

# Some $q$ -supercongruences related to Swisher's (H.3) conjecture

Jian-Ping Fang and Victor J. W. Guo\*

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huaiyin Normal University, Huai'an 223300, Jiangsu,  
People's Republic of China

fjp7402@163.com, jwguo@hytc.edu.cn

**Abstract.** We first give a  $q$ -analogue of a supercongruence of Sun, which is a generalization of Van Hamme's (H.2) supercongruence for any prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . We also give a further generalization of this  $q$ -supercongruence, which may also be considered as a generalization of a  $q$ -supercongruence recently conjectured by the second author and Zudilin. Then, by combining these two  $q$ -supercongruences, we obtain  $q$ -analogues of the following two results: for any integer  $d > 1$  and prime  $p$  with  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{2d}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^2-1)/d} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p^2 \pmod{p^4},$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^2-1} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p^2 \pmod{p^4},$$

which are generalizations of Swisher's (H.3) conjecture modulo  $p^4$  for  $r = 2$ . The key ingredients in our proof are the 'creative microscoping' method, the  $q$ -Dixon sum, Watson's terminating  ${}_8\phi_7$  transformation, and properties of the  $p$ -adic Gamma function.

*Keywords:*  $q$ -supercongruences; cyclotomic polynomial;  $q$ -Dixon sum; Watson's transformation;  $p$ -adic Gamma function.

*AMS Subject Classifications:* 33D15, 11A07, 11B65

## 1. Introduction

In 1997, Van Hamme [25] listed 13 supercongruences related to truncated forms of Ramanujan's and Ramanujan-like formulas for  $1/\pi$ . Van Hamme himself proved three of them, including the following supercongruence [25, (H.2)]: for any prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $(a)_n = a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)$  is the Pochhammer symbol. Since  $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k/k! \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for  $(p+1)/2 \leq k \leq p-1$ , we may compute the sum in (1.1) for  $k$  up to

---

\*Corresponding author.

$p - 1$ . In recent years, all kinds of generalizations of (1.1) have been given by different authors [4, 9, 11, 12, 14–16, 19, 22, 23]. For example, Sun [23, Theorem 1.3] proved that, for any integer  $d > 1$  and prime  $p$  with  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{2d}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (1.2)$$

In 2016, Swisher [24, (H.3) with  $r = 2$ ] conjectured that, for primes  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $p > 3$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^2-1)/2} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p^2 \pmod{p^5}, \quad (1.3)$$

Motivated by Sun’s supercongruence (1.2) and Swisher’s conjecture (1.3), we shall prove the following results: for any integer  $d > 1$  and prime  $p$  with  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{2d}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^2-1)/d} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p^2 \pmod{p^4}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^2-1} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p^2 \pmod{p^4}. \quad (1.5)$$

Note that the  $d = 3, 4$  cases of the supercongruence (1.4) were already observed by He [13, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2]. However, He’s proofs of them are incorrect due to errors in his derivations of (3.2) and (3.8) in [13].

It is known that many supercongruences have nice  $q$ -analogues, and mathematicians may have more ways to deal with  $q$ -congruences than to treat classical supercongruences. Recently, the second author and Zudilin [10] devised a method, called ‘creative microscoping’, to prove plenty of  $q$ -congruences. For other recent progress on  $q$ -congruences, the reader may consult [3, 4, 7–9, 11, 12, 16–18, 20, 27–29].

In this paper, we shall give a  $q$ -analogue of (1.2) in the following theorem. Note that the  $d = 2$  case was already obtained by the second author and Zudilin [12, Theorem 1.1].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $d$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $d > 1$ . Then, modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1 + q^{2dk+1})(q^2; q^{2d})_k^3}{(1 + q)(q^{2d}; q^{2d})_k^3} q^{(2d-3)k} \\ & \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{[(d-1)n]_{q^2}(q^3; q^{2d})_{(dn-n-1)/d}}{(q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_{(dn-n-1)/d}} q^{(1+n-dn)/d}, & \text{if } n \equiv -1 \pmod{d}, \\ \frac{[n]_{q^2}(q^3; q^{2d})_{(n-1)/d}}{(q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_{(n-1)/d}} q^{(1-n)/d}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

At the moment, we already need to be familiar with the standard basic hypergeometric notation. The  $q$ -shifted factorial is defined as  $(a; q)_0 = 1$  and  $(a; q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1})$  for  $n \geq 1$  or  $n = \infty$ . The  $q$ -integer is given by  $[n] = [n]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$ , and  $\Phi_n(q)$  stands for the  $n$ -th cyclotomic polynomial in  $q$ , which may be defined by

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \gcd(n, k) = 1}} (q - \zeta^k),$$

where  $\zeta$  is an  $n$ -th primitive root of unity.

