrix  $A_w$ . For example, the matrix  $A_{w^{-1}}$  is just the transposition of  $A_w$ ; while  $A_{s_i w}$  (respectively,  $A_{w s_i}$ ) can be obtained from  $A_w$  by transposing the  $(2nq + i)$ th and the  $(2nq + i + 1)$ th rows (respectively, columns) for all  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be the group automorphism of  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  determined by  $\alpha(s_i) = s_{2n-i}$  for  $i \in (2n - 1]$ . In terms of matrix form, for any  $w \in \tilde{A}_{2n-1}$ , the matrix  $A_{\alpha(w)}$  can be obtained from the matrix  $A_w$  by rotating with the angle  $\pi$  around the point  $(qn + \frac{1}{2}, qn + \frac{1}{2})$  for any  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where we identify  $A_w$  with a plane and the positions  $(i, j)$ ,  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , of  $A_w$  are identified with the corresponding integer lattice points.

The automorphism  $\alpha$  gives rise to a permutation on the set  $\Pi^l$  (respectively,  $\Pi^r$ ,  $\Pi^t$ ) of left cells (respectively, right cells, two-sided cells) of  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$ .

The affine Weyl group  $\tilde{C}_n$  can be realized as the fixed point set of  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  under  $\alpha$ , which can also be described as a permutation group on  $\mathbb{Z}$  as follows.

$$\tilde{C}_n = \{w : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \mid (i + 2n)w = (i)w + 2n, (i)w + (1 - i)w = 1, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

with the Coxeter generator set  $S = \{t_i \mid i \in [n]\}$ , where  $t_i = s_i s_{2n-i}$  for  $i \in [n-1]$ ,  $t_0 = s_0$  and  $t_n = s_n$ . For the sake of convenience, we define  $s_i$  and  $t_j$  for any  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  by setting  $s_{2qn+b}$  to be  $s_b$  and  $t_{2pn \pm a}$  to be  $t_a$  for any  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b \in (2n-1]$  and  $a \in [n]$ . In terms of matrix, an element  $w \in \tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  is in  $\tilde{C}_n$  if and only if the matrix form  $A_w$  of  $w$  is centrally symmetric at the point  $(qn + \frac{1}{2}, qn + \frac{1}{2})$  for any  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $\tilde{\ell}, \ell$  be the length functions on the Coxeter systems  $(\tilde{A}_{2n-1}, \tilde{S})$ ,  $(\tilde{C}_n, S)$ , respectively. For any  $x \in \tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $m_k(x) = \#\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid i < k \text{ and } (i)x > (k)x\}$ . Then the formulae for the functions  $\tilde{\ell}$  and  $\ell$  are as follows.

**Lemma 2.2.** (see [10, Proposition 2.4]) *For any  $w \in \tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  and  $x \in \tilde{C}_n$ , we have*

$$(1) \tilde{\ell}(w) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} \left\lfloor \left| \frac{(j)w - (i)w}{2n} \right| \right\rfloor = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} m_k(w);$$

$$(2) \ell(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\ell}(x) + m_1(x) + m_{n+1}(x)),$$

where  $\lfloor a \rfloor$  is the largest integer not larger than  $a$ , and  $|a|$  is the absolute value of  $a$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

**2.3.** Fix  $m \in \mathbb{P}$ . By a partition of  $m$ , we mean an  $r$ -tuple  $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$  of weakly decreasing positive integers  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_k = m$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{P}$ .  $\lambda_i$  is called a *part* of  $\lambda$ . Let  $\Lambda_m$  be the set of all partitions of  $m$ .

For any  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \in \Lambda_m$ , define  $\lambda^\vee = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\lambda_1})$  by setting  $\mu_j = \#\{k \in [r] \mid \lambda_k \geq j\}$  for any  $j \in [\lambda_1]$ , call  $\lambda^\vee$  the *dual partition* of  $\lambda$ .

For any  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$  and  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_t)$  in  $\Lambda_m$ , we write  $\lambda \leq \mu$  if  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k \leq \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_k$  for any  $1 \leq k \leq \min\{r, t\}$ . This defines a partial order on  $\Lambda_m$ . In particular,  $\lambda \leq \mu$  if and only if  $\mu^\vee \leq \lambda^\vee$ .

**2.4.** Let  $P = (E, \preceq)$  be a partial ordered set (or a poset in short) with the cardinal  $|E|$  of the set  $E$  being  $m \in \mathbb{P}$ . By a chain (respectively, antichain) in  $P$ , we mean a sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r$  in  $E$  satisfying  $a_1 \prec a_2 \prec \dots \prec a_r$  (respectively, neither  $a_i \prec a_j$  nor  $a_j \prec a_i$  holds for any  $i \neq j$  in  $[r]$ ). We usually identify a chain (respectively, antichain)  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r$  in  $E$  with the corresponding subset  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r\}$ . Fix  $k \in [m]$ . By a  $k$ -chain-family of  $P$ , we mean a subset  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i$  of  $E$  with  $X_i$  a chain for any  $i \in [k]$ . Let  $d_k(P)$  be the maximally possible cardinal of a  $k$ -chain-family in  $P$ . Then there is some  $t \in [m]$  with  $d_1(P) < d_2(P) < \dots < d_t(P) = m$ . Let  $\lambda_1(P) = d_1(P)$  and  $\lambda_k(P) = d_k(P) - d_{k-1}(P)$  for any  $k \in [2, t]$ . Then  $\psi(P) := (\lambda_1(P), \lambda_2(P), \dots, \lambda_t(P)) \in \Lambda_m$  by a result of C. Greene in [2].

Fix  $w \in \tilde{A}_{2n-1}$ . For any  $i \neq j$  in  $[2n]$ , we write  $i \prec_w j$ , if there exist some  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that both inequalities  $2pn + i > 2qn + j$  and  $(2pn + i)w < (2qn + j)w$  hold. In the matrix form of  $w$ , this means that the position  $(2qn + j, (2qn + j)w)$  is located at the northeastern of the position  $(2pn + i, (2pn + i)w)$ . This determines a poset  $P_w := ([2n], \preceq_w)$ . A chain (respectively, an antichain) in  $P_w$  is called a  $w$ -chain (respectively, a  $w$ -antichain). We have that  $\psi(w) := (\lambda_1(P_w), \lambda_2(P_w), \dots, \lambda_r(P_w)) \in \Lambda_{2n}$  and that  $w \mapsto \psi(w)$  is a surjective map from the set  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  to  $\Lambda_{2n}$  by [8, Corollary 5.13].  $i \neq j$  in  $[2n]$  are called  $w$ -comparable if either  $i \prec_w j$  or  $j \prec_w i$ , and  $w$ -uncomparable if otherwise. It is easily seen that  $i < j$  in  $[2n]$  are  $w$ -uncomparable if and only if  $(i)w < (j)w < (i)w + 2n$ .

For any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , denote by  $\langle a \rangle$  the unique integer in  $[2n]$  satisfying  $a \equiv \langle a \rangle \pmod{2n}$ . In the subsequent discussion, we sometimes use the notation  $i \prec_w j$ , the phrase of  $i, j$  being “ $w$ -comparable” or “ $w$ -uncomparable” for some  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which just mean that  $\langle i \rangle$  and  $\langle j \rangle$  satisfy the corresponding relation.

**2.5.** Let  $\tilde{\ell}, \ell$  be the length functions on the Coxeter systems  $(\tilde{A}_{2n-1}, \tilde{S})$ ,  $(\tilde{C}_n, S)$ , respectively. By the definition in 1.1, we see that the weighted Coxeter group  $(\tilde{A}_{2n-1}, \tilde{\ell})$  is in the split case, while  $(\tilde{C}_n, \tilde{\ell})$  is in the quasi-split case (see [7, Lemma 16.2]).

Let  $\leq, \leq_C$  be the Bruhat-Chevalley orders on the Coxeter systems  $(\tilde{A}_{2n-1}, \tilde{S})$ ,  $(\tilde{C}_n, S)$ , respectively. Since the condition  $x \leq_C y$  is equivalent to  $x \leq y$  for any  $x, y \in \tilde{C}_n$ , it will cause no confusion if we use the notation  $\leq$  in the place of  $\leq_C$ . Hence from now on we shall use  $\leq$  for both  $\leq$  and  $\leq_C$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \{s \in \tilde{S} \mid sx < x\}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(x) = \{s \in \tilde{S} \mid xs < x\}$  for  $x \in \tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  and let  $\mathcal{L}(y) = \{t \in S \mid ty < y\}$  and  $\mathcal{R}(y) = \{t \in S \mid yt < y\}$  for  $y \in \tilde{C}_n$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** (see [10, Corollary 2.6]) *For any  $x \in \tilde{C}_n$  and  $i \in (n)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} s_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x) &\iff s_{2n-i} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x) &\iff t_i \in \mathcal{L}(x) \\ &\iff (i)x > (i+1)x &\iff (2n+1-i)x < (2n-i)x, \\ s_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(x) &\iff s_{2n-i} \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(x) &\iff t_i \in \mathcal{R}(x) \\ &\iff (i)x^{-1} > (i+1)x^{-1} &\iff (2n+1-i)x^{-1} < (2n-i)x^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

If  $x \in \tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  and  $s \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x)$  and  $t \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(x)$  then  $\psi(sx), \psi(xt) \leq \psi(x)$  by [8, Lemma 5.5 and Corollary 5.6]. This implies by Lemma 2.6 that if  $x \in \tilde{C}_n$  and  $s \in \mathcal{L}(x)$  and  $t \in \mathcal{R}(x)$  then  $\psi(sx), \psi(xt) \leq \psi(x)$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $x, y \in \tilde{C}_n$  and  $x', y' \in \tilde{A}_{2n-1}$ .*

(1)  *$x \underset{L}{\sim} y$  (respectively,  $x \underset{R}{\sim} y$ ) in  $\tilde{C}_n$  if and only if  $x \underset{L}{\sim} y$  (respectively,  $x \underset{R}{\sim} y$ ) in  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  (see [7, Lemma 16.14]).*

(2)  *$x' \underset{LR}{\leq} y'$  if and only if  $\psi(y') \leq \psi(x')$ . The set  $\psi^{-1}(\lambda)$  forms a two-sided cell of  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$  (see [6, Theorem 6] and [8, Theorem 17.4] and [9, Theorem B]).*

By Lemma 2.7 (1), we can just use the notation  $x \underset{L}{\sim} y$  (respectively,  $x \underset{R}{\sim} y$ ) for  $x, y \in \tilde{C}_n$  without indicating whether the relation refers to  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  or  $\tilde{C}_n$ .

**2.8.** A non-empty subset  $E$  of an affine Weyl group  $W = (W, S)$  is called *left-connected*, (respectively, *right-connected*) if for any  $x, y \in E$ , there exists a sequence  $x_0 = x, x_1, \dots, x_r = y$  in  $E$  such that  $x_{i-1}x_i^{-1} \in S$  (respectively,  $x_i^{-1}x_{i-1} \in S$ ) for every  $i \in [r]$ .  $E$  is called *two-sided-connected* if for any  $x, y \in E$ , there exists a sequence  $x_0 = x, x_1, \dots, x_r = y$  in  $E$  such that either  $x_{i-1}x_i^{-1}$  or  $x_i^{-1}x_{i-1}$  is in  $S$  for every  $i \in [r]$ .

Geometrically, the elements of an affine Weyl group  $W$  can be identified with the alcoves of a certain euclidean space  $V$  (see [4]). Thus a left-connected set of  $W$  is just such an alcove set  $E$  in  $V$  that for any  $A, A' \in E$ , there is a sequence  $A_0 = A, A_1, \dots, A_r = A'$  in  $E$ , where  $A_{i-1}$  and  $A_i$  share a common facet of codimension 1 in  $V$  for any  $i \in [r]$ .

Let  $F \subseteq E$  in  $W$ . Call  $F$  a *left-connected component* of  $E$ , if  $F$  is a maximal left-connected subset of  $E$ . One can define a right-connected component and a two-sided-connected component of  $E$  similarly.

For any  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$ , denote  $E_\lambda := \tilde{C}_n \cap \psi^{-1}(\lambda)$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** (see [10, Lemma 2.18]) *Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$ .*

(1) *Any left- (respectively, right-, two-sided-) connected component of  $\psi^{-1}(\lambda)$  is contained in some left (respectively, right, two-sided) cell of  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$ .*

(2) *Any left- (respectively, right-, two-sided-) connected component of  $E_\lambda$  is contained in some left (respectively, right, two-sided) cell of  $\tilde{C}_n$ .*

(3) *The set  $E_\lambda$  is either empty or a union of some two-sided cells of  $\tilde{C}_n$ .*

**Corollary 2.10.** (see [10, Corollary 2.19]) *Let  $x, y, x', y' \in \tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  satisfy  $x, y \in E_\lambda$  and  $x', y' \in \psi^{-1}(\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$ .*



(1) If  $\ell(y) = \ell(x) + \ell(yx^{-1})$  then  $x, y$  are in the same left-connected component of  $E_\lambda$  and hence  $x \underset{L}{\sim} y$ .

(2) If  $\ell(y) = \ell(x) + \ell(x^{-1}y)$  then  $x, y$  are in the same right-connected component of  $E_\lambda$  and hence  $x \underset{R}{\sim} y$ .

