

FULLY COMMUTATIVE ELEMENTS AND KAZHDAN–LUSZTIG CELLS IN THE FINITE AND AFFINE COXETER GROUPS

JIAN-YI SHI

ABSTRACT. The main goal of the paper is to show that the fully commutative elements in the affine Coxeter group \tilde{C}_n form a union of two-sided cells. Then we completely answer the question of when the fully commutative elements of W form or do not form a union of two-sided cells in the case where W is either a finite or an affine Coxeter group.

Let W be a Coxeter group with S the distinguished generator set. The fully commutative elements of W were defined by Stembridge: $w \in W$ is fully commutative if any two reduced expressions of w can be transformed from each other by only applying the relations $st = ts$ with $s, t \in S$ and $o(st) = 2$, or equivalently, w has no reduced expression of the form $w = x(sts\dots)y$, where $sts\dots$ is a string of length $o(st) > 2$ ($o(st)$ being the order of st) for some $s \neq t$ in S . The fully commutative elements were studied extensively by a number of people (see [3, 6, 8, 16]). Now let W be either a finite or an affine Coxeter group and let W_c be the set of all the fully commutative elements in W . We consider the relation between W_c and the two-sided cells of W (in the sense of Kazhdan and Lusztig, see [9]). It is well known that when W is either the finite Coxeter group A_n ($n \geq 1$), B_l ($l \geq 2$), $I_2(m)$ ($m \geq 2$), or the affine Coxeter group \tilde{A}_n ($n \geq 1$), W_c is a union of two-sided cells of W (see [12, §1.7, Theorems 16.2.8 and 17.4], [13, Theorem 3.1] and [8, Theorem 3.1.1]). On the other hand, since W_c is not a union of two-sided cells of W when $W = D_4$ (see [2]), it should also be the case when

Key words and phrases. fully commutative elements, Coxeter groups, two-sided cells.

Supported partly by Nankai Univ., and partly by the 973 Project of MST of China, the NSF of China, the SF of the Univ. Doctorial Program of ME of China and the Shanghai Priority Academic Discipline

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\text{\textsf{TeX}}$

W contains a standard parabolic subgroup of type D_4 , i.e., W is D_n , \tilde{D}_n , \tilde{B}_n , E_m , \tilde{E}_m ($n \geq 4$, $m = 6, 7, 8$). Recently, R. M. Green asked the following question (see [7, §4]): whether or not W_c is a union of two-sided cells of W for $W = \tilde{C}_n$? The present paper will give an affirmative answer to the question (Theorem 3.4). Furthermore, we completely answer the question of when W_c is or is not a union of two-sided cells of W in the case where W is either a finite or an affine Coxeter group.

The contents of the paper are organized as follows. Section 1 contains preliminaries; some definitions and results are collected there. Then we show some properties of the elements in \tilde{C}_n preserved under star operations in Section 2. Finally, we prove our main result in Section 3.

§1. Preliminaries.

1.1. The affine Coxeter group \tilde{C}_n can be identified with the following permutation group over the integer set \mathbb{Z} (see [13, §1.4]).

$$\tilde{C}_n = \{\sigma : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \mid (i + 2n + 2)\sigma = (i)\sigma + 2n + 2 \text{ and } (-i)\sigma = -(i)\sigma \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

where we assume $n \geq 2$ throughout the paper. Its Coxeter generator set $S = \{s_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ is given as follows. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq i < n$, we have

$$(k)s_i = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } k \not\equiv \pm i, \pm(i+1) \pmod{2n+2}; \\ k+1, & \text{if } k \equiv i, -i-1 \pmod{2n+2}; \\ k-1, & \text{if } k \equiv i+1, -i \pmod{2n+2}. \end{cases}$$

$$(k)s_0 = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } k \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{2n+2}; \\ k+2, & \text{if } k \equiv -1 \pmod{2n+2}; \\ k-2, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2n+2}. \end{cases}$$

$$(k)s_n = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } k \not\equiv n, n+2 \pmod{2n+2}; \\ k+2, & \text{if } k \equiv n \pmod{2n+2}; \\ k-2, & \text{if } k \equiv n+2 \pmod{2n+2}. \end{cases}$$