We now assume that  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{2d}$ . Then  $(q^3; q^{2d})_{(dn-n-1)/d}$  contains the factor  $1 - q^{(2d-3)n}$ . Since  $1 - q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$ , we always have  $[(d-1)n]_{q^2} \equiv (q^3; q^{2d})_{(dn-n-1)/d} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$ , while  $(q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_{(dn-n-1)/d}$  is relatively prime to  $\Phi_n(q)$ . By the first case of (1.6), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1 + q^{2dk+1})(q^2; q^{2d})_k^3}{(1 + q)(q^{2d}; q^{2d})_k^3} q^{(2d-3)k} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}.$$

Thus, if we further let  $n = p$  be a prime and take  $q \rightarrow 1$ , then we arrive at (1.2).

We shall also prove the following result, which is a generalization of [3, Theorem 1.1] (or equivalently, [11, Conjecture 2]).

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $d > 1$  be an integer and let  $n$  be a positive integer with  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{2d}$ . Then, for any positive integer  $m$ ,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{mn-1} \frac{(1 + q^{2dk+1})(q^2; q^{2d})_k^3}{(1 + q)(q^{2d}; q^{2d})_k^3} q^{(2d-3)k} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}, \quad (1.7)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{mn+(dn-n-1)/d} \frac{(1 + q^{2dk+1})(q^2; q^{2d})_k^3}{(1 + q)(q^{2d}; q^{2d})_k^3} q^{(2d-3)k} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \quad (1.8)$$

With the help of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we shall prove (1.4) and (1.5) by establishing the following  $q$ -analogues of them.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $d > 1$  be an integer and let  $n$  be a positive integer with  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{2d}$ . Then, modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2 \Phi_{n^2}(q)^2$ ,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n^2-1)/d} \frac{(1 + q^{2dk+1})(q^2; q^{2d})_k^3}{(1 + q)(q^{2d}; q^{2d})_k^3} q^{(2d-3)k} \equiv \frac{[n^2]_{q^2} (q^3; q^{2d})_{(n^2-1)/d}}{(q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_{(n^2-1)/d}} q^{(1-n^2)/d}, \quad (1.9)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n^2-1} \frac{(1 + q^{2dk+1})(q^2; q^{2d})_k^3}{(1 + q)(q^{2d}; q^{2d})_k^3} q^{(2d-3)k} \equiv \frac{[n^2]_{q^2} (q^3; q^{2d})_{(n^2-1)/d}}{(q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_{(n^2-1)/d}} q^{(1-n^2)/d}. \quad (1.10)$$

The proofs of Theorems 1.1–1.3 will be given in Sections 2–4, respectively.

Let  $n = p$  be a prime and take  $q \rightarrow 1$  in Theorem 1.3. Then  $\Phi_p(1) = \Phi_{p^2}(1) = p$ , and the left-hand sides of (1.9) and (1.10) reduce to those of (1.4) and (1.5), respectively. Moreover, the right-hand sides of (1.9) and (1.10) become

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{[n^2]_{q^2}(q^3; q^{2d})_{(p^2-1)/d}}{(q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_{(p^2-1)/d}} = p^2 \frac{(\frac{3}{2d})_{(p^2-1)/d}}{(\frac{2d+1}{2d})_{(p^2-1)/d}}.$$

To illustrate that (1.9) and (1.10) reduce to (1.4) and (1.5), respectively, we need to prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $d > 1$  be an integer and let  $p$  be a prime with  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{2d}$ . Then*

$$\frac{(\frac{3}{2d})_{(p^2-1)/d}}{(\frac{2d+1}{2d})_{(p^2-1)/d}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (1.11)$$