(3) If  $\tilde{\ell}(y') = \tilde{\ell}(x') + \tilde{\ell}(y'x'^{-1})$  then  $x', y'$  are in the same left-connected component of  $\psi^{-1}(\lambda)$  and hence  $x' \underset{L}{\sim} y'$ .

(4) If  $\tilde{\ell}(y') = \tilde{\ell}(x') + \tilde{\ell}(x'^{-1}y')$  then  $x', y'$  are in the same right-connected component of  $\psi^{-1}(\lambda)$  and hence  $x' \underset{R}{\sim} y'$ .

**2.11**  $i, j \in [2n]$  are called  $2n$ -dual, if  $i + j = 2n + 1$ ; in this case, we denote  $j = \bar{i}$  (hence  $i = \bar{j}$  also). Fix  $w \in \tilde{C}_n$ .  $i \in [2n]$  is called  $w$ -wild if  $i$  and  $\bar{i}$  are  $w$ -comparable and  $w$ -tame if otherwise.  $i \in [2n]$  is called a  $w$ -wild head (respectively, a  $w$ -tame head), if  $i$  is  $w$ -wild (respectively,  $w$ -tame) with  $(\bar{i})w < (i)w$ . In this case, call  $\bar{i}$  a  $w$ -wild tail (respectively, a  $w$ -tame tail). In the subsequent discussion, we sometimes say that some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  is  $w$ -wild or  $w$ -tame, which just means that the integer  $\langle i \rangle$  is such.

The results in Lemmas 2.12-2.13 below can be checked easily:

**Lemma 2.12.** (see [10, Lemma 3.2]) Fix  $w \in \tilde{C}_n$ . Let  $i, j, k \in [2n]$ .

(i)  $j \prec_w k$  if and only if  $\bar{k} \prec_w \bar{j}$ ;

Now suppose that  $j \neq k$  are  $w$ -wild heads and  $i$  is  $w$ -tame.

(ii)  $\bar{j} \prec_w k$  if and only if  $\bar{j}, k$  are  $w$ -comparable.

(iii) If  $\bar{j}, k$  are  $w$ -uncomparable then so are  $j, k$  (respectively,  $\bar{j}, \bar{k}$ );

(iv)  $i$  and  $k$  are  $w$ -comparable if and only if  $i \prec_w k$ .

(v)  $\{j, i, \bar{j}\}$  is a  $w$ -chain if and only if  $j$  is  $w$ -comparable with both  $i$  and  $\bar{i}$ ;

(vi)  $\{j, k, \bar{j}, \bar{k}\}$  is a  $w$ -chain if and only if  $j, k$  are  $w$ -comparable.

**Lemma 2.13.** Let  $w \in \tilde{C}_n$  and  $t > 1$ .

(1) Let  $j_1 \prec_w j_2 \prec_w \cdots \prec_w j_t$  be a  $w$ -chain and let  $h \leq l$  in  $[t]$ .

(1a) If  $j_h$  is a  $w$ -wild head, then  $j_l$  is a  $w$ -wild head.

(1b) If  $j_l$  is a  $w$ -wild tail, then  $j_h$  is a  $w$ -wild tail.

(1c) If both  $j_h$  and  $j_l$  are  $w$ -tame, then  $j_c$  with  $c \in [h, l]$  either all are  $w$ -tame heads, or all are  $w$ -tame tails.

(2) Let  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2m} \in [a+1, a+2m]$  satisfy  $(i_1)w < (i_2)w < \dots < (i_{2m})w$  for some  $m \in [n]$  with  $a \in \{-m, n-m\}$ .

(2a)  $i_h$  is either a  $w$ -wild tail or a  $w$ -tame integer for any  $h \in [m]$ .

(2b)  $i_l$  is either a  $w$ -wild head or a  $w$ -tame integer for any  $l \in [m+1, 2m]$ .

(2c)  $\langle i_{2m+1-h} \rangle = \overline{\langle i_h \rangle}$  for any  $h \in [2m]$ .

### §3. The set $\Omega$ .

In the present section, we define a set  $\Omega$  of certain finite posets, which includes a subset of  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  under a certain identification. We characterize the elements of  $\Omega$  in  $\tilde{C}_n$  (see Lemma 3.5). The main result of the section is to show that for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$  and any left cell  $\Gamma$  of  $\tilde{C}_n$  in the set  $E_\lambda$ , the set  $\Gamma \cap \Omega$  is either empty or contained in some left-connected component of  $E_\lambda$  (see Theorem 3.12). This further implies that for any nice partition  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$ , any left cell of  $\tilde{C}_n$  in  $E_\lambda$  is left-connected (see 3.13 and Theorem 3.15).

**3.1.** Fix  $m \in \mathbb{P}$ . A *generalized tabloid* (or a *tabloid* in short) of rank  $m$  is, by definition, an  $r$ -tuple  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_r)$  with some  $r \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $T_j, j \in [r]$ , are pairwise disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{P}$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^r |T_i| = m$ . By a composition of  $m$ , we mean an  $r$ -tuple  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  with some  $a_1, \dots, a_r, r \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i = m$ . Let  $\tilde{\Lambda}_m$  be the set of all compositions of  $m$ . We have  $\xi(\mathbf{T}) := (|T_1|, |T_2|, \dots, |T_r|) \in \tilde{\Lambda}_m$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_m$  be the set of all tabloids of rank  $m$ .

For any  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \tilde{\Lambda}_m$ , let  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r$  be a permutation of  $1, 2, \dots, r$  such that  $a_{i_1} \geq a_{i_2} \geq \dots \geq a_{i_r}$ . Then  $\zeta(\mathbf{a}) := (a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_r}) \in \Lambda_m$ . Clearly, both  $\xi : \mathcal{C}_m \longrightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}_m$  and  $\zeta : \tilde{\Lambda}_m \longrightarrow \Lambda_m$  are surjective maps.

**3.2.** Let  $\Omega_m$  be the set of all posets  $P = (E, \preceq)$  with  $E \subset \mathbb{P}$  and  $|E| = m$  such that there is a set partition  $E = E_1 \dot{\cup} E_2 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} E_r$  satisfying:

- (i)  $a \prec b$  for any  $a \in E_i$  and  $b \in E_j$  with  $i < j$  in  $[r]$ ;
- (ii)  $E_i$  is a maximal antichain in  $E$  for any  $i \in [r]$ .

Define  $T(P) := (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_r)$ . Then  $T(P) \in \mathcal{C}_m$ .

Denote  $\Omega = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{P}} \Omega_m$ . By a result of C. Greene in [2], we see that the partition  $\zeta\xi(T(P))$  is the dual of  $\psi(P)$  for any  $P \in \Omega$ .

By identifying any  $w \in \tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  with the poset  $P_{w^{-1}} := ([2n], \prec_{w^{-1}})$ , we can regard  $w$  as an element of  $\Omega_{2n}$  and further of  $\Omega$  if  $P_{w^{-1}} \in \Omega_{2n}$ .

In the most cases of the subsequent discussion, when we mention an element  $w$  of

$\Omega$ , we mean that  $w$  is an element in  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$ , or even in  $\tilde{C}_n$ , with  $P_{w^{-1}} \in \Omega_{2n}$ .

The following known result will be crucial in subsequent discussion.

**Lemma 3.3.** (see [8, Lemma 19.4.6 and Propositions 19.4.7-19.4.8])

(1) Suppose that  $y, w \in \tilde{A}_{2n-1} \cap \Omega$  satisfy  $\xi(T(y)) = \xi(T(w))$ . Then  $y \underset{L}{\sim} w$  if and only if  $T(y) = T(w)$ .

(2) For any  $\mathbf{a} \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{2n}$ , let  $\lambda = \zeta(\mathbf{a})^\vee$ . Then there exists a bijective map from the set  $\Pi_\lambda^l$  of all left cells of  $\tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  in  $\psi^{-1}(\lambda)$  to the set  $\xi^{-1}(\mathbf{a})$ .

**3.4.** Fix  $m \in [2n]$ . Denote  $\mathbf{a}^{\text{op}} = (a_r, \dots, a_2, a_1)$  for  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \in \tilde{\Lambda}_m$ . Call  $\mathbf{a}$  symmetric, if  $\mathbf{a}^{\text{op}} = \mathbf{a}$ .

Denote  $\overline{E} = \{\bar{i} \mid i \in E\}$  for any  $E \subseteq [2n]$  (see 2.11). Denote  $\overline{\mathbf{T}} = (\overline{T_1}, \overline{T_2}, \dots, \overline{T_r})$  and  $\mathbf{T}^{\text{op}} = (T_r, \dots, T_2, T_1)$  for any  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_r) \in \mathcal{C}_m$ . Then  $\overline{\mathbf{T}}, \mathbf{T}^{\text{op}} \in \mathcal{C}_m$ . We say that  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{C}_m$  is  $2n$ -self-dual, if  $\overline{\mathbf{T}}^{\text{op}} = \mathbf{T}$ .

If  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{C}_m$  is  $2n$ -self-dual then the composition  $\xi(\mathbf{T})$  is symmetric.

**Lemma 3.5.** (1) The tabloid  $T(w)$  is  $2n$ -self-dual for any  $w \in \Omega \cap \tilde{C}_n$ .

(2) For any  $2n$ -self-dual  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ , there exists some  $w \in \Omega \cap \tilde{C}_n$  satisfying  $T(w) = \mathbf{T}$ .

(3) If  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_r) \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}$  is  $2n$ -self-dual with  $r = 2m + 1$  odd, then  $|T_{m+1}|$  is even.

*Proof.* Let  $w \in \tilde{C}_n$  and  $E, E' \subset [2n]$ . Denote  $E \prec_w E'$ , if  $a \prec_w a'$  for any  $a \in E$  and any  $a' \in E'$ . We see by Lemma 2.12 that  $E$  is a  $w$ -antichain if and only if such is  $\overline{E}$  and that  $E \prec_w E'$  if and only if  $\overline{E'} \prec_w \overline{E}$ . Fix  $w \in \Omega \cap \tilde{C}_n$ . We see that all the  $w^{-1}$ -tame integers in  $[2n]$  are pairwise  $w^{-1}$ -uncomparable and hence form a single  $w^{-1}$ -antichain whenever they exist. We also see that the elements in any maximal  $w^{-1}$ -antichain of  $[2n]$  are either all  $w^{-1}$ -wild heads, or all  $w^{-1}$ -wild tails, or all  $w^{-1}$ -tame integers. This implies by Lemma 2.12 that  $T(w)$  is  $2n$ -self-dual, (1) is proved. Given any  $2n$ -self-dual  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ . We want to find some  $w \in \Omega \cap \tilde{C}_n$  with  $T(w) = \mathbf{T}$ . Write  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_r)$  with  $T_i = \{a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{in_i}\}$  for any  $i \in [r]$ , where  $n_i = |T_i|$  and  $a_{i1} < a_{i2} < \dots < a_{in_i}$ . Then by the assumption of  $\mathbf{T}$  being  $2n$ -self-dual, we have  $n_{r+1-i} = n_i$  and  $a_{r+1-i, n_i+1-j} = \overline{a_{ij}}$  for any  $i \in [r]$  and any  $j \in [n_i]$ . Assume  $r \in \{2m, 2m + 1\}$  with some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Denote  $\delta_h := \sum_{i=h}^r n_i$  for  $h \in [r + 1]$  with the convention that  $\delta_{r+1} = 0$ . Define  $w \in \tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  by setting, for any  $l \in [2n]$ ,

$$(l)w = \begin{cases} a_{hj} - 2n(m+1-h), & \text{if } l = \delta_{h+1} + j \text{ with } h \in [m] \text{ and } j \in [n_h], \\ \overline{a_{h, n_h+1-j}} + 2n(m+1-h), & \text{if } l = \delta_{r+2-h} + j \text{ with } h \in [m] \text{ and } j \in [n_h], \\ a_{m+1, j}, & \text{if } r = 2m+1 \text{ and } l = \delta_{m+2} + j \text{ with } j \in [n_{m+1}]. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that the element  $w$  is in the set  $\Omega \cap \tilde{C}_n$  and satisfies  $T(w) = \mathbf{T}$ . This proves (2). Finally, (3) follows by the fact that  $\overline{T_{m+1}} = T_{m+1}$  and  $\bar{i} \neq i$  for any  $i \in [2n]$ .  $\square$

By the results in [8, Subsection 19.4], we see that for any  $w \in \tilde{A}_{2n-1}$ , there always exists some  $y \in \Omega \cap \tilde{A}_{2n-1}$  satisfying  $y \underset{L}{\sim} w$ . Comparing with this, for any  $w' \in \tilde{C}_n$ , there does not always exist any  $y' \in \Omega \cap \tilde{C}_n$  satisfying  $y' \underset{L}{\sim} w'$ . For, there might exist no any symmetric  $\mathbf{a} \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{2n}$  satisfying  $\zeta(\mathbf{a})^\vee = \psi(w')$ .

