

# PROOF OF A SUPERCONGRUENCE CONJECTURED BY SUN THROUGH A $q$ -MICROSCOPE

VICTOR J. W. GUO

ABSTRACT. Recently, Z.-W. Sun made the following conjecture: for any odd prime  $p$  and odd integer  $m$ ,

$$\frac{1}{m^2 \binom{m-1}{(m-1)/2}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{(mp-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{8^k} - \left( \frac{2}{p} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{8^k} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

In this note, applying the “creative microscoping” method, introduced by the author and Zudilin, we confirm the above conjecture of Sun.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

During the past decade, congruences and supercongruences have been studied by quite a few authors. In 2011, Z.-W. Sun [14, (1.6)] proved that, for any odd prime  $p$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{8^k} \equiv \left( \frac{2}{p} \right) + \left( \frac{-2}{p} \right) \frac{p^2}{4} E_{p-3} \pmod{p^3},$$

where  $\left( \frac{\cdot}{\cdot} \right)$  denotes the Jacobi symbol and  $E_n$  is the  $n$ -th Euler number. Later, he [15, (1.7)] further proved that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{8^k} \equiv \left( \frac{2}{p^r} \right) \pmod{p^2}. \quad (1.1)$$

Recently, Sun [16, Conjecture 4(ii)] also proposed the following conjecture: for any odd prime  $p$  and odd integer  $m$ ,

$$\frac{1}{m^2 \binom{m-1}{(m-1)/2}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{(mp-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{8^k} - \left( \frac{2}{p} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{8^k} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, \quad (1.2)$$

which is clearly a generalization of (1.1).

In the past few years,  $q$ -analogues of congruences and supercongruences have caught the interests of a lot of people (see [3–13, 17]). In particular, the author and

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B65, 11A07, 33D15,

*Key words and phrases.* cyclotomic polynomial;  $q$ -binomial coefficient;  $q$ -congruence; supercongruence; creative microscoping.

The author was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11771175).

Liu [8] gave the following  $q$ -analogue of (1.1): for odd  $n > 1$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} q^{k^2} \frac{(q; q^2)_k}{(q^4; q^4)_k} \equiv (-q)^{(1-n^2)/8} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \quad (1.3)$$

Here and in what follows,  $(a; q)_n = (1-a)(1-aq) \cdots (1-aq^{n-1})$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , or  $n = \infty$ , is the  $q$ -shifted factorial, and  $\Phi_n(q)$  is the  $n$ -th cyclotomic polynomial in  $q$  given by

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \gcd(n, k) = 1}} (q - \zeta^k),$$

where  $\zeta$  is an  $n$ -th primitive root of unity. Moreover, Gu and the author [4] gave some different  $q$ -analogues of (1.1), such as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q; q^2)_k q^{2k}}{(q^2; q^2)_k (-q; q^2)_k} \equiv \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) q^{2\lfloor (n+1)/4 \rfloor^2} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the largest integer not exceeding  $x$ . In order to prove Sun's conjecture (1.2), we need the following new  $q$ -analogue of (1.1).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $n > 1$  be an odd integer. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q; q^2)_k (-1; q^4)_k}{(-q; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k} \equiv \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \quad (1.5)$$

Recall that the  $q$ -integer is defined by  $[n]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$  and the  $q$ -binomial coefficient  $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q$  is defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} \frac{(q; q)_m}{(q; q)_n (q; q)_{m-n}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq n \leq m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the basis of (1.5), we are able to give the following  $q$ -analogue of (1.2).

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive odd integers with  $n > 1$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{[m]_{q^n}^2 \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ (m-1)/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^n}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{(mn-1)/2} \frac{(q; q^2)_k (-1; q^4)_k}{(-q; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{(q^n; q^{2n})_k (-1; q^{4n})_k}{(-q^n; q^{2n})_k (q^{4n}; q^{4n})_k} q^{2nk} \right) \\ & \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Moreover, the denominator of (the reduced form of) the left-hand side of (1.6) is relatively prime to  $\Phi_{n^j}(q)$  for any index  $j \geq 2$ .

It is well known that  $\Phi_n(1) = p$  if  $n$  is a prime power  $p^r$  ( $r \geq 1$ ) and  $\Phi_n(1) = 1$  otherwise. Moreover, the denominator of (1.6) is no doubt a product of cyclotomic polynomials. This means that (1.2) immediately follows from (1.6) by letting  $n = p$  and taking the limits as  $q \rightarrow 1$ .