1.2. In 1.2–1.4, let W be a Coxeter group with S the distinguished generator set. Let $\ell(w)$ be the length function of W and \leq the Bruhat order on W with respect to S . For

any $w \in W$, let $\mathcal{L}(w) = \{s \in S \mid sw < w\}$ and $\mathcal{R}(w) = \{s \in S \mid ws < w\}$. When $W = \tilde{C}_n$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$, we have $s_i \in \mathcal{L}(w)$ if and only if $(i)w > (i+1)w$ (see [13, Proposition 1.6]). In particular, this implies that $s_0 \in \mathcal{L}(w)$ if and only if $(-1)w > (1)w$ and that $s_n \in \mathcal{L}(w)$ if and only if $(n)w > (n+2)w$. The set $\mathcal{R}(w)$ can be described similarly by using the fact $\mathcal{R}(w) = \mathcal{L}(w^{-1})$.

1.3. Following [10], for any $s, t \in S$ with the order $m = o(st)$ of the product st greater than 2, we call any of the sequences $sy, tsy, stsy, \dots$ and $ty, sty, tsty, \dots$ (each has $m-1$ terms) an $\{s, t\}$ -string if $\mathcal{L}(y) \cap \{s, t\} = \emptyset$. When w is a term of some $\{s, t\}$ -string, a transformation sending w to one of its neighboring terms in the string is called an $\{s, t\}$ -star operation (or a star operation in short). Note that a star operation defined here is slightly different from that by Kazhdan and Lusztig in [9]; the latter was defined only in the case of $m = 3$. For any $w \in W$, let $M(w)$ be the set of all the elements y such that there exists a sequence of elements $z_0 = w, z_1, \dots, z_t = y$ in W with $t \geq 0$ such that z_i is obtained from z_{i-1} by a star operation for every $1 \leq i \leq t$. The sequence z_0, z_1, \dots, z_t is called a path in $M(w)$ from w to y (or a path in $M(w)$). Two elements $x, y \in W$ have the same generalized τ -invariants if for any path $z_0 = x^{-1}, z_1, \dots, z_t$ in $M(x^{-1})$, there is a path $z'_0 = y^{-1}, z'_1, \dots, z'_t$ in $M(y^{-1})$ with $\mathcal{L}(z'_i) = \mathcal{L}(z_i)$ for every $0 \leq i \leq t$, and if the same condition holds when interchanging the roles of x with y .

1.4. The preorders $\leq_L, \leq_R, \leq_{LR}$ and the associated equivalence relations $\sim_L, \sim_R, \sim_{LR}$ on (W, S) are defined as in [9]. The equivalence classes of W with respect to \sim_L (resp., \sim_R , resp., \sim_{LR}) are called left cells (resp., right cells, resp., two-sided cells). It follows easily from the definition of a left cell that $x \sim_L y$ for any $w \in W$ and any $x, y \in M(w)$. It is well known that if $x, y \in W$ satisfy $x \sim_L y$ then x, y have the same generalized τ -invariants (see [14, Proposition 4.2]).

1.5. For $w \in \tilde{C}_n$, call an integer sequence $\xi : i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r$ a w -chain if

- (1) $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r$ and $(i_1)w > (i_2)w > \dots > (i_r)w$;
- (2) either (2a) $i_j + i_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2n+2}$ for any $1 \leq j < k \leq r$,

- or (2b) r is even and $i_j + i_{r+1-j} \equiv 0 \pmod{2n+2}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$;
 (3) $i_h \not\equiv 0, n+1 \pmod{2n+2}$ for $1 \leq h \leq r$.