**3.6.** For any  $w \in \tilde{C}_n$  and  $t_i \in \mathcal{L}(w)$ , the relation  $\psi(t_i w) \leq \psi(w)$  holds in general by Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7. By [8, Lemma 5.8], we have  $\psi(t_i w) = \psi(w)$  if one of the following cases occurs:

- (a)  $i \in [2, n-1]$  and, either  $(i)w < (i-1)w < (i+1)w$  or  $(i+1)w < (i-1)w < (i)w$ ;
- (b)  $i \in [n-2]$  and, either  $(i)w < (i+2)w < (i+1)w$  or  $(i+1)w < (i+2)w < (i)w$ ;
- (c)  $i = 0$  and, either  $(1)w < (2)w < (0)w$  or  $(0)w < (2)w < (1)w$ ;
- (d)  $i = n$  and, either  $(n)w < (n-1)w < (n+1)w$  or  $(n+1)w < (n-1)w < (n)w$ ;
- (e)  $|(i)w - (i+1)w| > 2n$ .

The transformation  $w \mapsto t_i w$  is called a *left star operation* on  $w$  in any of the cases (a)-(d).  $j \mapsto (j)t_i$  is a poset isomorphism from  $([2n], \prec_{t_i w})$  to  $([2n], \prec_w)$  in the case (e). Hence  $t_i w$  and  $w$  are in the same left-connected component of  $E_{\psi(w)}$  in any of the cases (a)-(e).

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We write  $X < Y$  (respectively,  $X <_w Y$ ,  $X \prec_w Y$ ) if  $i < j$  (respectively,  $(i)w < (j)w$ ,  $i \prec_w j$ ) for any  $i \in X$  and any  $j \in Y$ . In the case of  $X <_w Y$ , denote  $d_w(X, Y) := \min\{(j)w - (i)w \mid i \in X, j \in Y\}$ . Now assume  $X, Y \subset [a+1, a+2n]$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The relation  $X \prec_w Y$  implies  $X <_w Y$ , but the converse is not true in general. However, in either of the cases (i)-(ii) below:

- (i)  $d_w(X, Y) > 2n$ ;
- (ii)  $X > Y$ .

the relation  $X <_w Y$  in  $[a+1, a+2n]$  does imply  $X \prec_w Y$ .

For any  $w \in \tilde{C}_n$ , denote by  $K_w$  the left-connected component of  $E_{\psi(w)}$  containing  $w$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $w \in E_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$ . Assume that  $E_1, E_2 \subset [2n]$  satisfy the conditions (1)-(2) below.*

(1)  $m := |E_2| > 0$  and  $E_1 \dot{\cup} E_2 = [2n]$  such that all elements of  $E_2$  are  $w$ -wild heads.

(2)  $E_1 \prec_w E_2$ .

If  $m < n$ , then for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists some  $w_p \in K_w$  satisfying the condition (a) below.

(a)  $\langle ([m])w_p \rangle = \langle (E_2)w \rangle$  and  $[m+1, 2n] \prec_{w_p} [m]$  with  $2np < d_{w_p}([m+1, 2n], [m]) < 2n(p+1)$ .

If  $m = n$ , then for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists some  $w_p \in K_w$  satisfying one of the conditions (a')-(b') below.

(a')  $\langle ([n])w_p \rangle = \langle (E_2)w \rangle$  and  $[n+1, 2n] \prec_{w_p} [n]$  with  $4np < d_{w_p}([n+1, 2n], [n]) < (4p+2)n$ .

(b')  $\langle ([n+1, 2n])w_p \rangle = \langle (E_2)w \rangle$  and  $[n] \prec_{w_p} [n+1, 2n]$  with  $4pn+2n < d_{w_p}([n], [n+1, 2n]) < 4n(p+1)$ .

*Proof.* We have  $m \leq n$  and  $\overline{E_2} \subseteq E_1$  by the assumption (1) on  $E_2$ . To show our result, we need only to deal with the case of  $p = 0$ .

First assume  $m < n$ . Let  $E'_1 = E_1 - \overline{E_2}$ . Then  $|E'_1| = 2(n-m) > 0$  is even and  $\overline{E'_1} = E'_1$  and  $d_w(E_1, E_2) = d_w(E'_1, E_2)$ . Write  $d_w(E'_1, E_2) = 2nq + r$  with some  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r \in [2n-1]$  (note that  $2n \nmid d_w(E'_1, E_2)$ ). There are uniquely determined order-preserving bijections  $\tau : E_2 \rightarrow [m]$  and  $\tau' : E'_1 \rightarrow [m+1, 2n-m]$ . Let  $w_0 \in \tilde{C}_n$  be given by the requirements that  $(\tau(j))w_0 = (j)w - 2nq$  for any  $j \in E_2$  and  $(\tau'(h))w_0 = (h)w$  for any  $h \in E'_1$ , where we do not display the values  $(l)w_0$  for  $l \in [2n-m+1, 2n]$  since they are determined by the equations  $\overline{(\tau(l))w_0} = \overline{(\tau(l))w_0}$  for any  $l \in E_2$  (similar treatment for those in the remaining part of the section). Then it is easily seen that  $w_0$  can be obtained from  $w$  by successively left-multiplying some  $t_i$ 's in the case of 3.6 (e) (meaning that  $w_0 = t_{j_a} t_{j_{a-1}} \cdots t_{j_1} w$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $j_h \in [n]$  such that  $|(j_h)x_{h-1} - (j_h+1)x_{h-1}| > 2n$  for every  $h \in [a]$ , where  $x_h := t_{j_h} t_{j_{h-1}} \cdots t_{j_1} w$  for any  $h \in [a]$ ) and hence  $w_0 \in K_w$ . Clearly,  $w_0$  satisfies the condition (a) in the case of  $p = 0$ .

Next assume  $m = n$ . Then  $E_1 = \overline{E_2}$ . Write  $d_w(\overline{E_2}, E_2) = 4nq + r$  with some  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r \in [4n - 1]$ . When  $r \in [2n - 1]$ , let  $\tau : E_2 \rightarrow [n]$  be the uniquely determined order-preserving bijection and let  $w_0 \in \tilde{C}_n$  be given by the requirements that  $(\tau(j))w_0 = (j)w - 2nq$  for any  $j \in E_2$ . When  $r \in [2n + 1, 4n - 1]$ , let  $\tau' : E_2 \rightarrow [n + 1, 2n]$  be the uniquely determined order-preserving bijection and let  $w_0 \in \tilde{C}_n$  be given by the requirements that  $(\tau'(j))w_0 = (j)w - 2nq$  for any  $j \in E_2$ . In either case,  $w_0$  can be obtained from  $w$  by successively left-multiplying some  $t_i$ 's in the case of 3.6 (e), hence  $w_0 \in K_w$ . Clearly,  $w_0$  satisfies the condition (a') or (b') in the case of  $p = 0$ .  $\square$

**3.8.** Let  $w \in E_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$ . Assume that  $E_1, E_2, E_3 \subset [2n]$  satisfy the conditions (i)-(iii) below.

(i)  $E_1 \dot{\cup} E_2 \dot{\cup} E_3 \dot{\cup} \overline{E_2} \dot{\cup} \overline{E_3} = [2n]$  such that  $E_2 \dot{\cup} E_3$  consists of some  $w$ -wild heads in  $[2n]$ , where the case  $E_1 = \emptyset$  and/or  $E_3 = \emptyset$  is allowed;

(ii) If  $E_1 \neq \emptyset$  then  $E_1 \prec_w E_2 \prec_w E_3$ ; if  $E_1 = \emptyset$  then  $\overline{E_2} \prec_w E_2 \prec_w E_3$ ;

(iii)  $E_2 = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r\}$  is a  $w$ -antichain with  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_r$  for some  $r > 1$ . Let  $|E_i| = m_i$  for  $i \in [3]$ . Denote  $E'_3 = [m_3]$ ,  $E'_2 = [m_3 + 1, m_3 + m_2]$  and  $E'_1 = [m_3 + m_2 + 1, 2n - m_3 - m_2]$ . There are uniquely determined order-preserving bijections  $\tau_i : E_i \rightarrow E'_i$ ,  $i \in [3]$ . Let  $w_1 \in \tilde{C}_n$  be given by the requirements that  $(\tau_i(j))w_1 = (j)w$  for any  $i \in [3]$  and  $j \in E_i$ . Then  $w_1$  can be obtained from  $w$  by successively left-multiplying some  $t_i$ 's in the case of 3.6 (e). We see that  $w_1$  is in  $K_w$  and satisfies the conditions (i')-(iii') below.

(i')  $E'_1 \dot{\cup} E'_2 \dot{\cup} E'_3 \dot{\cup} \overline{E'_2} \dot{\cup} \overline{E'_3} = [2n]$  such that  $E'_2 \dot{\cup} E'_3$  consists of some  $w_1$ -wild heads in  $[2n]$ , where the case  $E'_1 = \emptyset$  and/or  $E'_3 = \emptyset$  is allowed;

(ii') If  $E'_1 \neq \emptyset$  then  $E'_1 > E'_2 > E'_3$  and  $E'_1 <_{w_1} E'_2 <_{w_1} E'_3$ ; if  $E'_1 = \emptyset$  then  $\overline{E'_2} > E'_2 > E'_3$  and  $\overline{E'_2} <_{w_1} E'_2 <_{w_1} E'_3$ ;

(iii')  $E'_2 = \{a + 1, a + 2, \dots, a + r\}$  is a  $w_1$ -antichain with  $a = |E'_3|$ .

Let  $w_2 = t_{a+2n} \cdots t_{a+r+1} t_{a+r} w_1$  (see 2.1). Then for any  $l \in [2n]$ , we have

$$(3.8.1) \quad (l)w_2 = \begin{cases} (l)w_1, & \text{if } l \notin E'_2 \cup \overline{E'_2}, \\ (l-1)w_1, & \text{if } l \in [a+2, a+r], \\ (a+r)w_1 - 2n, & \text{if } l = a+1. \end{cases}$$

From (3.8.1), we have  $((a+1)w_2, (a+2)w_2, \dots, (a+r)w_2) = ((a+r)w_1 - 2n, (a+1)w_1, (a+2)w_1, \dots, (a+r-1)w_1)$ . Comparing with the sequences of the column indexes

modulo  $2n$  for the entries 1 in the  $(a+1)$ th,  $(a+2)$ th, ...,  $(a+r)$ th rows of the matrix forms of  $w_1, w_2$ , it looks likely that the sequence  $\langle (a+1)w_1 \rangle, \langle (a+2)w_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle (a+r)w_1 \rangle$  is cyclicly permuted to  $\langle (a+r)w_1 \rangle, \langle (a+1)w_1 \rangle, \langle (a+2)w_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle (a+r-1)w_1 \rangle$  as  $w_1$  is transformed to  $w_2$ . We have  $E'_1 <_{w_2} E'_2 <_{w_2} E'_3$  if

$$(3.8.2) \quad E'_1 \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad d_{w_1}(E'_1, E'_2) > 2n - (a+r)w_1 + (a+1)w_1.$$

and  $\overline{E'_2} <_{w_2} E'_2 <_{w_2} E'_3$  if

$$(3.8.3) \quad E'_1 = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad (a+r)w_1 > 3n.$$

When (3.8.2) (respectively, (3.8.3)) holds, we have  $d_{w_2}(E'_1, E'_2) < d_{w_1}(E'_1, E'_2)$  (respectively,  $d_{w_2}(\overline{E'_2}, E'_2) < d_{w_1}(\overline{E'_2}, E'_2)$ ) and  $d_{w_2}(E'_2, E'_3) > d_{w_1}(E'_2, E'_3)$  and that  $w_2 \in K_{w_1}$  since  $w_2$  can be obtained from  $w_1$  by successively left-multiplying some  $t_i$ 's in the case of 3.6 (e).

Call each of the transformations  $w_1 \mapsto w_2$  and  $w_2 \mapsto w_1$  an *admissible  $E'_2$ -move* if one of the conditions (3.8.2) and (3.8.3) holds. More precisely, call the transformation  $w_1 \mapsto w_2$  a *back admissible  $E'_2$ -move*, and  $w_2 \mapsto w_1$  a *forward admissible  $E'_2$ -move*. By successively applying back (respectively, forward) admissible  $E'_2$ -moves on  $w_1$  whenever they are applicable, we can “move” the entries 1 in the  $i$ th rows for all  $i \in E'_2 \cup \overline{E'_2}$  close to (respectively, away from) the point  $(n + \frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2})$ , but with the entries 1 in the  $j$ th rows for all  $j \in [2n] - E'_2 \cup \overline{E'_2}$  fixed, such that the resulting element  $w_3$  is in  $K_{w_1}$  and satisfies the conditions (i')-(iii') above with  $w_3$  in the place of  $w_1$ .

**3.9.** Let  $w \in \Omega \cap E_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$ . Then  $T(w) = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_r) \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}$  and  $\xi(T(w)) = \mathbf{a} := (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{2n}$  with  $\mathbf{a}$  symmetric and with  $\overline{T_i} = T_{r+1-i}$  for any  $i \in [r]$  by Lemma 3.5. Let  $E_i = [a_r + a_{r-1} + \dots + a_{i+1} + 1, a_r + a_{r-1} + \dots + a_{i+1} + a_i]$  for  $i \in [r]$  with the convention that  $E_r = [a_r]$ . Assume

$$(3.9.1) \quad E_1 <_w E_2 <_w \dots <_w E_r \quad \text{and} \quad \langle (E_i)w \rangle = T_i \quad \text{for any } i \in [r].$$

If  $r = 2m$  is even, then  $E_i$  is a maximal  $w$ -antichain consisting of some  $w$ -wild heads for any  $i \in [m+1, 2m]$ . If  $r = 2m+1$  is odd, then  $E_{m+1}$  is a maximal  $w$ -antichain consisting of all  $w$ -tame integers in  $[2n]$  and satisfies  $\overline{E_{m+1}} = E_{m+1}$ , and  $E_i$  is a maximal  $w$ -antichain consisting of some  $w$ -wild heads for any  $i \in [m+2, 2m+1]$ .