Our proof of Theorem 1.4 is similar to Wang and Pan's proof for the  $d = 2$  case in [26]. For the reader's convenience, we will give a detailed proof in Section 5.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We need to establish a parametric generalization of Theorem 1.1. The following is the  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$  case.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $d > 1$  be an integer and let  $n$  be a positive integer with  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$ . Then, modulo  $(1 - aq^{(2d-2)n})(a - q^{(2d-2)n})$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1 + q^{2dk+1})(aq^2; q^{2d})_k (q^2/a; q^{2d})_k (q^2; q^{2d})_k}{(1 + q)(aq^{2d}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d}/a; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d}; q^{2d})_k} q^{(2d-3)k} \\ & \equiv \frac{[(d-1)n]_{q^2}(q^3; q^{2d})_{(dn-n-1)/d}}{(q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_{(dn-n-1)/d}} q^{(1+n-dn)/d}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* Making the parameter substitutions  $q \mapsto q^{2d}$ ,  $a \mapsto q^2$ ,  $b \mapsto bq^2$  and  $c \mapsto cq^2$  in the  $q$ -Dixon sum [2, Appendix (II.13)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + q^{2dk+1})(q^2; q^{2d})_k (bq^2; q^{2d})_k (cq^2; q^{2d})_k \left(\frac{q^{2d-3}}{bc}\right)^k}{(1 + q)(q^{2d}/b; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d}/c; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d}; q^{2d})_k} \\ & = \frac{(q^{2d+2}; q^{2d})_{\infty} (q^{2d-1}/b; q^{2d})_{\infty} (q^{2d-1}/c; q^{2d})_{\infty} (q^{2d-2}/bc; q^{2d})_{\infty}}{(q^{2d}/b; q^{2d})_{\infty} (q^{2d}/c; q^{2d})_{\infty} (q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_{\infty} (q^{2d-3}/bc; q^{2d})_{\infty}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Since  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$ , putting  $b = q^{-(2d-2)n}$  and  $c = q^{(2d-2)n}$  in (2.2) we conclude that the left-hand side terminates and is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(dn-n-1)/d} \frac{(1+q^{2dk+1})(q^{2-(2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2+(2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_k (q^2; q^{2d})_k}{(1+q)(q^{2d-(2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d+(2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d}; q^{2d})_k} q^{(2d-3)k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1+q^{2dk+1})(q^{2-(2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2+(2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_k (q^2; q^{2d})_k}{(1+q)(q^{2d-(2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d+(2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d}; q^{2d})_k} q^{(2d-3)k}, \end{aligned}$$

while the right-hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(q^{2d-1-(2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_{(dn-n-1)/d} (q^{2d+2}; q^{2d})_{(dn-n-1)/d}}{(q^{2d-(2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_{(dn-n-1)/d} (q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_{(dn-n-1)/d}} \\ &= \frac{(1-q^{(2d-2)n})(q^3; q^{2d})_{(dn-n-1)/d}}{(1-q^2)(q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_{(dn-n-1)/d}} q^{(1+n-dn)/d}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the  $q$ -congruence (2.1) is true modulo  $1 - aq^{(2d-2)n}$  and  $a - q^{(2d-2)n}$ .  $\square$

We now give the  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$  case.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $d > 1$  be an integer and let  $n$  be a positive integer with  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ . Then, modulo  $(1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})$ ,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1+q^{2dk+1})(aq^2; q^{2d})_k (q^2/a; q^{2d})_k (q^2; q^{2d})_k}{(1+q)(aq^{2d}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d}/a; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d}; q^{2d})_k} q^{(2d-3)k} \equiv \frac{[n]_{q^2} (q^3; q^{2d})_{(n-1)/d}}{(q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_{(n-1)/d}} q^{(1-n)/d}. \quad (2.3)$$