Note that condition (1) implies that i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r are pairwise noncongruent modulo $2n+2$.

A w -chain ξ as above is of type I (resp., II) if it satisfies (2a) (resp., (2b)). Define the length of ξ to be r (resp., $\frac{r}{2} + 1$) if ξ is of type I (resp., II). Comparing with the terminology in [13], a w -chain of type II is just a union of chains in a special chain pair of w defined in [13, §2.4], and a w -chain of type I is a chain of w in [13], but not all the chains of w in [13] are of type I.

1.6. For a w -chain $\xi : i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sequences $\xi_q : q(2n+2) + i_1, q(2n+2) + i_2, \dots, q(2n+2) + i_r$ and $\xi'_q : q(2n+2) - i_r, q(2n+2) - i_{r-1}, \dots, q(2n+2) - i_1$ with some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ are also w -chains. Call ξ_q a chain-shifting of ξ , call ξ'_q a chain-reflection of ξ , and call both chain-replacements of ξ .

§2. Some properties preserved by star operations.

In the present section, we show some properties of the elements in \tilde{C}_n which are preserved by star operations (see Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3). The property in Lemma 2.3 is crucial in the proof of Theorem 3.4.

For $w, x, y \in \tilde{C}_n$, we use the notation $w = x \cdot y$ to mean $w = xy$ and $\ell(w) = \ell(x) + \ell(y)$. According to [13, Theorem 3.2], we have the following

Lemma 2.1. *Let $w \in \tilde{C}_n$. Then w is not fully commutative if and only if there exists a w -chain of length ≥ 3 . More precisely, $w = x \cdot s_i s_{i+1} s_i \cdot y$ for some $x, y \in \tilde{C}_n$ and $1 \leq i < n-1$ if and only if there exists a w -chain of type I and length ≥ 3 . Also, $w = x \cdot s_k s_{k+1} s_k s_{k+1} \cdot y$ for some $x, y \in \tilde{C}_n$ and $k \in \{0, n-1\}$ if and only if there exists a w -chain of type II and length ≥ 3 .*

Since the terminology used here and in [13] are different, we have to use the present terminology to illustrate how [13, Theorem 3.2] implies Lemma 2.1. In [13, §2.4], we defined a chain pair P, P' of w , where P is a w -chain of type I and $P' = \{2n+2-x \mid x \in$

$P\}$ such that $P \cap P' = \emptyset$. In [13, §2.5], we also defined $d'(w)_1$ as the maximal possible value for $|P \cup P'| + \epsilon(w, P)$, where P, P' range over chain pairs of w , and $\epsilon(w, P)$ is 1 or 0 according as P could be or could not be a part of some w -chain of type II. Let J be a proper subset of $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ consisting of consecutive integers and let w_J be the longest element in the subgroup of \tilde{C}_n generated by $s_i, i \in J$. In [13, (2.9.3)], we defined the value $d'_1(w_J)$ in [13] which is $2|J| + 2$ if $0, n \notin J$ and $2|J| + 1$ (correction: the number $2|J| + 1$ was misprinted as $2(|J| + 1) + 1$ in [13, (2.9.2)]) if otherwise. Then [13, Theorem 3.2] tells us that there exists an expression $w = x \cdot w_J \cdot y$ for some $x, y \in \tilde{C}_n$ and some consecutive integer subset J of $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with $d'_1(w_J) = d'_1(w)$ and that there does not exist any expression of the form $w = x' \cdot w_I \cdot y'$ for any $x', y' \in \tilde{C}_n$ and any consecutive integer subset I of $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with $d'_1(w_I) > d'_1(w)$. This implies that w is not fully commutative if and only if $d'_1(w) \geq 5$, and the latter holds if and only if there exists a w -chain of length ≥ 3 .