An element  $w \in \Omega \cap \tilde{C}_n$  is called *standard* if  $w$  with  $T(w) = (T_1, \dots, T_r)$  and  $\xi(T(w)) = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$  satisfies the condition (3.9.1). A standard element  $w$  of  $\Omega \cap \tilde{C}_n$  is called *minimal* if there is no any back admissible  $E_i$ -move,  $i \in [\lceil \frac{r+2}{2} \rceil, r]$ , applicable to  $w$ , where the notation  $\lceil x \rceil$  stands for the smallest integer not smaller than  $x$  for any rational number  $x$ . It is easily seen that for any  $2n$ -self-dual  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ , there exists a **unique minimal standard element** in  $T^{-1}(\mathbf{T}) \cap \tilde{C}_n$ .

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $w, y \in \tilde{C}_n$ .*

(1) *If  $y$  is obtained from  $w$  by some admissible  $E$ -moves with  $E$  ranging over some maximal  $w$ -antichains of  $[2n]$  each of those  $w$ -antichains consists of some  $w$ -wild heads, then  $w$  and  $y$  are in the same left-connected component of  $E_{\psi(w)}$ .*

(2) *If  $w, y \in \Omega \cap E_\lambda$  with  $T(w) = T(y)$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$ , then  $w, y$  are in the same left-connected component of  $E_\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* (1) follows by the definition of an admissible  $E$ -move. Now consider (2). By successively left-multiplying some  $t_i$ 's in the case of 3.6 (e) and some back admissible moves on  $w, y$ , we can transform  $w, y$  to some standard minimal elements  $w', y'$  in  $\Omega \cap E_\lambda$ , respectively. Hence  $w' \in K_w$  and  $y' \in K_y$  by 3.6 and 3.8. Since  $T(w') = T(w) = T(y) = T(y')$ , we have  $w' = y'$  by 3.9. This proves (2).  $\square$

Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{2n}$  be symmetric and let  $\mathbf{a}' = (a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_r)$  be defined by setting

$$a'_l = \begin{cases} a_l, & \text{if } l \notin [r] - \{j, j+1, r-j, r+1-j\}, \\ a_{l+1}, & \text{if } l \in \{j, r-j\}, \\ a_{l-1}, & \text{if } l \in \{j+1, r+1-j\}. \end{cases}$$

for some  $j \in [\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil + 1, r-1]$  with  $a_j \neq a_{j+1}$ . Then  $\mathbf{a}'$  is also symmetric.

We say that  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{a}'$  can be obtained from each other by a *simple neighboring-terms-transposition*. Let  $\lambda = \zeta(\mathbf{a})^\vee$ .

Clearly, any two symmetric  $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}' \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{2n}$  with  $\zeta(\mathbf{b}) = \zeta(\mathbf{b}')$  can be obtained from one to the other by a sequence of simple neighboring-terms-transpositions.

**Lemma 3.11.** *In the above setup, there are some  $w, w'' \in \Omega \cap \tilde{C}_n$  such that  $\xi(T(w)) = \mathbf{a}$ , that  $\xi(T(w'')) = \mathbf{a}'$  and that  $w'' \in K_w$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $a_j < a_{j+1}$  without loss of generality. By Lemma 3.5, we



may take some  $w \in E_\lambda \cap \Omega$  with  $T(w) = (T_1, \dots, T_r)$  such that there are some  $E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4 \subset [2n]$  satisfying the conditions (i)-(iii) below.

(i)  $E_1 \dot{\cup} E_2 \dot{\cup} E_3 \dot{\cup} E_4 \dot{\cup} \overline{E_2} \dot{\cup} \overline{E_3} \dot{\cup} \overline{E_4} = [2n]$  with  $E_2 \dot{\cup} E_3 \dot{\cup} E_4$  consisting of some  $w$ -wild heads in  $[2n]$ , where  $E_1 = \emptyset$  if and only if  $j = r/2$  and,  $E_4 = \emptyset$  if and only if  $j = r-1$ ;

(ii) If  $E_1 \neq \emptyset$  then  $E_1 > E_2 > E_3 > E_4$  and  $E_1 <_w E_2 <_w E_3 <_w E_4$  and  $d_w(E_i, E_{i+1}) > 2n$  for any  $i \in [3]$ , where we regard  $d_w(E_3, E_4) > 2n$  as an empty condition if  $E_4 = \emptyset$ . If  $E_1 = \emptyset$  then  $\overline{E_2} > E_2 > E_3 > E_4$  and  $\overline{E_2} <_w E_2 <_w E_3 <_w E_4$  and  $d_w(\overline{E_2}, E_2), d_w(E_2, E_3), d_w(E_3, E_4) > 2n$ ;

(iii)  $E_2 = \{a + u + 1, a + u + 2, \dots, a + u + v\}$  and  $E_3 = \{a + 1, a + 2, \dots, a + u\}$  with  $v = a_j$ ,  $u = a_{j+1}$  and  $a = \sum_{k=j+2}^r a_k = |E_4|$  and

$$(3.11.1) \quad T_j = \{\langle (a + u + i)w \rangle \mid i \in [v]\} \quad \text{and} \quad T_{j+1} = \{\langle (a + j)w \rangle \mid j \in [u]\}.$$

Since both  $E_2$  and  $E_3$  are  $w$ -antichains and satisfy the conditions (i)-(iii), we have that

(iv)  $(a + u + 1)w < (a + 1)w < (a + 2)w < \dots < (a + u)w < (a + 1)w + 2n$  and  $(a + u + 1)w < (a + u + 2)w < \dots < (a + u + v)w < (a + u + 1)w + 2n$ .

Let  $Q = (E_1 \cup E_4 \cup \overline{E_4}, \prec_w)$ . By 3.2, we have

(v)  $Q \in \Omega$  and

$$(3.11.2) \quad T(Q) = (T_1, \dots, \widehat{T_{r-j}}, \widehat{T_{r-j+1}}, \dots, \widehat{T_j}, \widehat{T_{j+1}}, \dots, T_r),$$

where the notation  $\widehat{T_i}$  stands for the deletion of the component  $T_i$ .

Define the set  $\Delta(\mathbf{a}; j)$  of all the elements  $w \in \tilde{C}_n$  with  $E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4 \subset [2n]$  satisfying the above conditions (i),(iii)-(v) together with the condition (ii') below.

(ii') If  $E_1 \neq \emptyset$  then  $E_1 > E_2 > E_3 > E_4$  and  $E_1 <_w E_2$  and  $E_3 <_w E_4$  and  $d_w(E_i, E_{i+1}) > 2n$  for any  $i \in \{1, 3\}$ , where we regard  $d_w(E_3, E_4) > 2n$  as an empty condition if  $E_4 = \emptyset$ . If  $E_1 = \emptyset$  then  $\overline{E_2} > E_2 > E_3 > E_4$  and  $\overline{E_2} <_w E_2$  and  $E_3 <_w E_4$  and  $d_w(\overline{E_2}, E_2), d_w(E_3, E_4) > 2n$ .

Since the condition (ii) implies (ii'), any  $w \in E_\lambda \cap \Omega$  satisfying the conditions (i)-(v) belongs to the set  $\Delta(\mathbf{a}; j)$ .

For any  $w \in \Delta(\mathbf{a}; j)$ , consider the poset  $P'_w := (E_2 \cup E_3, \prec_w)$ . We have that  $\psi(w) \leq \lambda$ , that  $\psi(P'_w) \leq \mathbf{2}^v \mathbf{1}^{u-v}$  (see 3.2), and that

(3.11.2)  $\psi(w) = \lambda$  if and only if  $\psi(P'_w) = \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}}$ .

Define a sequence  $\xi_w : i_{a+u+1}, i_{a+u+2}, \dots, i_{a+u+v}$  in the set  $[a+1, a+u]$  recurrently as follows. Let  $i_{a+u+1} = a+1$ . Now take  $p \in [2, v]$  and assume that all the  $i_{a+u+l}$ 's with  $l \in [p-1]$  have been defined. Define  $i_{a+u+p}$  to be the smallest  $k \in [a+1, a+u] - \{i_{a+u+l} \mid l \in [p-1]\}$  with  $(a+u+p)w < (k)w$ . The sequence  $\xi_w$  does not exist in general.

(3.11.3) The sequence  $\xi_w$  exists if and only if  $\psi(P'_w) = \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}}$ .

For  $w \in \Delta(\mathbf{a}; j)$  with  $\psi(P'_w) = \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}}$  (hence  $\psi(w) = \lambda$  by (3.11.2)), define  $w' \in \tilde{C}_n$  by the requirements that for any  $l \in [2n]$ ,

$$(3.11.4) \quad (l)w' = \begin{cases} (l)w, & \text{if } l \notin E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \overline{E_2} \cup \overline{E_3}, \\ (i_{a+u+p})w, & \text{if } l = a+p \text{ for some } p \in [v], \\ (l-v)w, & \text{if } l-v \in [a+1, a+u] - \{i_{a+u+p} \mid p \in [v]\}, \\ (a+u+p)w, & \text{if } l-v = i_{a+u+p} \text{ for some } p \in [v]. \end{cases}$$

Then  $w'$  can be obtained from  $w$  by successively applying some left star operations. More precisely, denote  $t_{b,c,j} := t_{b+1}t_{b+2} \cdots \widehat{t_j} \cdots t_{b+c}$  for any  $j \in [b+1, b+c]$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{P}$ , where the notation  $\widehat{t_j}$  means the omission of the factor  $t_j$ . Then

$$w' = t_{a+v-1, u, i_{a+u+v}+v-1} \cdots t_{a+1, u, i_{a+u+2}+1} t_{a, u, i_{a+u+1}} w.$$

So  $w' \in K_w$  by 3.6 and hence  $w' \underset{L}{\sim} w$  by Lemma 2.10.

Let  $E'_2 = \{a+v+1, a+v+2, \dots, a+v+u\}$  and  $E'_3 = \{a+1, a+2, \dots, a+v\}$ . Then  $(a+v+1)w' < (a+v+2)w' < \cdots < (a+v+u)w'$  and  $(a+1)w' < (a+2)w' < \cdots < (a+v)w' < (a+1)w' + 2n$ . Hence  $E'_3$  is a  $w'$ -antichain. If  $E'_2$  is also a  $w'$ -antichain (i.e.,  $(a+v+u)w' < (a+v+1)w' + 2n$ ), then define  $w'' \in \tilde{C}_n$  by the requirements that for any  $l \in [2n]$ ,

$$(l)w'' = \begin{cases} (l)w', & \text{if } l \in E_1 \cup E'_2 \cup \overline{E'_2}, \\ (l)w' + 2n, & \text{if } l \in E'_3 \cup E_4. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\psi(w'') = \psi(w')$  and  $\ell(w'') = \ell(w') + \ell(w''w'^{-1})$  by Lemma 2.2. We have  $w'' \in \Omega$  with  $\xi(T(w'')) = \mathbf{a}'$ . Now assume that  $E'_2$  is not a  $w'$ -antichain. Since  $v < u$ , we have  $a+p \notin \{i_{a+u+l} \mid l \in [v]\}$  for some  $p \in [u]$ . Take such an integer

$a + p$  with  $p$  largest possible. By the construction of the sequence  $\xi_w$ , we have  $((a + v + 1)w', (a + v + u)w') = ((a + u + 1)w, (a + p)w)$  and  $2n < (a + v + u)w' - (a + v + 1)w' = (a + p)w - (a + u + 1)w$  by our assumption on  $E'_2$ . We claim that

$$(3.11.5) \quad p = u.$$

For otherwise, we would have  $p < u$  and  $i_{a+u+v} = a + u$ . By the construction of the sequence  $\xi_w$ , this would imply  $(a + u + v)w > (a + p)w$  and further  $(a + u + v)w - (a + u + 1)w > 2n$ , contradicting the assumption that  $E_2$  is a  $w$ -antichain. The claim is proved. Let  $w_1 = t_{a+2n}t_{a+2n-1} \cdots t_{a+u}w$  and  $y = t_{2n}t_{2n-1} \cdots t_{a+u}w$ . Then we have that for any  $l \in [2n]$ ,

$$(3.11.6) \quad (l)w_1 = \begin{cases} (l)w, & \text{if } l \notin E_3 \cup \overline{E_3}, \\ (l-1)w, & \text{if } l \in [a+2, a+u], \\ (a+u)w - 2n, & \text{if } l = a+1. \end{cases}$$

and that  $\ell(y) = \ell(w) - \ell(wy^{-1}) = \ell(w_1) - \ell(w_1y^{-1})$  by Lemma 2.6. Then  $w_1$  is in  $\Delta(\mathbf{a}; j)$ , which can be obtained from  $y$  by successively left-multiplying some  $t_i$ 's in the case of 3.6 (e) and so  $w_1 \in K_y$ . We can define a sequence  $\xi_{w_1} : i'_{a+u+1}, i'_{a+u+2}, \dots, i'_{a+u+v}$  from the poset  $P'_{w_1} = (E_2 \cup E_3, \preceq_{w_1})$  in the same way as  $\xi_w$  from  $P'_w = (E_2 \cup E_3, \preceq_w)$ . We claim that  $\xi_{w_1}$  does exist. For, we have  $i'_{a+u+1} = a + 1$  since  $(a + 1)w_1 = (a + u)w - 2n > (a + u + 1)w = (a + u + 1)w_1$ . This implies that  $i'_{a+u+q} \leq i_{a+u+q} + 1$  for any  $q \in [2, v]$  by the construction of the sequence  $\xi_{w_1}$  and by the facts that  $(a + l)w_1 = (a + l - 1)w$  and  $(a + u + m)w_1 = (a + u + m)w$  for any  $l \in [2, u]$  and  $m \in [v]$ . So the sequence  $\xi_{w_1}$  does exist by (3.11.5). The claim is proved.