We shall prove Theorem 1.1 in the next section. The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Section 3 by using the method of “creative microscoping” recently introduced by the author and Zudilin [10]. More precisely, we shall first give a generalization of Theorem 1.2 with an extra parameter  $a$ , and Theorem 1.2 then follows from this generalization by taking  $a \rightarrow 1$ . Finally, in Section 4, we give some hints on solving another similar conjecture of Sun.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

It is easy to check that

$$(1 - q^{n-2j+1})(1 - q^{n+2j-1}) + (1 - q^{2j-1})^2 q^{n-2j+1} = (1 - q^n)^2,$$

and so

$$(1 - q^{n-2j+1})(1 - q^{n+2j-1}) \equiv -(1 - q^{2j-1})^2 q^{n-2j+1} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}$$

since  $1 - q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (q^{1-n}; q^2)_k (q^{1+n}; q^2)_k &= (-1)^k q^{k^2 - nk} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - q^{n-2j+1})(1 - q^{n+2j-1}) \\ &\equiv q^{k^2 - nk} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - q^{2j-1})^2 q^{n-2j+1} \\ &= (q; q^2)_k^2 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q; q^2)_k (-1; q^4)_k}{(-q; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k} &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q^{1-n}; q^2)_k (q^{1+n}; q^2)_k (-1; q^4)_k}{(q; q^2)_k (-q; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k} \\ &= \left( \frac{2}{n} \right) \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Here the last step in (2.1) follows from a terminating  $q$ -analogue of Whipple’s  ${}_3F_2$  sum [2, Appendix (II.19)]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k (q^{n+1}; q)_k (c; q)_k (-c; q)_k}{(e; q)_k (c^2 q/e; q)_k (q; q)_k (-q; q)_k} q^k \\ = \frac{(eq^{-n}; q^2)_\infty (eq^{n+1}; q^2)_\infty (c^2 q^{1-n}/e; q^2)_\infty (c^2 q^{n+2}/e; q^2)_\infty}{(e; q)_\infty (c^2 q/e; q)_\infty} q^{n(n+1)/2} \end{aligned}$$

with  $n \mapsto (n-1)/2$ ,  $q \mapsto q^2$ ,  $c^2 = -1$  and  $e = q$ .

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We first establish the following parametric generalization of Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive odd integers with  $n > 1$ . Then, modulo*

$$\prod_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} (1 - aq^{(2j+1)n})(a - q^{(2j+1)n}), \quad (3.1)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(mn-1)/2} \frac{(aq; q^2)_k (q/a; q^2)_k (-1; q^4)_k}{(q; q^2)_k (-q; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k} \\ & \equiv \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{(aq^n; q^{2n})_k (q^n/a; q^{2n})_k (-1; q^{4n})_k}{(q^n; q^{2n})_k (-q^n; q^{2n})_k (q^{4n}; q^{4n})_k} q^{2nk}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that both sides of (3.2) are identical for  $a = q^{-(2j+1)n}$  and  $a = q^{(2j+1)n}$  with  $j = 0, 1, \dots, (m-1)/2$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(mn-1)/2} \frac{(q^{1-(2j+1)n}; q^2)_k (q^{1+(2j+1)n}; q^2)_k (-1; q^4)_k}{(q; q^2)_k (-q; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k} \\ & = \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{(q^{-2jn}; q^{2n})_k (q^{2-2jn}; q^{2n})_k (-1; q^{4n})_k}{(q^n; q^{2n})_k (-q^n; q^{2n})_k (q^{4n}; q^{4n})_k} q^{2nk}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Clearly,  $(mn-1)/2 \geq ((2j+1)n-1)/2$  for  $0 \leq j \leq (m-1)/2$ , and  $(q^{1-(2j+1)n}; q^2)_k = 0$  for  $k > ((2j+1)n-1)/2$ . By the identity in (2.1), the left-hand side of (3.3) is equal to  $\left(\frac{2}{(2j+1)n}\right)$ . Likewise, the right-hand side of (3.3) is equal to

$$\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \left(\frac{2}{2j+1}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{(2j+1)n}\right),$$

where  $\left(\frac{2}{1}\right)$  is understood to be 1. This establishes the identity (3.3), and so the  $q$ -congruence (3.2) holds.  $\square$

We now can prove Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* It is easy to see that

$$q^N - 1 = \prod_{d|N} \Phi_d(q),$$

and there are  $\lfloor m/n^{j-1} \rfloor - \lfloor (m-1)/(2n^{j-1}) \rfloor$  multiples of  $n^{j-1}$  in the arithmetic progression  $1, 3, \dots, m$  for any positive integer  $j$ . Thus, the limit of (3.1) as  $a \rightarrow 1$  has the factor

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n^j}(q)^{2\lfloor m/n^{j-1} \rfloor - 2\lfloor (m-1)/(2n^{j-1}) \rfloor}.$$

On the other hand, the denominator of the left-hand side of (3.2) is divisible by that of the right-hand side of (3.2). The former is equal to  $(q^2; q^2)_{mn-1}$  and its factor related to  $\Phi_n(q), \Phi_{n^2}(q), \dots$  is just

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n^j}(q)^{\lfloor (mn-1)/n^j \rfloor}.$$

Moreover, writing  $[m]_q = (q; q)_m / ((1-q)(q; q)_{m-1})$ , the  $q$ -binomial coefficient  $\begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ (m-1)/2 \end{bmatrix}$  as a product of cyclotomic polynomials (see, for example, [1]), and then using the fact  $\Phi_{n^j}(q^n) = \Phi_{n^{j+1}}(q)$ , we know that the polynomial  $[m]_{q^n}^2 \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ (m-1)/2 \end{bmatrix}_{q^n}$  only has the following factor

$$\prod_{j=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{n^j}(q)^{2\lfloor m/n^{j-1} \rfloor - \lfloor (m-1)/n^{j-1} \rfloor - 2\lfloor (m-1)/(2n^{j-1}) \rfloor}$$

related to  $\Phi_n(q), \Phi_{n^2}(q), \dots$ .

