

NEW q -ANALOGUES OF VAN HAMME'S (E.2) SUPERCONGRUENCE AND OF A SUPERCONGRUENCE BY SWISHER

VICTOR J. W. GUO AND MICHAEL J. SCHLOSSER

ABSTRACT. In this paper, a couple of q -supercongruences for truncated basic hypergeometric series are proved, most of them modulo the cube of a cyclotomic polynomial. One of these results is a new q -analogue of the (E.2) supercongruence by Van Hamme, another one is a new q -analogue of a supercongruence by Swisher, while the other results are closely related q -supercongruences. The proofs make use of special cases of a very-well-poised ${}_6\phi_5$ summation. In addition, the proofs utilize the method of creative microscoping (which is a method recently introduced by the first author in collaboration with Wadim Zudilin), and the Chinese remainder theorem for coprime polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1997, Van Hamme [24] presented 13 remarkable supercongruences corresponding to Ramanujan's or to Ramanujan-like formulas for $1/\pi$. For instance, the two infinite series expansions

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (4k+1) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} = \frac{2}{\pi},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (6k+1) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi},$$

correspond to the following two supercongruences for truncated hypergeometric series:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^k (4k+1) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p \pmod{p^3}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/3} (-1)^k (6k+1) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p \pmod{p^3}, \quad \text{for } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \quad (1.2)$$

where p is an odd prime, and $(a)_n = a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol. The supercongruence (1.1) was first proved by Mortenson [18] using a technical

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 33D15; Secondary 11A07.

Key words and phrases. basic hypergeometric series; supercongruences; q -congruences; cyclotomic polynomial; ${}_6\phi_5$ summation.

The second author was partially supported by FWF Austrian Science Fund grant P 32305.

evaluation of gamma functions, and later reproved by Zudilin [28] and Long [16]. Swisher [23] employed Long's method to prove four supercongruences of Van Hamme, including (1.2) (i.e., the (E.2) supercongruence in [24]). He [11] also gave a generalization of (1.2). In 2016, Osburn and Zudilin [21] confirmed the last supercongruence conjecture of Van Hamme.

During the past few years, q -analogues of supercongruences have been investigated by many authors (see, for example, [3–10, 12–15, 19, 20, 22, 25–27, 29]). In particular, the first author [3, 4] gave q -analogues of (1.1) and (1.2) as follows: for any odd integer n ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^k [4k+1] \frac{(q; q^2)_k^3}{(q^2; q^2)_k^3} q^{k^2} \equiv (-1)^{(n-1)/2} q^{(n-1)^2/4} [n] \pmod{[n]\Phi_n(q)^2},$$

and for any positive integer n with $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/3} (-1)^k [6k+1] \frac{(q; q^3)_k^3}{(q^3; q^3)_k^3} q^{(3k^2+k)/2} \equiv (-1)^{(n-1)/3} q^{(n-1)(n-2)/6} [n] \pmod{[n]\Phi_n(q)^2}.$$

Here and in what follows, $(a; q)_n = (1-a)(1-aq) \cdots (1-aq^{n-1})$ is the q -shifted factorial, $[n] = [n]_q = (1-q^n)/(1-q)$ is the q -integer, and $\Phi_n(q)$ denotes the n -th cyclotomic polynomial in q , i.e.,

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \gcd(k, n) = 1}} (q - \zeta^k),$$

where ζ is an n -th primitive root of unity. The first author and Zudilin [10, Theorem 3.5 with $r = 1$] also gave another q -analogue of (1.2): for any positive integer n with $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/3} (-1)^k [6k+1]_{q^2} \frac{(q^2; q^6)_k^3 (-q^3; q^6)_k}{(q^6; q^6)_k^3 (-q^5; q^6)_k} q^k \equiv q^{1-n} [n]_{q^2} \pmod{[n]\Phi_n(q)^2}. \quad (1.3)$$

One of the aims of this paper is to establish the following new q -analogue of (1.2).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ be a positive integer. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^M (-1)^k [6k+1] \frac{(q; q^3)_k^3}{(q^3; q^3)_k^3} \equiv q^{2(1-n)/3} [n] \frac{(-q^3; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}}{(-q^2; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}} \pmod{[n]\Phi_n(q)^2}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $M = (n-1)/3$ or $M = n-1$.