By (3.11.3), the above claim implies  $\psi(P'_{w_1}) = \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}}$  and further  $\psi(w_1) = \lambda$  by (3.11.2). Since  $y \in K_w$  by Corollary 2.10, this implies  $w_1 \in K_w$ .

We define  $w'_1, w''_1$  from  $w_1$  in the same way as  $w', w''$  from  $w$ . If  $E'_2$  is a  $w'_1$ -antichain, then  $w''_1$  is in  $\Omega \cap K_w$  and satisfies  $\xi(T(w''_1)) = \mathbf{a}'$ . If  $E'_2$  is not a  $w'_1$ -antichain, then we can find some  $w_2 \in \Delta(\mathbf{a}; j) \cap K_w$  from  $w_1$  in the same way as  $w_1$  from  $w$ . By applying induction on  $(a + u)w - (a + u + 1)w > 0$  and by noting that  $(a + u)w - (a + u + 1)w > (a + u)w_1 - (a + u + 1)w_1 > (a + u)w_2 - (a + u + 1)w_2 > \cdots > 0$ , we can eventually find some  $w_q, q \geq 1$ , in  $\Delta(\mathbf{a}; j) \cap K_w$  and define  $w'_q, w''_q$  from  $w_q$  in the same way as  $w', w''$  from  $w$  such that the set  $E'_2$  is  $w'_q$ -antichain and that  $w''_q$  is in  $\Omega \cap K_w$  and satisfies  $\xi(T(w''_q)) = \mathbf{a}'$ . So our proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a left cell of  $\tilde{C}_n$ .*

(1) *The set  $\Gamma \cap \Omega$  is non-empty if and only if there is some symmetric  $\mathbf{a} \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{2n}$  such that  $\zeta(\mathbf{a})^\vee = \psi(\Gamma)$ .*

(2) *The set  $\Gamma \cap \Omega$  is contained in some left-connected component of  $E_{\psi(\Gamma)}$  if it is non-empty.*

*Proof.* The assertion (1) follows by Lemmas 2.7, 3.3 and 3.5. For (2), take any  $w, y \in \Gamma \cap \Omega$ . Then the compositions  $\mathbf{a} := \xi(T(w))$  and  $\mathbf{a}' := \xi(T(y))$  are both symmetric and satisfy  $\zeta(\mathbf{a}) = \zeta(\mathbf{a}')$  by Lemmas 2.7 and 3.5. Hence  $\mathbf{a}'$  can be obtained from  $\mathbf{a}$  by successively applying some simple neighboring-terms-transpositions (see the definition preceding Lemma 3.11). So  $y \in K_w$  by Lemmas 2.7, 3.3, 3.10 (2) and 3.11. The assertion (2) is proved.  $\square$

**3.13.** A partition  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$  is called *dual-symmetrizable*, if there exists some symmetric  $\mathbf{a} \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{2n}$  satisfying  $\zeta(\mathbf{a})^\vee = \lambda$ , and called *nice* if  $\Omega \cap K_w \neq \emptyset$  for any  $w \in E_\lambda$ . By Lemma 3.5, we see that any nice  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$  is dual-symmetrizable.

We conjecture that the converse also holds.

**Conjecture 3.14.** *Any dual-symmetrizable  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$  is nice.*

**Theorem 3.15.** *Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2n}$  be nice. Then any left cell of  $\tilde{C}_n$  in  $E_\lambda$  is left-connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be a left cell of  $\tilde{C}_n$  in  $E_\lambda$ . We must show that any  $w, w' \in \Gamma$  are in the same left-connected component of  $\Gamma$ . Since  $\lambda$  is nice, we can take some  $y \in \Omega \cap K_w$  and  $y' \in \Omega \cap K_{w'}$ . Then  $y, y' \in \Omega \cap \Gamma$  by Lemma 2.9. This implies that  $y, y'$  are in the same left-connected component of  $\Gamma$  by Theorem 3.12 and Lemma 2.9. Our result follows.  $\square$

#### §4. The cells of $\tilde{C}_n$ in the set $E_{(2n-k,k)}$ .

In the present section, we shall study the cells of  $\tilde{C}_n$  in the set  $E_{(2n-k,k)}$  for any  $k \in [n]$ . The main results are Theorems 4.12 and 4.13. The crucial step in the section is to prove that Conjecture 3.14 holds in the case of  $\lambda = (2n - k, k)$ .

First we give a brief description for the elements in  $E_{(2n-k,k)}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $k \in [n]$  and  $w \in \tilde{C}_n$ .*

(1)  *$w$  is in  $E_{(2n-k,k)}$  if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

(1a) *The maximal length of a  $w$ -chain in  $[2n]$  is  $2n - k$ ;*

(1b) Any maximal  $w$ -antichain in  $[2n]$  has the cardinal  $\leq 2$ .

(2) Let  $w \in E_{(2n-k, k)}$  be such that the set  $E$  of all the  $w$ -tame heads in  $[2n]$  is non-empty. Then both  $E$  and  $\overline{E}$  are  $w$ -chains. The set  $F$  of all the  $w$ -wild heads in  $[2n]$  can be partitioned into at most two parts, say  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , such that the elements of  $F_1 \cup E \cup \overline{F_2}$  are pairwise not  $2n$ -dual and comprise a  $w$ -chain of length  $n$ .

*Proof.* The implication “ $\implies$ ” in (1) is obvious. For the implication “ $\impliedby$ ” in (1), let  $\psi(w) = \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \in \Lambda_{2n}$ . Then the condition (1a) implies  $\lambda_1 = 2n - k$ . We have  $r \geq 2$  by the assumption  $k \in [n]$  and  $r \leq 2$  by the condition (1b). Hence  $r = 2$  and  $\lambda_2 = 2n - (2n - k) = k$ . So (1) is proved. Then (2) follows by Lemma 2.12 and by the facts that any  $i \in E$  is  $w$ -uncomparable with any  $j \in \overline{E}$  and that  $[2n]$  can be partitioned into exactly two  $w$ -chains.  $\square$

Denote by  $\text{wh}_w(\gamma)$  (respectively,  $\text{tm}_w(\gamma)$ ,  $\text{wt}_w(\gamma)$ ) the number of  $w$ -wild heads (respectively,  $w$ -tame integers,  $w$ -wild tails) in a  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$  for any  $w \in \tilde{C}_n$ .

Given  $w \in E_\lambda$  with  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_t) \in \Lambda_{2n}$  and  $\lambda_1 < 2n$ . In 4.2-4.6, we shall transform the element  $w$  in several steps, each step proceeds by successively left-multiplying some  $t_i$ 's, most of them being in the cases of 3.6 (a)-(e), such that all the intermediate elements, including the resulting element  $w'$ , are in the set  $K_w$  and that  $w'$  has some special form. For the sake of simplifying the notation, we denote some intermediate elements again by  $w$  from time to time.

**4.2.** Since  $w \in E_\lambda$ , we can choose some  $w$ -chain  $\gamma : j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{\lambda_1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  with  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{\lambda_1}$ . Let  $r = \text{wh}_w(\gamma) + \text{tm}_w(\gamma)$ . We see by Lemma 2.13 that for any  $a \in [\lambda_1]$ ,  $j_a$  is a  $w$ -wild tail if and only if  $a \in [r + 1, \lambda_1]$ . We may assume the following conditions (4.2a)-(4.2b) on the  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$  at the beginning.

**(4.2a)**  $\text{wh}_w(\gamma) \geq \text{wt}_w(\gamma)$ .

For otherwise,  $\text{wh}_w(\gamma) < \text{wt}_w(\gamma)$ . Then we replace  $\gamma$  by  $\overline{\gamma}$ , the latter is obtained from  $\gamma$  by replacing each term  $d$  of  $\gamma$  by its  $2n$ -dual  $\overline{d} := 2n + 1 - d$  and then by reversing the order of the resulting terms. Since  $\overline{d}$  is a  $w$ -wild head (respectively, a  $w$ -wild tail) if and only if  $d$  is a  $w$ -wild tail (respectively, a  $w$ -wild head), we see that  $\overline{\gamma}$  is a  $w$ -chain and satisfies  $\text{wh}_w(\overline{\gamma}) = \text{wt}_w(\gamma) > \text{wh}_w(\gamma) = \text{wt}_w(\overline{\gamma})$ .

By (4.2a), we have

$$(4.2.1) \quad r \in \left[ \left\lceil \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \right\rceil, n \right]$$

since  $r = \text{wh}_w(\gamma) + \text{tm}_w(\gamma)$ .

**(4.2b)**  $0 < j_{a+1} - j_a < 2n$  for any  $a \in [\lambda_1 - 1]$ .

For otherwise, say  $j_{a+1} - j_a > 2n$  for some  $a \in [\lambda_1 - 1]$ . Let  $\gamma' : j'_1, j'_2, \dots, j'_{\lambda_1}$  be the sequence  $j_1 + 2n, j_2 + 2n, \dots, j_a + 2n, j_{a+1}, \dots, j_{\lambda_1}$ . Then  $\gamma'$  is also a  $w$ -chain with an additional property that  $j'_{\lambda_1} - j'_1 < j_{\lambda_1} - j_1$ . By applying induction on  $j_{\lambda_1} - j_1 \geq \lambda_1 - 1$ , we can eventually get a  $w$ -chain  $\gamma'' : j''_1, j''_2, \dots, j''_{\lambda_1}$  satisfying  $0 < j''_{a+1} - j''_a < 2n$  for any  $a \in [\lambda_1 - 1]$ . By our construction, we have  $\text{wh}_w(\gamma'') = \text{wh}_w(\gamma)$  and  $\text{wt}_w(\gamma'') = \text{wt}_w(\gamma)$ , so the validity of (4.2a) on  $\gamma$  implies that on  $\gamma''$ .

**4.3.** Now we want to transform  $w$  to some  $w' \in K_w$  such that there exist some  $w'$ -chain  $\gamma' : i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{\lambda_1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and some  $r' \in [\lambda_1]$  satisfying the conditions (4.3a)-(4.3b) below.

**(4.3a)**  $i_{a+1} - i_a = 1$  for any  $a \in [r' - 1]$ ;

**(4.3b)**  $r' := \text{wh}_{w'}(\gamma') + \text{tm}_{w'}(\gamma') \geq r \geq \lceil \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \rceil$ .

If  $r = 1$  then we take  $w'$  to be  $w$  and hence there is nothing to do. Now assume that  $r > 1$  and that there is some  $a \in [r - 1]$  with  $j_{a+1} - j_a > 1$ . We may take such a number  $a$  smallest possible. Consider the number  $j_a + 1$ . We have either  $(j_a + 1)w > (j_a)w$  or  $(j_a + 1)w < (j_{a+1})w$  (that is, we never have  $(j_{a+1})w < (j_a + 1)w < (j_a)w$ ) by the assumption of  $w \in E_\lambda$ . When  $(j_a + 1)w > (j_a)w$ , let  $b$  be the smallest integer in  $[a]$  with  $(j_b)w < (j_a + 1)w$ . Let  $y = t_{j_1} t_{j_2} \cdots \widehat{t_{j_b}} \cdots t_{j_a} w$ , where the notation  $\widehat{t}$  means the omission of the factor  $t$ . Then  $y$  is obtained from  $w$  by successively applying certain left star operations and hence  $y \in K_w$ , where there is a  $y$ -chain of length  $\lambda_1$  with  $j_1 + 1, j_2 + 1, \dots, j_a + 1, j_{a+1}$  as its first  $a + 1$  terms. When  $(j_a + 1)w < (j_{a+1})w$ , there are two possibilities:

- (1)  $\langle j_a + 1 \rangle = \overline{\langle j_a \rangle}$ ;
- (2)  $\langle j_a + 1 \rangle \neq \overline{\langle j_a \rangle}$ .