It is clear that

$$2\lfloor m/n^{j-1} \rfloor - 2\lfloor (m-1)/(2n^{j-1}) \rfloor - \lfloor (mn-1)/n^j \rfloor = 2 \quad \text{for } j = 1,$$

and

$$\lfloor (mn-1)/n^j \rfloor = \lfloor (m-1)/n^{j-1} \rfloor \quad \text{for } j \geq 1.$$

Therefore, letting  $a \rightarrow 1$  in (3.2), we see that the  $q$ -congruence (1.6) holds, and the denominator of the left-hand side of (1.6) is relatively prime to  $\Phi_{n^j}(q)$  for  $j \geq 2$ , as desired.  $\square$

#### 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Sun [16, Conjecture 4(ii)] also made the following conjecture: for any odd prime  $p$  and odd integer  $m$ ,

$$\frac{1}{m^2 \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ (m-1)/2 \end{bmatrix}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{(mp-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{16^k} - \left(\frac{3}{p}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{16^k} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, \quad (4.1)$$

of which the  $m = 1$  case was already proved by Sun [15] himself. Although Gu and the author [4] gave the following  $q$ -analogue of (4.1) for  $m = 1$ : for odd  $n > 1$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q; q^2)_k q^{2k}}{(q^4; q^4)_k (-q; q^2)_k} \equiv \left(\frac{3}{n}\right) q^{(n^2-1)/12} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}, \quad (4.2)$$

we cannot utilize (4.2) to give a  $q$ -analogue of (4.1) similar to Theorem 1.2 because  $(n^2 - 1)/12$  is not a linear function of  $n$ . Anyway, we believe that such a  $q$ -analogue of (4.1) should exist, which is left to the interested reader.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W.Y.C. Chen and Q.-H. Hou, Factors of the Gaussian coefficients, *Discrete Math.* 306 (2006), 1446–1449.
- [2] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic hypergeometric series, second edition, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications* 96, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [3] O. Gorodetsky,  $q$ -Congruences, with applications to supercongruences and the cyclic sieving phenomenon, *Int. J. Number Theory* 15 (2019), 1919–1968.
- [4] C.-Y. Gu and V.J.W. Guo,  $q$ -Analogues of two supercongruences of Z.-W. Sun, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, in press; <https://doi.org/10.21136/CMJ.2020.0516-18>
- [5] V.J.W. Guo,  $q$ -Analogues of three Ramanujan-type formulas for  $1/\pi$ , *Ramanujan J.* 52 (2020), 123–132.
- [6] V.J.W. Guo, A  $q$ -analogue of the (A.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme for primes  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM* 114 (2020), Art. 123.
- [7] V.J.W. Guo,  $q$ -Analogues of Dwork-type supercongruences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 487 (2020), Art. 124022.
- [8] V.J.W. Guo and J.-C. Liu,  $q$ -Analogues of two Ramanujan-type formulas for  $1/\pi$ , *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 24 (2018), 1368–1373.
- [9] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Some new  $q$ -congruences for truncated basic hypergeometric series: even powers, *Results Math.* 75 (2020), Art. 1.
- [10] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, A  $q$ -microscope for supercongruences, *Adv. Math.* 346 (2019), 329–358.
- [11] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, A common  $q$ -analogue of two supercongruences, *Results Math.* 75 (2020), Art. 46.
- [12] H.-X. Ni and H. Pan, Some symmetric  $q$ -congruences modulo the square of a cyclotomic polynomial, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 481 (2020), Art. 123372.
- [13] A. Straub, Supercongruences for polynomial analogs of the Apéry numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 147 (2019), 1023–1036.
- [14] Z.-W. Sun, Super congruences and Euler numbers, *Sci. China Math.* 54 (2011), 2509–2535.
- [15] Z.-W. Sun, Fibonacci numbers modulo cubes of primes, *Taiwan. J. Math.* 17 (2013), 1523–1543.
- [16] Z.-W. Sun, Open conjectures on congruences, *Nanjing Univ. J. Math. Biquarterly* 36 (2019), no. 1, 1–99.
- [17] R. Tauraso,  $q$ -Analogues of some congruences involving Catalan numbers, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 48 (2009), 603–614.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUAIYIN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, HUAI'AN 223300, JIANGSU, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address:* jwguo@hytc.edu.cn