*Proof.* Since  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ , letting  $b = q^{-2n}$  and  $c = q^{2n}$  in (2.2) we see that the left-hand side terminates and is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/d} \frac{(1+q^{2dk+1})(q^{2-2n}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2+2n}; q^{2d})_k (q^2; q^{2d})_k}{(1+q)(q^{2d-2n}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d+2n}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d}; q^{2d})_k} q^{(2d-3)k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1+q^{2dk+1})(q^{2-2n}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2+2n}; q^{2d})_k (q^2; q^{2d})_k}{(1+q)(q^{2d-2n}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d+2n}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d}; q^{2d})_k} q^{(2d-3)k}, \end{aligned}$$

while the right-hand side reduces to

$$\frac{(q^{2d-1-2n}; q^{2d})_{(n-1)/d} (q^{2d+2}; q^{2d})_{(n-1)/d}}{(q^{2d-2n}; q^{2d})_{(n-1)/d} (q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_{(n-1)/d}} = \frac{(1-q^{2n})(q^3; q^{2d})_{(n-1)/d}}{(1-q^2)(q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_{(n-1)/d}} q^{(1-n)/d}.$$

This proves the  $q$ -congruence (2.3).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $a = 1$  in (2.1). Then the left-hand side of (2.1) reduces to the left-hand side of (1.6), and the denominators of the left-hand side are relatively prime to  $\Phi_n(q)$  since  $\gcd(d, n) = 1$ . Moreover, the modulus  $(1 - aq^{(2d-2)n})(a - q^{(2d-2)n})$  becomes  $(1 - q^{(2d-2)n})^2$ , which has the factor  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ . This proves the first case of (1.6). Similarly, letting  $a = 1$  in (2.3), we are led to the second case of (1.6).  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Recall that Watson's terminating  ${}_8\phi_7$  transformation formula (see [2, Section 2] and [2, Appendix (III.18)]) can be stated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_8\phi_7 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, & qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, & -qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, & b, & c, & d, & e, & q^{-n} \\ & a^{\frac{1}{2}}, & -a^{\frac{1}{2}}, & aq/b, & aq/c, & aq/d, & aq/e, & aq^{n+1} \end{matrix} ; q, \frac{a^2q^{n+2}}{bcde} \right] \\ &= \frac{(aq; q)_n(aq/de; q)_n}{(aq/d; q)_n(aq/e; q)_n} {}_4\phi_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} aq/bc, & d, & e, & q^{-n} \\ & aq/b, & aq/c, & deq^{-n}/a \end{matrix} ; q, q \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where the basic hypergeometric  ${}_{r+1}\phi_r$  series is defined by

$${}_{r+1}\phi_r \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1} \\ b_1, \dots, b_r \end{matrix} ; q, z \right] := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1; q)_k (a_2; q)_k \dots (a_{r+1}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k (b_1; q)_k \dots (b_r; q)_k} z^k.$$

We write the left-hand side of (1.8) with  $m \geq 0$  as a terminating basic hypergeometric series:

$${}_8\phi_7 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^2, & q^{2d+1}, & -q^{2d+1}, & q^2, & q, & q^2, & q^{2d+(2dm+2d-2)n}, & q^{2-(2dm+2d-2)n} \\ & q, & -q, & q^{2d}, & q^{2d+1}, & q^{2d}, & q^{2-(2dm+2d-2)n}, & q^{2d+(2dm+2d-2)n} \end{matrix} ; q^{2d}, q^{2d-3} \right]. \quad (3.2)$$

By Watson's transformation (3.1) with  $q \mapsto q^{2d}$ ,  $a = b = d = q^2$ ,  $c = q$ ,  $e = q^{2d+(2dm+2d-2)n}$ , and  $n \mapsto mn + (dn - n - 1)/d$ , the series (3.2) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(q^{2d+2}; q^{2d})_{mn+(dn-n-1)/d} (q^{-(2dm+2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_{mn+(dn-n-1)/d}}{(q^{2d}; q^{2d})_{mn+(dn-n-1)/d} (q^{2-(2dm+2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_{mn+(dn-n-1)/d}} \\ & \times {}_4\phi_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{2d-1}, & q^2, & q^{2d+(2dm+2d-2)n}, & q^{2-(2dm+2d-2)n} \\ & q^{2d}, & q^{2d+1}, & q^{2d+2} \end{matrix} ; q^{2d}, q^{2d} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