Now we consider some properties of elements in \tilde{C}_n preserved by star operations.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that $y, w \in \tilde{C}_n$ can be obtained from each other by an $\{s_i, s_{i+1}\}$ -star operation for some $1 \leq i < n - 1$. If there exists a w -chain ξ , then there exists a y -chain of the same type and length as ξ .*

Proof. Let $\xi : i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r$. Then $y = s_t w$ for some $t \in \{i, i + 1\}$. If either $\ell(y) = \ell(w) + 1$ or

$$(*) \quad (t, t + 1) \notin \{(q(2n + 2) + i_h, q(2n + 2) + i_{h+1}), (q(2n + 2) - i_{h+1}, q(2n + 2) - i_h)\}$$

for any $1 \leq h < r$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

then $(i_1)_{s_t}, (i_2)_{s_t}, \dots, (i_r)_{s_t}$ is a y -chain of the same type as the w -chain ξ . Now assume that we are not in any of the above cases, that is, we assume that $\ell(y) = \ell(w) - 1$ and that $(t, t + 1) \in \{(q(2n + 2) + i_h, q(2n + 2) + i_{h+1}), (q(2n + 2) - i_{h+1}, q(2n + 2) - i_h)\}$ for some $1 \leq h < r$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. The result will be shown by finding some w -chain ξ' of the same type and length as ξ and satisfying condition $(*)$ with ξ' in the place of ξ . Applying a suitable chain-replacement on ξ if necessary, we have $(i_h, i_{h+1}) = (t, t + 1)$

for some $1 \leq h < r$. Then either $(t)w > (t-1)w > (t+1)w$ or $(t)w > (t+2)w > (t+1)w$ holds by the assumption that $s_t w$ can be obtained from w by a star operation. Clearly, for any $1 \leq j \leq r$, we have $i_j \not\equiv t-1 \pmod{2n+2}$ in the former case, and $i_j \not\equiv t+2 \pmod{2n+2}$ in the latter case. We only deal with the former case and then the latter case can be done similarly, so we assume we are in the former case. First assume that $i_k \neq q(2n+2) - (t-1)$ for any $1 \leq k \leq r$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. When ξ is of type I, we replace i_h by $t-1$ in ξ . When ξ is of type II, we replace i_h, i_{r+1-h} by $i_h-1, i_{r+1-h}+1$ respectively in ξ . Next assume that $i_k = q(2n+2) - (t-1)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq r$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ (hence ξ is of type I). When $q > 0$, i.e., $k > h+1$, we replace ξ by $q(2n+2) - i_r, q(2n+2) - i_{r-1}, \dots, q(2n+2) - i_k, i_{h+1}, i_{h+2}, \dots, i_{k-1}, q(2n+2) - i_h, \dots, q(2n+2) - i_1$. When $q \leq 0$, i.e., $k < h$, we replace ξ by $i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, q(2n+2) - i_h, q(2n+2) - i_{h-1}, \dots, q(2n+2) - i_k, i_{h+1}, i_{h+2}, \dots, i_r$. In either case, we get a new w -chain, say ξ' , which is of the same type and length as ξ . Also, ξ' satisfies condition $(*)$ with ξ' in the place of ξ . This proves our result. \square

The conclusion of Lemma 2.2 no longer holds in general if $w, y \in \tilde{C}_n$ can be obtained from each other either by an $\{s_0, s_1\}$ -star operation or by an $\{s_{n-1}, s_n\}$ -star operation. However, we have the following

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $y, w \in \tilde{C}_n$ can be obtained from each other by an $\{s_i, s_{i+1}\}$ -star operation with $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. If there exists a w -chain of length ≥ 3 then there also exists a y -chain of length ≥ 3 .*