In the case (1), we see that  $j_a + i$ ,  $i \in [a]$ , are all  $w$ -wild tails with  $\langle j_a + i \rangle = \overline{\langle j_{a+1-i} \rangle}$ , hence  $j_{a+1} - j_a > a$ . Let  $J = \{t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, \dots, t_{j_a}\}$  and  $I = J - \{t_{j_a}\}$  and  $y = w_J w_I w$ . If  $j_{a+1}$  is a  $w$ -wild head, then we have  $(j_a)w - (j_a + 1)w > 2n$  by the facts

$(j_{a+1})w - \frac{1}{2}((j_a)w + (j_a + 1)w) > n$  and  $(j_a)w > (j_{a+1})w$ . So, if  $(j_a)w - (j_a + 1)w < 2n$  then  $j_{a+1}$  must be  $w$ -tame, in this case, let  $H_1$  be the set of all the  $w$ -tame integers in  $[j_a + 1, j_a + n]$  and let  $H_2$  be the set of all the  $w$ -tame integers in  $[j_a - n + 1, j_a]$ . Then each of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  forms a  $w$ -chain and the equality  $\langle H_2 \rangle = \overline{\langle H_1 \rangle}$  holds by the assumption  $w \in E_{(2n-k, k)}$  and by Lemma 4.1(2), where we define  $\langle H \rangle := \{\langle h \rangle \mid h \in H\}$  and  $\overline{H'} = \{\overline{h'} \mid h' \in H'\}$  for any  $H \subset \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H' \subseteq [2n]$ . By Lemmas 4.1 (2) and 2.12, we see that there is some  $w$ -chain  $j'_1, j'_2, \dots, j'_{a'-1}, j_a, j_{a+1}, j'_{a'+2}, \dots, j'_n$  of length  $n$  whose terms are pairwise not  $2n$ -dual modulo  $2n$  and  $j'_1, j'_2, \dots, j'_{a'-1}, j_a$  are all  $w$ -wild heads and  $H_1 = \{j_{a+1}, j'_{a'+2}, \dots, j'_{a'+c}\}$  and  $j'_{a'+c+1}, \dots, j'_n$  are all  $w$ -wild tails, where  $c = |H_1|$ . So in either case, we have  $\ell(y) = \ell(w) - \ell(w_J w_I)$  and  $y \in E_{(2n-k, k)}$  by Lemmas 2.6 and 4.1, hence  $y \in K_w$  by Corollary 2.10, such that there exists a  $y$ -chain  $\gamma'$  of length  $2n - k$  with the first  $a + 1$  terms being  $j_1 + a, j_2 + a, \dots, j_a + a, j_{a+1}$  and with  $\text{wh}_y(\gamma') + \text{tm}_y(\gamma') \geq r$ . Note that in the case of  $(j_a)w - (j_a + 1)w < 2n$ , we can obtain  $y = w_J w_I w$  from  $w$  by successively left-multiplying some  $t_i$ 's, though not all in the case of 3.6 (a)-(e), but still having  $y \in K_w$ , as shown above.

In the case (2), there exists some  $i \in [j_a + 2, j_{a+1}]$  such that  $(j_a + 1)w > (j_a + 2)w > \dots > (i - 1)w < (i)w$  (since  $(j_{a+1})w > (j_a + 1)w$  by the assumption). Let  $h$  be the smallest integer in  $[j_1, i - 1]$  with  $(h)w < (i)w$  and let  $y = t_{j_1} t_{j_1+1} \dots \widehat{t_h} \dots t_{i-1} w$ . In this case,  $y$  is obtained from  $w$  by successively applying certain left star operations, hence  $y \in K_w$  and there is a  $y$ -chain  $\gamma'$  of length  $2n - k$  with  $\text{wh}_y(\gamma') + \text{tm}_y(\gamma') \geq r$  and with the first  $a + 1$  terms being  $j_1 + 1, j_2 + 1, \dots, j_a + 1, j_{a+1}$  if  $i < j_{a+1}$  and  $j_1 + 1, j_2 + 1, \dots, j_a + 1, h + 1$  if  $i = j_{a+1}$ . By applying induction first on  $a \geq 1$  and then on  $j_{a+1} - j_a \geq 1$ , we can eventually get a required element  $w'$  in  $K_w$ .

**4.4.** By the result in 4.3, we may assume that  $w \in E_{(2n-k, k)}$  has a  $w$ -chain  $\gamma : j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{2n-k}$  satisfying (4.2a) and  $j_{a+1} - j_a = 1$  for any  $a \in [r - 1]$ , where  $r = \text{wh}_w(\gamma) + \text{tm}_w(\gamma)$ . Let  $c \in \mathbb{P}$  be the smallest number satisfying  $\langle j_r + c + 1 \rangle = \overline{\langle j_r + c \rangle}$ . We want to transform  $w$  to some  $w' \in K_w$  such that there exists some  $w'$ -chain  $\gamma'$  of length  $2n - k$  with the first  $r$  terms being  $j_1 + c, j_2 + c, \dots, j_r + c$  and with  $r = \text{wh}_{w'}(\gamma') + \text{tm}_{w'}(\gamma')$ . If  $c = 0$  then we can take  $w'$  to be  $w$ . Now assume  $c > 0$ . If  $(j_r + 1)w > (j_r)w$  then  $j_r + 1$  is either a  $w$ -wild head or a  $w$ -tame integer. Let  $i$  be the smallest integer in  $[r]$  with  $(j_i)w < (j_r + 1)w$ . Let  $y = t_{j_1} t_{j_2} \dots \widehat{t_{j_i}} \dots t_{j_r} w$ . Then  $y$  is obtained from  $w$  by successively applying certain left star operations (hence

$y \in K_w$ ) and there exists some  $y$ -chain  $\beta$  of length  $2n - k$  with the first  $r$  terms being  $j_1 + 1, j_2 + 1, \dots, j_r + 1$  and with  $r = \text{wh}_y(\beta) + \text{tm}_y(\beta)$ . If  $(j_r + 1)w < (j_r)w$ , then there are two possibilities:

(1) There exists some  $a \in [c]$  such that  $(j_r)w > (j_r + 1)w > \dots > (j_r + a - 1)w < (j_r + a)w$ ;

(2)  $(j_r)w > (j_r + 1)w > \dots > (j_r + c)w$ .

In the case (1), let  $j$  be the smallest number in  $[j_1, j_r + a - 1]$  such that  $(j)w < (j_r + a)w$ . Let  $y = t_{j_1}t_{j_2} \cdots \widehat{t_j} \cdots t_{j_r+a-1}w$ .

In the case (2), we claim that  $(j_r + c + 1)w > (j_r + c - 1)w$ . To show this, we need only to prove that  $(j_r + c + 1)w > (j_r)w$  under the assumption in (2). For otherwise,  $(j_r + c + 1)w < (j_r)w$ . Then  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r, j_r + c + 1, j_r + c + 2, \dots, j_r + 2c + r$  is a  $w$ -chain of length  $2r + c$ . Since  $w \in E_{(2n-k, k)}$ , we have  $2r + c \leq 2n - k$ . But  $r \geq \frac{1}{2}(2n - k)$  by (4.2.1), a contradiction. This proves that  $(j_r + c + 1)w > (j_r + c - 1)w$ . Let  $j$  be the smallest number in  $[j_1, j_r + c - 1]$  such that  $(j)w < (j_r + c + 1)w$ . Let  $y = t_{j_1}t_{j_2} \cdots \widehat{t_j} \cdots t_{j_r+c-1}t_{j_r+c}w$ . Then  $y$  is obtained from  $w$  by successively applying some left star operations (hence  $y \in K_w$ ) and there exists some  $y$ -chain whose first  $r$  terms are  $j_1 + 1, j_2 + 1, \dots, j_r + 1$  none of them is a  $y$ -wild tail. By applying induction on  $c \geq 0$ , we can eventually get a required element  $w'$ .

**4.5.** By the result in 4.4, we may assume that  $w \in E_{(2n-k, k)}$  has a  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$  of length  $2n - k$  satisfying (4.2a), together with the following conditions:

(i) the first  $r$  terms of  $\gamma$  are  $a + 1, a + 2, \dots, a + r$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $r = \text{wh}_w(\gamma) + \text{tm}_w(\gamma) \in [\lceil \frac{2n-k}{2} \rceil, n]$ ;

(ii)  $\overline{a + r + 1} = a + r$ ;

Clearly, the  $w$ -chain  $a + 1, a + 2, \dots, a + r$  is the longest one among all  $w$ -chains with  $a + r$  the last term. Moreover,  $(a + 1, a + 2, \dots, a + r)$  could be either  $(n + 1 - r, n + 2 - r, \dots, n)$  or  $(2n + 1 - r, 2n + 2 - r, \dots, 2n)$ . By the symmetry, we may assume without loss of generality that

(iii)  $(a + 1, a + 2, \dots, a + r) = (n + 1 - r, n + 2 - r, \dots, n)$ .

So we have that

(4.5.1)  $n + 1 - r, n + 2 - r, \dots, n$  forms a  $w$ -chain, the longest one among all  $w$ -chains with  $n$  the last term.

Let us describe the  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$ . We have  $2n - k \leq 2r$  by (4.2.1). If  $n + 1 -$



$r, n+2-r, \dots, n$  are all  $w$ -wild heads then  $2n-k=2r$  and the  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$  could be  $n+1-r, n+2-r, \dots, n, n+1, \dots, n+r$ . Now assume  $2n-k < 2r$ . Hence  $n$  is a  $w$ -tame tail. We have  $r \leq 2n-k$  in general since  $r, k \in [n]$  by (4.2.1). The equality  $r = 2n-k$  holds if and only if  $r = k = n$  and  $w = w_J$  with  $J = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}\}$ . Now assume  $r < 2n-k$ .

We claim that  $(2r+1+k-n)w < (n)w < (2r+k-n)w$ . We have  $(n)w < (2r+k-n)w$  by the assumption that the length of the longest  $w$ -chain is  $2n-k$ . If  $(2r+1+k-n)w > (n)w$  then let  $j$  be the largest number in  $[2r+1+k-n, n+r]$  with  $(j)w > (n)w$ . Let  $j'_i = 2n+1-j_i$  for any  $i \in [r+1, 2n-k]$ . Then  $(j'_{r+1})w > (n+1)w > (2n+1-j)w$  by the fact  $(j)w > (n)w > (j_{r+1})w$ . So  $j'_{2n-k}, j'_{2n-k-1}, \dots, j'_{r+1}, 2n+1-j, 2n+2-j, \dots, n$  forms a  $w$ -chain of length  $n+j-k-r$  which is greater than  $r$ , contradicting (4.5.1). The claim is proved.

So far we have proved that any  $x \in E_{(2n-k,k)}$  can be transformed into  $X_{(2n-k,k)} \cap K_x$ , where  $X_{(2n-k,k)}$  is the set of all  $w \in E_{(2n-k,k)}$  with the  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$  in (4.5.2) or (4.5.3) for some  $r \in [\lceil \frac{2n-k}{2} \rceil, n]$ .

$$(4.5.2) \quad n+1-r, n+2-r, \dots, n, 2r+1+k-n, 2r+2+k-n, \dots, n+r.$$

$$(4.5.3) \quad 1-r, 2-r, \dots, 0, 2r+1+k-2n, 2r+2+k-2n, \dots, r.$$

**4.6.** Fix  $w \in X_{(2n-k,k)}$  with a  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$  as in (4.5.2). Then  $(3n-2r-k+1)w < (n+1)w < (3n-2r-k)w$  by the fact  $(2r+1+k-n)w < (n)w < (2r+k-n)w$ . Denote  $q(w) := (n+1) - (3n-2r-k+1) = 2r+k-2n$  which is in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $q(w) > 1$ . Then  $n$  is a  $w$ -tame tail and  $3n-2r-k+1 < n$ . Let  $w_1 = t_{n+1-r}t_{n+2-r} \cdots \widehat{t_{3n-2r-k+1}} \cdots t_n w$ . Then  $w_1$  is obtained from  $w$  by successively applying some left star operations, hence  $w_1 \in K_w$ . There is a  $w_1$ -chain in (4.6.1) below.

$$(4.6.1) \quad n+2-r, n+3-r, \dots, n, 2r-1+k-n, 2r+k-n, \dots, n+r-1.$$

Clearly, (4.6.1) can be obtained from (4.5.2) by replacing  $r$  by  $r-1$ . Hence  $w_1 \in X_{(2n-k,k)}$  with  $q(w_1) = 2(r-1) + k - 2n = q(w) - 2 < q(w)$ . If  $q(w_1) > 1$ , then we can find some  $w_2 \in X_{(2n-k,k)} \cap K_{w_1}$  from  $w_1$  by the same way as  $w_1$  from  $w$  such that  $q(w_2) < q(w_1)$ . Recurrently, we can eventually find  $w_a \in X_{(2n-k,k)} \cap K_w$  with some  $a \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $q(w_a) \in \{0, 1\}$ .