It is easy to see that there are exactly  $m + 1$  factors of the form  $1 - q^{an}$  ( $a$  is an integer) among the  $mn + (dn - n - 1)/d$  factors of  $(q^{2d+2}; q^{2d})_{mn+(dn-n-1)/d}$ . So are the  $q$ -shifted factorial  $(q^{-(2dm+2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_{mn+(dn-n-1)/d}$ . But both  $(q^{2d}; q^{2d})_{mn+(dn-n-1)/d}$  and  $(q^{2-(2dm+2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_{mn+(dn-n-1)/d}$  have merely  $m$  factors of the form  $1 - q^{an}$ . Since  $\Phi_n(q)$  is a factor of  $1 - q^N$  if and only if  $N$  is a multiple of  $n$ , we deduce that the fraction before the  ${}_4\phi_3$  series is congruent to 0 modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ .

For any integer  $x$ , let  $f_{d,n}(x)$  be the least non-negative integer  $k$  such that  $(q^x; q^{2d})_k \equiv 0$  modulo  $\Phi_n(q)$ . Since  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{2d}$ , we have  $f_{d,n}(2) = (dn - n + d - 1)/d$ ,  $f_{d,n}(2d - 1) = (n + 1)/(2d)$ ,  $f_{d,n}(2d) = n$ ,  $f_{d,n}(2d + 1) = (2dn - n - 1)/(2d)$ ,  $f_{d,n}(2d + 2) = (dn - n - 1)/d$ , and so

$$f_{d,n}(2d - 1) < f_{d,n}(2d + 2) < f_{d,n}(2) \leq f_{d,n}(2d + 1) < f_{d,n}(2d).$$

This means that the denominator of the reduced form of the  $k$ -th term

$$\frac{(q^{2d-1}; q^{2d})_k (q^2; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d+(2dm+2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2-(2dm+2d-2)n}; q^{2d})_k}{(q^{2d}; q^{2d})_k^2 (q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_k (q^{2d+2}; q^{2d})_k} q^{2dk}$$

in the  ${}_4\phi_3$  series is always relatively prime to  $\Phi_n(q)$  for  $k \geq 0$ . Therefore, the expression (3.3) (i.e. (3.2)) is congruent to 0 modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ , thus establishing (1.8) for  $m \geq 0$ .

Finally, we observe that  $(q^2; q^{2d})_k / (q^{2d}; q^{2d})_k$  is congruent to 0 modulo  $\Phi_n(q)$  for  $mn + (dn - n - 1)/d < k \leq (m + 1)n - 1$ . The proof of (1.7) with  $m \mapsto m + 1$  then follows from (1.8) immediately.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Since  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{2d}$ , we have  $n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ . By the second case of (1.6), the  $q$ -congruence (1.10) is true modulo  $\Phi_{n^2}(q)^2$ . It is easy to see that  $(q^2; q^{2d})_k \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_{n^2}(q)}$  for  $(n^2 - 1)/d < k \leq n - 1$ , we conclude that (1.9) is also true modulo  $\Phi_{n^2}(q)^2$ .

It is easily seen that, for  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{2d}$ ,

$$\frac{[n^2]_{q^2} (q^3; q^{2d})_{(n^2-1)/d}}{(q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_{(n^2-1)/d}} q^{(1-n^2)/d} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}$$

because  $[n^2]_{q^2} = (1 - q^{2n^2}) / (1 - q^2)$  is divisible by  $\Phi_n(q)$ , and  $(q^3; q^{2d})_{(n^2-1)/d}$  has  $(n + 1)/d$  factors of the form  $1 - q^{an}$  ( $a$  is an integer), while  $(q^{2d+1}; q^{2d})_{(n^2-1)/d}$  only contains  $(n - d + 1)/d$  such factors. Moreover, in view of Theorem 1.2, the left-hand sides of (1.9) and (1.10) are both congruent to 0 modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2$  since  $(n^2 - 1)/d = (n - d + 1)n/d + (dn - n - 1)/d$ . It follows that the  $q$ -congruences (1.9) and (1.10) are true modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ . Since  $\Phi_n(q)$  and  $\Phi_{n^2}(q)$  are relatively prime polynomials, we complete the proof of the theorem.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We first recall some basic properties of Morita's  $p$ -adic Gamma function [1, 21]. Let  $p$  be an odd prime. For any integer  $n \geq 1$ , the  $p$ -adic Gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma_p(n) := (-1)^n \prod_{\substack{0 < k < n \\ p \nmid k}} k.$$