Proof. When $1 \leq i < n-1$, the result follows from Lemma 2.2. So it remains to consider the case of $i = 0, n-1$. We shall only deal with the case of $i = n-1$. Then the case of $i = 0$ can be discussed similarly. Now assume $i = n-1$. Let $\xi : i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r$ be a w -chain with $r \geq 3$. Assume that $y = s_t w$ with $t \in \{n-1, n\}$. We may assume that $(i_1)s_t, (i_2)s_t, \dots, (i_r)s_t$ is not a y -chain (hence $\ell(y) = \ell(w) - 1$) since otherwise we are done. Then what we want to do is to find a w -chain $\xi' : j_1, j_2, \dots, j_u$ with $u \geq 3$ such that $(j_1)s_t, (j_2)s_t, \dots, (j_u)s_t$ is a y -chain. Applying a suitable chain-replacement if necessary, we may assume that either $t = n-1$, $(i_h, i_{h+1}) = (n-1, n)$ or $t = n$,

$(i_h, i_{h+1}) = (n, n+2)$ holds.

(1) First assume $t = n - 1$ and $(i_h, i_{h+1}) = (n - 1, n)$. We claim that ξ is of type I. For otherwise, ξ is of type II. Since $n - 1, n$ are two terms of ξ , ξ should also contain two terms $q(2n + 2) - n, q(2n + 2) - n + 1$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $q > 0$ then $n - 1, n, n + 2, n + 3$ would form a w -chain. If $q \leq 0$ then we would have $(n)w < (n - 1)w < (n + 3)w < (n + 2)w$. None of these cases could happen since $s_{n-1}w$ can be obtained from w by an $\{s_{n-1}, s_n\}$ -star operation. This proves the claim. We have either $(n + 3)w < (n)w < (n + 2)w < (n - 1)w$ or $(n)w < (n + 3)w < (n - 1)w < (n + 2)w$. In the former case, n is replaced by $n + 2$ in ξ . In the latter case, if $h > 1$ then ξ is replaced by $\xi' : i_1, \dots, i_{h-1}, n - 1, n + 3, 2n + 2 - i_{h-1}, \dots, 2n + 2 - i_1$; if $h = 1$ then ξ is replaced by $\xi' : 2n + 2 - i_r, 2n + 2 - i_{r-1}, \dots, 2n + 2 - i_3, n - 1, n + 3, i_3, \dots, i_r$.

(2) Next assume $t = n$ and $(i_h, i_{h+1}) = (n, n + 2)$. Then ξ has type II and $r = 2h$ is even with $h > 1$. We have either $(n + 2)w < (n + 3)w < (n - 1)w < (n)w$ or $(n + 2)w < (n - 1)w < (n + 3)w < (n)w$. In either case, ξ is replaced by $\xi' : i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{h-1}, n - 1, n + 2$.

Clearly, in any of the above cases, we get a required w -chain ξ' . This proves our result. \square

Remark 2.4. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.1, we see that the property of being fully commutative (or equivalently, being not fully commutative) is preserved under star operations on the elements in \tilde{C}_n .

§3. Main results.

Let W be a finite or an affine Coxeter group. In this section, we shall answer the question of when the fully commutative elements of W is or is not a union of two-sided cells. The main part of the section is concerned with the case of $W = \tilde{C}_n$.

Call $J \subset S$ fully commutative, if $st = ts$ for any $s, t \in J$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $w \in \tilde{C}_n$ be with $J = \mathcal{L}(w)$ fully commutative. If $\mathcal{L}(sw) \subset \mathcal{L}(w)$ for any $s \in J$ then w is fully commutative.*

Proof. By 1.2 and the assumption on w , we have

- (i) $(i-1)w < (i+1)w < (i)w < (i+2)w$ if $s_i \in J$ and $1 \leq i < n$;
- (ii) $(-2)w < (1)w < 0 < (-1)w < (2)w$ if $s_0 \in J$;
- (iii) $(n-1)w < (n+2)w < n+1 < (n)w < (n+3)w$ if $s_n \in J$;

By 1.2, we also have

- (iv) $(k)w < (k+1)w$ if $s_k \notin J$ and $1 \leq k < n$, $(-1)w < 0 < (1)w$ if $s_0 \notin J$, and $(n)w < n+1 < (n+2)w$ if $s_n \notin J$.