For  $w \in X_{(2n-k,k)}$  with a  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$  as in (4.5.2), if  $q(w) = 0$ , then  $k = 2m$  is even and  $r = n - m$ ; if  $q(w) = 1$ , then  $k = 2m + 1$  is odd and  $r = n - m$ . Hence, by

symmetry between (4.5.2) and (4.5.3), we have proved that any  $x \in E_{(2n-k,k)}$  can be transformed into  $Y_{(2n-k,k)} \cap K_x$ , where  $Y_{(2n-k,k)}$  is the set of all  $w \in E_{(2n-k,k)}$  with the  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$  in one of (4.6.2)-(4.6.5) below.

$$(4.6.2) \quad m+1, \quad m+2, \quad \dots, n, n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n-m.$$

$$(4.6.3) \quad m+1-n, m+2-n, \dots, 0, 1, \quad 2, \quad \dots, n-m.$$

$$(4.6.4) \quad m+1, \quad m+2, \quad \dots, n, n+2, n+3, \dots, 2n-m.$$

$$(4.6.5) \quad m+1-n, m+2-n, \dots, 0, 2, \quad 3, \quad \dots, n-m.$$

**4.7.** By the processes in 4.2-4.6, we transform any  $x \in E_{(2n-k,k)}$  to some  $w \in K_x$  such that there is a  $w$ -chain  $\gamma : j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{2n-k}$  which is either in one of (4.6.2) and (4.6.4) and satisfies  $(2r+k+1-n)w < (n)w < (2r+k-n)w$ , or in one of (4.6.3) and (4.6.5) and satisfies  $(2r+k+1)w < (2n)w < (2r+k)w$ , where  $k \in \{2m, 2m+1\}$  and  $r = n-m \in [\lceil \frac{2n-k}{2} \rceil, n]$ . Let  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2n-2r}$  be in  $[r+1-n, n-r]$  (respectively, in  $[r+1, 2n-r]$ ) satisfy the relation

$$(4.7.1) \quad (i_1)w > (i_2)w > \dots > (i_{2n-2r})w.$$

Now we define a sequence  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{2n-2r}$  in  $[2n-k]$  as follows. Let  $l_1$  be the smallest integer  $a$  in  $[2n-k]$  such that  $0 < (j_a)w - (i_1)w < 2n$ . Recurrently, suppose that we have defined all the integers  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_h$  for some  $h \in [2n-2r]$ . If  $h < 2n-2r$  then we define  $l_{h+1}$  to be the smallest integer  $b$  in  $[2n-k] - \{l_c \mid c \in [h]\}$  such that  $0 < (j_b)w - (i_{h+1})w < 2n$ .

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $w \in E_{(2n-k,k)}$  be with a  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$  in one of (4.6.2)-(4.6.5). Then in the setup of 4.7, the integers  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{2n-2r}$  are well defined and satisfy the relation  $l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_{2n-2r}$ .*

*Proof.* By the assumption of  $w \in E_{(2n-k,k)}$ , we see that

$$(4.8.1) \quad \text{there exists some } a_h \in [2n-k] \text{ satisfying } 0 < (j_{a_h})w - (i_h)w < 2n \text{ for any } h \in [2n-2r].$$

The existence of the integer  $l_1$  follows by (4.8.1). Now assume that  $h \in [2, 2n-2r]$  and that we have found all the integers  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{h-1}$  and have proved the relation  $l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_{h-1}$ . By the definition of the  $l_a$ 's, we see that

$$(4.8.2) \quad \text{for any } a \in [h-1] \text{ with } l_a > 1, \text{ we have either that } (j_{l_a-1})w - (i_a)w > 2n,$$

or that  $0 < (j_{l_a-1})w - (i_a)w < 2n$  and  $l_{a-1} = l_a - 1$ .

By repeatedly applying (4.8.2), we get that

(4.8.3) for any  $a \in [h-1]$  with  $l_a > 1$ , there exists some  $b \in [a]$  such that  $l_c - 1 = l_{c-1}$  and  $0 < (j_{l_c-1})w - (i_c)w < 2n$  for any  $c \in [b+1, a]$  and  $(j_{l_b-1})w - (i_b)w > 2n$  whenever  $l_b > 1$ .

We claim that

(4.8.4) there must exist some  $a \in [l_{h-1} + 1, 2n - k]$  such that  $0 < (j_a)w - (i_h)w < 2n$ .

For otherwise, there would exist some  $c \in [l_{h-1}, 2n - k]$  such that  $(j_b)w - (i_h)w > 2n$  for any  $b \in [l_{h-1} + 1, c]$  and  $(j_d)w - (i_h)w < 0$  for any  $d \in [c + 1, 2n - k]$ . By (4.8.1), we must have  $c = l_{h-1}$  and  $0 < (j_{l_{h-1}})w - (i_h)w < 2n$ . So by (4.8.3), there exists some  $e \in [l_{h-1}]$  such that  $l_d - 1 = l_{d-1}$  and  $0 < (j_{l_d-1})w - (i_d)w < 2n$  for any  $d \in [e + 1, l_{h-1}]$  and  $(j_{l_e-1})w - (i_e)w > 2n$  whenever  $l_e > 1$ . In this case, we claim that

(4.8.5)  $i_h > i_{h-1} > \dots > i_e$ .

For otherwise, there would exist some  $f \in [e, h-1]$  with  $i_f > i_{f+1}$ . Then  $\{i_f, i_{f+1}, j_{l_f}\}$  would form a  $w$ -antichain, contradicting the assumption of  $w \in E_{(2n-k, k)}$  by Lemma 4.1. The claim (4.8.5) is proved. By (4.8.5) together with the validity for one of (4.6.2)-(4.6.5), we see that

$$j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{l_e-1}, i_e, i_{e+1}, \dots, i_{h-1}, i_h, j_{l_{h-1}+1}, j_{l_{h-1}+2}, \dots, j_{2n-k}$$

forms a  $w$ -chain of length  $2n + 1 - k$ , contradicting the assumption of  $w \in E_{(2n-k, k)}$ . This proves the claim (4.8.4). Hence the existence of the integer  $l_h$  follows by (4.8.4) immediately. Clearly,  $l_h > l_{h-1}$ . So our result follows by induction.  $\square$

**4.9.** Let  $w \in E_{(2n-k, k)}$  be provided with the  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$  of the form in one of (4.6.2)-(4.6.5). By symmetry, we need only to consider the case where  $\gamma$  is in (4.6.2) or (4.6.4). In the setup of 4.7, let  $[r+1-n, n-r] = E_1 \cup E_0 \cup E_{-1}$ , where  $E_1 = \{j \in [r+1-n, n-r] \mid j \text{ is a } w\text{-wild head}\}$ ,  $E_{-1} = \{j \in [r+1-n, n-r] \mid j \text{ is a } w\text{-wild tail}\}$  and  $E_0 = \{j \in [r+1-n, n-r] \mid j \text{ is } w\text{-tame}\}$ . We have  $\langle E_{-1} \rangle = \overline{\langle E_1 \rangle}$  and  $\langle E_0 \rangle = \overline{\langle E_0 \rangle}$ .

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let  $w \in E_{(2n-k, k)}$  be with the  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$  in (4.6.2) or (4.6.4). Then in the setup of 4.7 and 4.9,  $j_{l_a}$  is a  $w$ -wild head for any  $i_a \in E_1$ .*

*Proof.* The following two facts about the element  $w$  can be checked easily.

(i)  $(j_{l_a})w > (i_a)w > 0$  for any  $i_a \in E_1$ .

(ii) For any  $b \in [2n - k]$ , the integer  $j_b$  is a  $w$ -wild head if and only if either that  $j_b < n$ , or that  $j_b = n$  and  $\gamma$  is in (4.6.2).

By the fact (i), to show our result, we need only to consider the case where  $(i_a)w \in [n]$  for some  $i_a \in E_1$ . If  $(n)w \in [n]$  (i.e., the  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$  is in (4.6.4)), then any  $i_a \in E_1$  with  $(i_a)w \in [n]$  is  $w$ -uncomparable with  $n + 1$ , hence  $n \prec_w i_a$  by Lemmas 4.1 (2) and 2.12 (iv). This implies  $j_{l_a} < n$  and so  $j_{l_a}$  is a  $w$ -wild head by the fact (ii).

Now assume  $(n)w \notin [n]$  (i.e., the  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$  is in (4.6.2)). Hence  $(n)w > n$ . If  $(n)w > 2n$ , then  $(n + 1)w \leq 0$ , hence  $n + 1 \prec_w i_a$  for any  $i_a \in E_1$  by the fact (i). This implies that  $j_{l_a} \leq n$  and hence  $j_{l_a}$  is a  $w$ -wild head for any  $i_a \in E_1$  by the fact (ii).

Now assume  $(n)w \in [n + 1, 2n]$ . Hence  $n$  is a  $w$ -wild head.

If  $E_0 \neq \emptyset$ , then we claim that  $n + 1 \prec_w i_a$  for any  $i_a \in E_1$ . For, any element of  $E_0 \cap [n - r]$  is  $w$ -uncomparable with  $n$ . This implies by Lemma 4.1 (2) that  $n$  is  $w$ -comparable with any element of  $\langle E_0 \cap [r + 1 - n, 0] \rangle$ , hence  $i_b \prec_w n$  for any  $i_b \in \langle E_0 \cap [r + 1 - n, 0] \rangle$  by Lemma 2.12 (iv). But this is equivalent to that  $n + 1 \prec_w i_b$  for any  $i_b \in E_0 \cap [n - r]$  by Lemma 2.12 (i). For any  $i_a \in E_1$ , if  $(i_a)w < (n)w$ , then  $i_a$  is  $w$ -uncomparable with  $n$  by the fact (i) and the assumption of  $(n)w \in [n + 1, 2n]$ , so  $i_a$  must be  $w$ -comparable with any element of  $E_0 \cap [n - r]$  by Lemma 4.1 (2), hence  $i_b \prec_w i_a$  for any  $i_b \in E_0 \cap [n - r]$  by Lemma 2.12 (iv), and further  $n + 1 \prec_w i_a$ . The claim is proved. We see from this claim that  $j_{l_a} \leq n$  for any  $i_a \in E_1$  and hence  $j_{l_a}$  is a  $w$ -wild head by the fact (ii).

Now assume  $E_0 = \emptyset$ . Suppose that there exists some  $i_a \in E_1$  such that  $j_{l_a}$  is not a  $w$ -wild head. Then  $j_{l_a} > n$  by the assumption of  $(n)w \in [n + 1, 2n]$ . We have  $(i_a)w > 0$  by the fact (i). So  $i_a$  is  $w$ -uncomparable with  $n$  again by the assumption of  $(n)w \in [n + 1, 2n]$  and the fact  $n - i_a \in [2n - 1]$ . By the definition of the  $l_b$ 's in 4.7 and by the fact (4.8.3), we see that  $n = j_{l_c}$  for some  $c < a$  and that there exists some  $d \in [c]$  such that  $l_e - 1 = l_{e-1}$  and  $0 < (j_{l_e-1})w - (i_e)w < 2n$  for any  $e \in [d + 1, a]$  and  $(j_{l_d-1})w - (i_d)w > 2n$  whenever  $l_d > 1$ . By the same argument as that for the claim (4.8.5) with  $a, d$  in the place of  $h, e$  respectively, we can show that  $i_a > i_{a-1} > \dots > i_d$ , hence the sequence

$$j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{l_d-1}, i_d, i_{d+1}, \dots, i_c, i_{c+1}, \dots, i_a, \overline{i_a}, \dots, \overline{i_{c+1}}, \overline{i_c}, \dots, \overline{i_{d+1}}, \overline{i_d}, \overline{j_{l_d-1}}, \dots, \overline{j_2}, \overline{j_1}$$

forms a  $w$ -chain of length  $2r + 2(a - c) = 2n - k + 2(a - c) > 2n - k$ , contradicting the assumption of  $w \in E_{(2n-k,k)}$ .

This proves our result.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.11.** *Let  $w \in E_{(2n-k,k)}$  be with the  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$  in one of (4.6.2)- (4.6.5). Then  $\Omega \cap K_w \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* By symmetry, we need only to consider the case where the  $w$ -chain  $\gamma$  is in (4.6.2) or (4.6.4). Keep the setup of 4.7 and 4.9 for  $w$ . Define  $y \in \tilde{C}_n$  by the requirements that  $(j_a)y = (j_a)w + 2nq_a$  for any  $a \in [r]$  and  $(i_b)y = (i_b)w + 2nq_{l_b}$  for any  $b \in [2n - 2r]$  with  $i_b$  a  $w$ -wild head and  $(i_c)y = (i_c)w$  for any  $c \in [2n - 2r]$  with  $i_c$   $w$ -tame, where  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r$  is a strictly decreasing sequence of integers with  $q_r > 0$  if  $k$  is even and  $q_r = 0$  if  $k$  is odd. By Lemmas 2.2 and 4.10, we have  $\ell(y) = \ell(w) + \ell(yw^{-1})$  and  $y \in E_{(2n-k,k)}$ . Hence  $y \in K_w$  by Corollary 2.10 (1). If there is no  $w$ -tame integer in  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2n-2r}$ , then  $y \in \Omega$  by our construction, the result is proved in this case.