In particular, set  $\Gamma_p(0) = 1$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  denote the ring of all  $p$ -adic integers. Extend  $\Gamma_p$  to all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  by defining

$$\Gamma_p(x) = \lim_{x_n \rightarrow x} \Gamma_p(x_n),$$

where  $x_n$  is any sequence of positive integers that  $p$ -adically approaches  $x$ . By the definition of  $p$ -adic Gamma function, we have

$$\frac{\Gamma_p(x + 1)}{\Gamma_p(x)} = \begin{cases} -x, & p \nmid x, \\ -1, & p \mid x. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

It is also known that, for any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,

$$\Gamma_p(x)\Gamma_p(1 - x) = (-1)^{a_0(x)}, \quad (5.2)$$

where  $a_0(x) \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$  satisfies  $a_0(x) \equiv x \pmod{p}$ .

To prove Theorem 1.4, we also require the following result (see [19, Theorem 14]).

**Lemma 5.1.** *For any odd prime  $p$  and  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , we have*

$$\Gamma_p(a + mp) \equiv \Gamma_p(a) + \Gamma'_p(a)mp \pmod{p^2}. \quad (5.3)$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Let  $\Gamma(x)$  be the classical Gamma function. By (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left(\frac{3}{2d}\right)_{(p^2-1)/d}}{\left(\frac{2d+1}{2d}\right)_{(p^2-1)/d}} &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p^2+1}{2d}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2d+1}{2d}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2d}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2p^2+2d-1}{2d}\right)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{(2d-3)p}{2d} \cdot \frac{(4d-3)p}{2d} \cdots \frac{(2p-1)p}{2d}}{\frac{(2d-1)p}{2d} \cdot \frac{(4d-1)p}{2d} \cdots \frac{(2p-2d+1)p}{2d}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2p^2+1}{2d}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2d+1}{2d}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3}{2d}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2p^2+2d-1}{2d}\right)} \\ &\equiv \frac{p\left(\frac{2d-3}{2d}\right)_{(p+1)/d}}{\left(\frac{2d-1}{2d}\right)_{(p-d+1)/d}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2d}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2d+1}{2d}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3}{2d}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2d-1}{2d}\right)} \pmod{p^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p\left(\frac{2d-3}{2d}\right)_{(p+1)/d}}{\left(\frac{2d-1}{2d}\right)_{(p-d+1)/d}} &= \frac{p(-1)^{(p+1)/d}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2p+2d-1}{2d}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2d-1}{2d}\right)}{\frac{p}{2d}(-1)^{(p-d+1)/d}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2d-3}{2d}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2p+1}{2d}\right)} \\ &= -\frac{2d\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2p+2d-1}{2d}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2d-1}{2d}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2d-3}{2d}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2p+1}{2d}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5.1)–(5.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left(\frac{3}{2d}\right)_{(p^2-1)/d}}{\left(\frac{2d+1}{2d}\right)_{(p^2-1)/d}} &\equiv \frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2p+2d-1}{2d}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2d}\right)^2}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2d-3}{2d}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2p+1}{2d}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3}{2d}\right)} \\ &\equiv \frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2p+2d-1}{2d}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2d-1-2p}{2d}\right)(-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2d}}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2d}\right)^2}{(-1)^{\frac{(2d-3)(p+1)}{2d}}} \\ &\equiv \Gamma_p\left(\frac{2d-1}{2d}\right)^2 \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2d}\right)^2 = 1 \pmod{p^2}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

## 6. An open problem

Motivated by Swisher's conjectural supercongruence (1.3), it is natural to propose the following conjecture, which is also a generalization of [13, Conjecture 1.3].



**Conjecture 6.1.** For any integer  $d > 1$  and prime  $p$  with  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{2d}$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^2-1)/d} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p^2 \pmod{p^5},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^2-1} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p^2 \pmod{p^5}.$$

**Acknowledgment.** The authors thank the anonymous referee for a careful reading of this paper. The second author was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11771175).