Suppose that $J = \{s_{i_j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq r, i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r\}$. Then we see from (i)–(iv) that

- (v) $(i_1 - \delta_{i_1,0})w < (i_2)w < \dots < (i_r)w$ and $(i_1+1)w < (i_2+1)w < \dots < (i_r+1+\delta_{i_r,n})w$, where $\delta_{hj} = 0$ or 1 according as $h \neq j$ or $h = j$.

- (vi) $(h)w < (j)w < (k)w$ for any $-1 \leq h < j < k \leq n+2$ with $j \notin \{i_m, i_m+1 \mid 1 \leq m \leq r\}$.

Let $I_i = S \setminus \{s_i\}$ and $J_j = I_j \setminus \{s_{j+1}\}$ (set difference) for $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $0 \leq j < n$. Let W_I be the subgroup of \tilde{C}_n generated by I for $I \subseteq S$. Then we see that

- (vii) if $s_0 \notin J$, then $0 < (h)w < 2n+2$ for $0 < h < 2n+2$ and so $w \in W_{I_0}$;
- (viii) if $s_n \notin J$, then $-n-1 < (h)w < n+1$ for $-n-1 < h < n+1$ and so $w \in W_{I_n}$;
- (ix) if $\{s_j, s_{j+1}\} \cap J = \emptyset$ with some $1 \leq j < n-1$, then $-(j+1)w < (h)w < (j+1)w$ for $-j-1 < h < j+1$ and $(j+1)w < (k)w < (2n+1-j)w$ for $j+1 < k < 2n+1-j$. This implies that $(j+1)w = j+1$ and hence $w \in W_{J_j}$;

In any of the cases (vii)–(ix), any w -chain can be chain-replaced into the closed interval $[a, a+2n]$ for some integer a . More precisely, we can take $a = 1$ (resp., $a = -n$, resp., $a = -j$) in the case (vii) (resp., (viii), resp., (ix)). Then it is easily seen from (v)–(vi) that there is no w -chain of length ≥ 3 in any of the cases (vii)–(ix).

- (x) If n is even and $J = \{s_0, s_2, s_4, \dots, s_n\}$, then $(1)w < (3)w < \dots < (n-1)w < (n+2)w < (n+4)w < \dots < (2n)w < (2n+3)w = 2n+2 + (1)w$ and $(-1)w < (2)w < (4)w < \dots < (n)w < (n+3)w < (n+5)w < \dots < (2n+1)w = 2n+2 + (-1)w$. Let \mathbb{Z}_{2n+2} be the set of residue classes of \mathbb{Z} modulo $2n+2$. Then \mathbb{Z}_{2n+2} is a disjoint union of two subsets $E_1 = \{\bar{1}, \bar{3}, \dots, \overline{n-1}, \overline{n+2}, \overline{n+4}, \dots, \overline{2n}\}$ and $E_2 =$

$\{\overline{-1}, \overline{2}, \overline{4}, \dots, \overline{n}, \overline{n+3}, \overline{n+5}, \dots, \overline{2n-1}\}$. For any w -chain $\xi : i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r$, denote by $\bar{\xi}$ the set $\{\overline{i_j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\}$. Then we see that the set $\bar{\xi} \cap E_k$ contains at most one element for any $k = 1, 2$. This implies that there is no w -chain of length ≥ 3 in this case.