Now assume that there are some  $w$ -tame integers in  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2n-2r}$ . In this case, we see from the proof of Lemma 4.10 that there exists some  $c \in [n - r - 1]$  such that  $i_a$  is a  $w$ -wild head for any  $a \in [c]$  and that  $i_b$  is a  $w$ -tame tail for any  $b \in [c + 1, n - r]$  and that  $i_e$  is either a  $w$ -tame head or a  $w$ -wild tail for any  $e \in [n - r + 1, 2n - 2r]$ . Let  $\tau$  be the bijective map from the set  $E := \{i_a, j_b \mid a \in [n - r], b \in [r]\}$  to the set  $[n]$  such that if  $k$  is even then

$$\begin{aligned} &(\tau(j_1), \tau(j_2), \dots, \tau(j_{l_1-1}), \tau(i_1), \tau(j_{l_1}), \tau(j_{l_1+1}), \tau(j_{l_1+2}), \dots, \tau(j_{l_2-1}), \tau(i_2), \tau(j_{l_2}), \\ &\tau(j_{l_2+1}), \dots, \tau(j_{l_c-1}), \tau(i_c), \tau(j_{l_c}), \tau(j_{l_c+1}), \dots, \tau(j_r), \tau(i_c + 1), \tau(i_c + 2), \dots, \tau(i_{n-r})) \\ &= (1, 2, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

and that if  $k$  is odd then

$$\begin{aligned} &(\tau(j_1), \tau(j_2), \dots, \tau(j_{l_1-1}), \tau(i_1), \tau(j_{l_1}), \tau(j_{l_1+1}), \tau(j_{l_1+2}), \dots, \tau(j_{l_2-1}), \tau(i_2), \tau(j_{l_2}), \tau(j_{l_2+1}), \\ &\dots, \tau(j_{l_c-1}), \tau(i_c), \tau(j_{l_c}), \tau(j_{l_c+1}), \dots, \tau(j_{r-1}), \tau(i_c + 1), \tau(i_c + 2), \dots, \tau(i_{n-r}), \tau(j_r)) \\ &= (1, 2, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Define  $z \in \tilde{C}_n$  by the requirement that  $(\tau(a))z = (a)y$  for any  $a \in E$ . Then  $z$  can be obtained from  $y$  by successively left-multiplying some  $t_i$ 's in the case of 3.6 (e).

Hence  $z \in K_y$ . There is some  $h \in [n]$  such that  $h-1$  is a  $z$ -wild head whenever  $h > 1$  and that  $h, h+1, \dots, n$  are all  $z$ -tame tails and form a  $z$ -chain. When  $n-h=2p$  is even, define  $x \in \tilde{C}_n$  by the requirement that  $((1)x, (2)x, \dots, (n)x)$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &((1)z + 2np, (2)z + 2np, \dots, (h-1)z + 2np, (h)z + 2np, (n+1)z + 2np, \\ &(h+1)z + 2n(p-1), (n+2)z + 2n(p-1), (h+2)z + 2n(p-2), \\ &(n+3)z + 2n(p-2), \dots, (h+p-1)z + 2n, (n+p)z + 2n, (h+p)z). \end{aligned}$$

When  $n-h=2p-1$  is odd, define  $x \in \tilde{C}_n$  by the requirement that  $((1)x, (2)x, \dots, (n)x)$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &((1)z + 2np, (2)z + 2np, \dots, (h-1)z + 2np, (h)z + 2np, (n+1)z + 2np, \\ &(h+1)z + 2n(p-1), (n+2)z + 2n(p-1), (h+2)z + 2n(p-2), \\ &(n+3)z + 2n(p-2), \dots, (h+p-1)z + 2n, (n+p)z + 2n). \end{aligned}$$

We see by Lemma 2.2 that  $\ell(x) = \ell(z) + \ell(xz^{-1})$  and that  $x \in E_{(2n-k,k)}$ . Hence  $x \in K_z$  by Corollary 2.10 (1).

In either case, we have  $x \in \Omega \cap K_w$ , hence  $\Omega \cap K_w \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.12.** (1) Any left cell of  $\tilde{C}_n$  in  $E_{(2n-k,k)}$  is left-connected.

(2) The number of left cells of  $\tilde{C}_n$  in  $E_{(2n-k,k)}$  is  $2^{n-m}n!$  if  $k=2m$  is even and  $2^{n-m-1}n!$  if  $k=2m+1$  is odd.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\Gamma$  be a left cell of  $\tilde{C}_n$  in  $E_{(2n-k,k)}$ . Take any  $w, w' \in \Gamma$ . By 1.4 (2), we see that the left-connected component of  $\Gamma$  containing  $w$  is just the set  $K_w$ . Hence we need only to show that  $w' \in K_w$ . By the processes (4.2)-(4.6) and Lemma 4.11, we can find some  $y \in \Omega \cap K_w$  and  $y' \in \Omega \cap K_{w'}$ . Since  $y \underset{L}{\sim} w \underset{L}{\sim} w' \underset{L}{\sim} y'$  by Lemma 2.9, we have  $y' \in K_y$  by Theorem 3.12. This implies  $w' \in K_w$ , as required.

(2) Fix a symmetric  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n-k}) \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{2n}$  with  $\zeta(\mathbf{a})^\vee = (2n-k, k)$ . By Lemmas 3.5, 3.3 and 2.7, we see that the number of left cells of  $\tilde{C}_n$  in  $E_{(2n-k,k)}$  is equal to the number of  $2n$ -self-dual  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}$  with  $\xi(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{a}$ .

Denote  $q := \lfloor \frac{2n-k}{2} \rfloor$ . Any  $2n$ -self-dual tabloid  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{2n-k}) \in \xi^{-1}(\mathbf{a})$  is determined entirely by its first  $q$  components by the facts that  $T_i = \overline{T_{2n-k+1-i}}$  for any  $i \in [q]$  and that  $T_{q+1} = [2n] - \bigcup_{i=1}^q (T_i \cup \overline{T_i})$  is a union of some  $2n$ -dual pairs if  $k=2m+1$  is odd. Since the elements of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^q T_i$  are pairwise not  $2n$ -dual, the

number of the choices for  $T_1$  is  $2^{a_1} \binom{n}{a_1}$ . Recurrently, when  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{h-1}$  have been chosen for  $h \in [q]$ , the number of the choices for  $T_h$  is  $2^{a_h} \binom{n-a_1-\dots-a_{h-1}}{a_h}$ . So our result follows by the following two facts: (i) Among  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q$ , the number 2 occurs  $m$  times, while 1 occurs  $q-m$  times; (ii) The sum  $a_1 + \dots + a_q$  is equal to  $n$  if  $k = 2m$  and  $n-1$  if  $k = 2m+1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.13.** *The set  $E_{(2n-k,k)}$  is two-sided-connected and forms a single two-sided cell of  $\tilde{C}_n$  for any  $k \in [n]$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$w_0 = \begin{cases} w_{S-\{t_m\}}, & \text{if } k = 2m \text{ is even,} \\ w_J w_I w_K, & \text{if } k = 2m+1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

where  $K = S - \{t_0\}$ ,  $I = K - \{t_{n-1}\}$  and  $J = I - \{t_m, t_n\}$ . Then  $w_0 \in E_{(2n-k,k)}$ . Let  $Z_{(2n-k,k)} = \{w_0 \cdot x \in E_{(2n-k,k)} \mid x \in \tilde{C}_n\}$ . Clearly,  $Z_{(2n-k,k)}$  is a right-connected subset of  $E_{(2n-k,k)}$ . Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n-k}) \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{2n}$  be such that  $a_i = a_{2n+1-k-i} = 1$  and  $a_j = 2$  for  $i \in [n-2m]$  and  $j \in [n-2m+1, n]$  if  $k = 2m$  is even and that  $a_i = a_{2n+1-k-i} = 1$  and  $a_j = 2$  for  $i \in [n-2m-1]$  and  $j \in [n-2m, n]$  if  $k = 2m+1$  is odd. Clearly,  $\mathbf{a}$  is symmetric with  $\zeta(\mathbf{a})^\vee = (2n-k, k)$ .

By Theorem 4.12 and Lemmas 2.7, 3.3, 3.5, to show our result, we need only to find some  $w \in Z_{(2n-k,k)} \cap \Omega$  with  $T(w) = \mathbf{T}$  for any  $2n$ -self-dual  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{2n-k}) \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}$  with  $\xi(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{a}$ .

A  $2n$ -self-dual  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_{2n-k}) \in \xi^{-1}(\mathbf{a})$  is determined uniquely by the part  $(T_{n-m+1}, T_{n-m+2}, \dots, T_{2n-2m})$  if  $k = 2m$  and by  $(T_{n-m}, T_{n-m+1}, \dots, T_{2n-2m-1})$  if  $k = 2m+1$ . We define an element  $w$  of  $\tilde{C}_n$  for a given  $2n$ -self-dual  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_{2n-k}) \in \xi^{-1}(\mathbf{a})$  as follows.

First assume that  $k = 2m$  and that  $(T_{n-m+1}, T_{n-m+2}, \dots, T_{2n-2m})$  is equal to

$$(\{c_{n-m+1}, d_{n-m+1}\}, \{c_{n-m+2}, d_{n-m+2}\}, \dots, \{c_n, d_n\}, \{d_{n+1}\}, \{d_{n+2}\}, \dots, \{d_{2n-2m}\}),$$

where  $c_i < d_i$  in  $[2n]$  for any  $i \in [n-m+1, n]$ . Then we define  $w \in \tilde{C}_n$  by the requirement that

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((n)w, (n-1)w, (n-2)w, (n-3)w, (n-4)w, (n-5)w, \dots, \\
& \quad (n-2m+2)w, (n-2m+1)w, (n-2m)w, (n-2m-1)w, \dots, (1)w) \\
&= (d_{n-m+1} + 2n, d_{n-m+2} + 2n \cdot 2, d_{n-m+3} + 2n \cdot 3, \dots, d_n + 2nm, \\
& \quad d_{n+1} + 2n(m+1), d_{n+2} + 2n(m+2), \dots, d_{2n-2m} + 2n(n-m), \\
& \quad c_{n-m+1} + 2n, c_{n-m+2} + 2n \cdot 2, c_{n-m+3} + 2n \cdot 3, \dots, c_n + 2nm)
\end{aligned}$$

Next assume that  $k = 2m + 1$  and that  $(T_{n-m}, T_{n-m+1}, \dots, T_{2n-2m-1})$  is equal to

$$(\{c_{n-m}, d_{n-m}\}, \{c_{n-m+1}, d_{n-m+1}\}, \dots, \{c_n, d_n\}, \{d_{n+1}\}, \{d_{n+2}\}, \dots, \{d_{2n-2m-1}\}),$$

where  $c_i < d_i$  for any  $i \in [n-m, n]$ ; in particular,  $\overline{d_{n-m}} = c_{n-m} \in [n]$  by Lemma 3.5. Then we define  $w \in \tilde{C}_n$  by the requirement that

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((n)w, (n-1)w, (n-2)w, (n-3)w, (n-4)w, (n-5)w, \dots, \\
& \quad (n-2m+2)w, (n-2m+1)w, (n-2m)w, (n-2m-1)w, \dots, (1)w) \\
&= (c_{n-m}, d_{n-m+1} + 2n, d_{n-m+2} + 2n \cdot 2, d_{n-m+3} + 2n \cdot 3, \dots, d_n + 2nm, \\
& \quad d_{n+1} + 2n(m+1), d_{n+2} + 2n(m+2), \dots, d_{2n-2m-1} + 2n(n-m-1), \\
& \quad c_{n-m+1} + 2n, c_{n-m+2} + 2n \cdot 2, c_{n-m+3} + 2n \cdot 3, \dots, c_n + 2nm)
\end{aligned}$$

In either case, we have  $w \in Z_{(2n-k, k)} \cap \Omega$  with  $T(w) = \mathbf{T}$ . Hence our result follows.  $\square$

**Remark 4.14.** In dealing with the case (1) of 4.3, we have to apply Lemma 4.1 (2), hence all the results in the present section are only valid for the set  $E_{(2n-k, k)}$ . If one can deduce some results for all the nice partitions of  $2n$  which could replace Lemma 4.1 (2) in our proof, then this would be a good progress in approaching Conjecture 3.14.

## REFERENCES

1. T. Asai et al., *Open problems in algebraic groups*, Problems from the conference on algebraic groups and representations held at Katata (Ryoshi Hotta (ed.)), August 29–September 3, 1983.
2. C. Greene, *Some partitions associated with a partially ordered set*, J. Comb. Theory (A) **20** (1976), 69–79.
3. D. Kazhdan & G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), 165–184.



4. G. Lusztig, *Hecke algebras and Jantzen's generic decomposition patterns*, Adv. in Math. **37** (1980), 121-164.
5. G. Lusztig, *Some examples in square integrable representations of semisimple  $p$ -adic groups*, Trans. of the AMS **277** (1983), 623-653.
6. G. Lusztig, *The two-sided cells of the affine Weyl group of type  $\tilde{A}_n$* , in "Infinite Dimensional Groups with Applications", (V. Kac, ed.), MSRI. Publications 4, Springer-Verlag, (1985), 275-283.
7. G. Lusztig, *Hecke algebras with unequal parameters*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 18, AMS, USA, 2003.
8. J. Y. Shi, *The Kazhdan-Lusztig cells in certain affine Weyl groups*, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 1179, Springer-Verlag, Germany, 1986.
9. J. Y. Shi, *The partial order on two-sided cells of certain affine Weyl groups*, J. Algebra. **179(2)** (1996), 607-621.
10. J. Y. Shi, *The cells of the affine Weyl group  $\tilde{C}_n$  in a certain quasi-split case*, preprint.