## References

- [1] G. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, *Special Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, 2nd Edition, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications* 96, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [3] V.J.W. Guo, A family of  $q$ -congruences modulo the square of a cyclotomic polynomial, *Electron. Res. Arch.* 28 (2020), 1031–1036.
- [4] V.J.W. Guo, A further  $q$ -analogue of Van Hamme’s (H.2) supercongruence for primes  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , *Int. J. Number Theory* 17 (2021), 1201–1206.
- [5] V.J.W. Guo, Some variations of a “divergent” Ramanujan-type  $q$ -supercongruence, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 27 (2021), 376–388.
- [6] V.J.W. Guo and J.-C. Liu,  $q$ -Analogues of two Ramanujan-type formulas for  $1/\pi$ , *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 24 (2018), 1368–1373.
- [7] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, A family of  $q$ -hypergeometric congruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, *Israel J. Math.* 240 (2020), 821–835.
- [8] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, A family of  $q$ -supercongruences modulo the cube of a cyclotomic polynomial, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972721000630>
- [9] V.J.W. Guo, J. Zeng, Some  $q$ -supercongruences for truncated basic hypergeometric series, *Acta Arith.* 171 (2015), 309–326.
- [10] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, A  $q$ -microscope for supercongruences, *Adv. Math.* 346 (2019), 329–358.
- [11] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, On a  $q$ -deformation of modular forms, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 475 (2019), 1636–1646.
- [12] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, A common  $q$ -analogue of two supercongruences, *Results Math.* 75 (2020), 46.
- [13] B. He, On some conjectures of Swisher, *Results Math.* 71 (2017), 1223–1234.
- [14] J.-C. Liu, Some supercongruences on truncated  ${}_3F_2$  hypergeometric series, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 24 (2018), 438–451.

- [15] J.-C. Liu, On Van Hamme's (A.2) and (H.2) supercongruences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 471 (2019), 613–622.
- [16] J.-C. Liu, On a congruence involving  $q$ -Catalan numbers, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 358 (2020), 211–215.
- [17] J.-C. Liu and F. Petrov, Congruences on sums of  $q$ -binomial coefficients, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 116 (2020), 102003.
- [18] Y. Liu and X. Wang,  $q$ -Analogues of two Ramanujan-type supercongruences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 502 (2021), Art. 125238.
- [19] L. Long and R. Ramakrishna, Some supercongruences occurring in truncated hypergeometric series, *Adv. Math.* 290 (2016), 773–808.
- [20] H.-X. Ni and H. Pan, Some symmetric  $q$ -congruences modulo the square of a cyclotomic polynomial, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 481 (2020), 123372.
- [21] A.M. Robert, *A Course in  $p$ -adic Analysis*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 198, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [22] Z.-H. Sun, Generalized Legendre polynomials and related supercongruences, *J. Number Theory* 143 (2014), 293–319.
- [23] Z.-W. Sun, On sums of Apéry polynomials and related congruences, *J. Number Theory* 132 (2012), 2673–2699.
- [24] H. Swisher, On the supercongruence conjectures of van Hamme, *Res. Math. Sci.* 2 (2015), Art. 18.
- [25] L. Van Hamme, Some conjectures concerning partial sums of generalized hypergeometric series, in:  *$p$ -Adic Functional Analysis (Nijmegen, 1996)*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 192, Dekker, New York, 1997, pp. 223–236.
- [26] C. Wang and H. Pan, On a conjectural congruence of Guo, preprint, January 2020, arXiv:2001.08347.
- [27] X. Wang and M. Yue, A  $q$ -analogue of the (A.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme for any prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , *Int. J. Number Theory* 16 (2020), 1325–1335.
- [28] X. Wang and M. Yue, Some  $q$ -supercongruences from Watson's  ${}_8\phi_7$  transformation formula, *Results Math.* 75 (2020), Art. 71.
- [29] W. Zudilin, Congruences for  $q$ -binomial coefficients, *Ann. Combin.* 23 (2019), 1123–1135.