Since at least one of the cases (vii)–(x) must occur by the fully commutativity of J , we conclude that w is fully commutative by Lemma 2.1. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $w \in \tilde{C}_n$ be not fully commutative. Then there exists some element $y \in M(w)$ such that $\mathcal{L}(y)$ is not fully commutative.*

Proof. The result is obvious when either $n > 2$, $\ell(w) = 3$, or $n = 2$, $\ell(w) = 4$, since $y = w$ must be a required element in $M(w)$. Now assume $\ell(w) > 3$. If $\mathcal{L}(w)$ is not fully commutative then $y = w$ is a required element in $M(w)$. Now assume that $\mathcal{L}(w)$ is fully commutative. By Lemma 3.1, there exists some $s \in \mathcal{L}(w)$ with $\mathcal{L}(sw) \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}(w)$. Hence $w' = sw$ is in $M(w)$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a w -chain of length ≥ 3 . Then by Lemma 2.3, there exists a w' -chain of length ≥ 3 . So our result follows by applying induction on $\ell(w) \geq 3$ when $n > 2$, or on $\ell(w) \geq 4$ when $n = 2$. \square

Corollary 3.3. *An element $w \in \tilde{C}_n$ is not fully commutative if and only if there exists some $y \in M(w)$ such that $\mathcal{L}(y)$ is not fully commutative.*

Proof. The implication “ \implies ” follows by Lemma 3.2. Then the reverse implication follows by Remark 2.4. \square

Let W_c be the set of all the fully commutative elements in \tilde{C}_n and let W'_c be the complementary set of W_c in \tilde{C}_n .

Theorem 3.4. *The set W_c is a union of two-sided cells of \tilde{C}_n .*

Proof. Suppose not. Then there must exist some $x \in W_c$ and $y \in W'_c$ satisfying $x \underset{\text{LR}}{\sim} y$. We know that the intersection of the left cell containing x and the right cell containing y is non-empty (it follows easily by the associativity of the Hecke algebra of W and by [11, Corollary 1.9]). So we may take some z with $x \underset{\text{L}}{\sim} z \underset{\text{R}}{\sim} y$. We see that an element $x \in \tilde{C}_n$ is fully commutative if and only if the element x^{-1} is too. So there is no loss in assuming

$x \underset{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} y$ to begin with. By Corollary 3.3, we see that there exists some $y' \in M(y^{-1})$ with $\mathcal{L}(y')$ not fully commutative and that $\mathcal{L}(x')$ is fully commutative for any $x' \in M(x^{-1})$. This contradicts the fact that x, y have the same generalized τ -invariants (see 1.4). So our result follows. \square

3.5. By the knowledge of their two-sided cells, we see that an element w of W is not fully commutative if and only if there exists some $y \in M(w)$ such that $\mathcal{L}(y)$ is not fully commutative in the case where W is one of the following groups: A_n, \tilde{A}_n ($n \geq 1$, see [12, Propositions 16.2.4 and 9.3.7] and [13, Theorem 3.1]), B_m ($m \geq 2$, see [8, Theorem 3.1.1]), F_4 (see [17]), $I_2(m)$ ($m \geq 2$, see [12, §1.7]), H_3 and H_4 (see [1]), \tilde{G}_2 (see [10]). So in this case, we conclude that the fully commutative elements of W do form a union of two-sided cells.

3.6. Let W be a finite or affine Coxeter group with a branching Coxeter graph, i.e., W is one of the following groups: D_n, \tilde{D}_n ($n \geq 4$), \tilde{B}_l ($l \geq 3$), E_m, \tilde{E}_m ($m = 6, 7, 8$). Then the fully commutative elements do not form a union of two-sided cells. This is because the group W either contains a standard parabolic subgroup D_4 or is \tilde{B}_3 and because the fully commutative elements in any of the groups D_4 and \tilde{B}_3 do not form a union of two-sided cells. Let $\{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}$ be the Coxeter generator set of the group D_4 with $o(s_1 s_2) = o(s_2 s_3) = o(s_2 s_4) = 3$. Then $s_1 s_3 s_4 \underset{\text{LR}}{\sim} s_1 s_2 s_1$, where $s_1 s_3 s_4$ is fully commutative, but $s_1 s_2 s_1$ is not (see [2]). Let $S = \{s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ be the Coxeter generator set of the group \tilde{B}_3 with $o(s_0 s_2) = o(s_1 s_2) = 3$ and $o(s_2 s_3) = 4$. Then $s_0 s_1 s_3 \underset{\text{LR}}{\sim} s_0 s_2 s_0$, where $s_0 s_1 s_3$ is fully commutative but $s_0 s_2 s_0$ is not (see [4]).

3.7. In the affine Coxeter group \tilde{F}_4 , the fully commutative elements do not form a union of two-sided cells. For, let $\{s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}$ be a Coxeter generator set of \tilde{F}_4 with $o(s_0 s_1) = o(s_1 s_2) = o(s_3 s_4) = 3$ and $o(s_2 s_3) = 4$. Then $s_0 s_1 s_0 \underset{\text{LR}}{\sim} s_0 s_2 s_4$ (see [15, §5.4]). Clearly, $s_0 s_2 s_4$ is fully commutative, but $s_0 s_1 s_0$ is not.

Acknowledgement. I am very grateful to the referee for his/her invaluable comments on the paper. I would like to take this opportunity to express my deep gratitude to

Professor Y. C. Chen who invited me to visit Nankai University and to the Center for Combinatorics, Nankai University for hospitality during the writing of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. D. Alvis and G. Lusztig, *The representations and generic degrees of the Hecke algebra of type H_4* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **336** (1982), 201–212.
2. D. Barbasch and D. Vogan, *Primitive ideals and Orbital integrals in complex classical groups*, Math. Ann. **259** (1982), 153–199.
3. S. C. Billey and G. S. Warrington, *Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials for 321-hexagon-avoiding permutations*, J. Algebraic Combin. **13** (2001), 111–136.
4. Jie Du, *The decomposition into cells of the affine Weyl group of type \tilde{B}_3* , Comm. Algebra **16** (1988), 1383–1409.
5. C. K. Fan, *A Hecke algebra quotient and properties of commutative elements of a Weyl group*, Ph.D. thesis, M.I.T. (1995).
6. C. K. Fan and J. R. Stembridge, *Nilpotent orbits and commutative elements*, J. Algebra **196** (1997), 490–498.
7. R. M. Green, *On 321-avoiding permutations in affine Weyl groups*, J. Algebraic Combin. **15** (2002), 241–252.
8. R. M. Green and J. Losonczy, *Fully commutative Kazhdan–Lusztig cells*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **51** (2001), 1025–1045.
9. D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), 165–184.
10. G. Lusztig, *Cells in affine Weyl groups*, in “Algebraic Groups and Related Topics”(R. Hotta, ed.), Advanced Studies in Pure Math., Kinokuniya and North Holland,(1985), 255–287.
11. G. Lusztig, *Cells in affine Weyl groups, II*, J. Algebra **109** (1987), 536–548.
12. Jian-yi Shi, *The Kazhdan–Lusztig cells in certain affine Weyl groups*, vol. 1179, Springer–Verlag, Lecture Notes in Math., 1986.
13. Jian-yi Shi, *Some results relating two presentations of certain affine Weyl groups*, J. Algebra **163**(1) (1994), 235–257.
14. Jian-yi Shi, *Left cells in affine Weyl groups*, Tohoku J. Math. **46** (1994), 105–124.
15. Jian-yi Shi, *Left cells in the affine Weyl group of type \tilde{F}_4* , J. Algebra **200** (1998), 173–206.
16. J. R. Stembridge, *On the fully commutative elements of Coxeter groups*, J. Algebraic Combin. **5** (1996), 353–385.
17. K. Takahashi, *The left cells and their W -graphs of Weyl group of type F_4* , Tokyo J. Math. **13** (1990), 327–340.

Center for Combinatorics,
The Key Laboratory of Pure Mathematics and
Combinatorics of Ministry of Education,
Nankai University,
Tianjin, 300071,
P. R. China

Department of Mathematics,
East China Normal University,
Shanghai, 200062,
P. R. China

Email: jyshi@math.ecnu.edu.cn