We shall also give the following similar result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ be a positive integer. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^M [6k+1] \frac{(q; q^3)_k^3}{(q^3; q^3)_k^3} \equiv q^{2(1-n)/3} [n] \frac{(q^3; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}}{(q^2; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^3}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $M = (n - 1)/3$ or $M = n - 1$.

Note that the supercongruence (1.5) does not hold modulo $[n]\Phi_n(q)^2$ in general, even for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. We take this opportunity to point out that Theorems 1 and 2 in [8] only hold modulo $\Phi_n(q)^3$ and $\Phi_n(q)^2$, respectively, but do not hold modulo $[n]$, since Lemma 3 in [8] is not true (it only holds for even integers d).

Swisher [23] also proved that, for any prime $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(2p-1)/3} (-1)^k (6k+1) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv -2p \pmod{p^3}. \quad (1.6)$$

A q -analogue of (1.6) was given by the first author [4, Theorem 1.5 with $(d, r) = (3, 1)$]: for any positive integer $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(2n-1)/3} (-1)^k q^{(3k^2+k)/2} [6k+1] \frac{(q; q^3)_k^3}{(q^3; q^3)_k^3} \equiv -[2n]q^{(n-1)(2n-1)/3} \pmod{[n]\Phi_n(q)^2}.$$

In this paper, we shall give a new q -analogue of (1.6).

Theorem 1.3. *Let $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ be a positive integer. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^M (-1)^k [6k+1] \frac{(q; q^3)_k^3}{(q^3; q^3)_k^3} \equiv -q^{2(1-2n)/3} [2n] \frac{(-q^3; q^3)_{(2n-1)/3}}{(-q^2; q^3)_{(2n-1)/3}} \pmod{[n]\Phi_n(q)^2}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $M = (2n - 1)/3$ or $M = n - 1$.

Similarly, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ be a positive integer. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^M [6k+1] \frac{(q; q^3)_k^3}{(q^3; q^3)_k^3} \equiv q^{2(1-2n)/3} [2n] \frac{(q^3; q^3)_{(2n-1)/3}}{(q^2; q^3)_{(2n-1)/3}} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $M = (2n - 1)/3$ or $M = n - 1$.

Note that the q -supercongruence (1.8) does not hold modulo $\Phi_n(q)^3$ for $n > 2$. We shall prove Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 modulo $\Phi_n(q)^3$ and Theorem 1.4 by using a summation for a very-well-poised ${}_6\phi_5$ series and the ‘creative microscoping’ method introduced by the first author in collaboration with Zudilin [9]. The proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 also requires the use of a lemma previously given by the present authors.

From Theorems 1.2 and 1.4, we can deduce the following supercongruences.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ be a prime. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/3} (6k+1) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 \pmod{p^3}, \quad (1.9)$$

where $\Gamma_p(x)$ denotes the p -adic Gamma function.

Corollary 1.6. *Let $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ be an odd prime. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(2p-1)/3} (6k+1) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv -6\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 \pmod{p^2}.$$

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We first give the following result, which is due to the present authors [6, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let d, m and n be positive integers with $m \leq n-1$. Let r be an integer satisfying $dm \equiv -r \pmod{n}$. Then, for $0 \leq k \leq m$ and any indeterminate a , we have*

$$\frac{(aq^r; q^d)_{m-k}}{(q^d/a; q^d)_{m-k}} \equiv (-a)^{m-2k} \frac{(aq^r; q^d)_k}{(q^d/a; q^d)_k} q^{m(dm-d+2r)/2+(d-r)k} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

If $\gcd(d, n) = 1$, then the above q -congruence also holds for $a = 1$.

We also need the following result to prove the truth of (1.4) modulo $[n]$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let n be a positive integer coprime with 6, and let a be an indeterminate. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k [6k+1] \frac{(aq; q^3)_k (q/a; q^3)_k (q; q^3)_k}{(aq^3; q^3)_k (q^3/a; q^3)_k (q^3; q^3)_k} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k [6k+1] \frac{(aq; q^3)_k (q/a; q^3)_k (q; q^3)_k}{(aq^3; q^3)_k (q^3/a; q^3)_k (q^3; q^3)_k} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $m = (n-1)/3$ if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, and $m = (2n-1)/3$ if $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. Clearly, Lemma 2.2 is true for $n = 1$. We now assume that $n > 1$. By Lemma 2.1, we can easily deduce that the k -th and $(m-k)$ -th terms on the left-hand side of (2.1) cancel each other modulo $\Phi_n(q)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{m-k} \frac{[6(m-k)+1] (aq; q^3)_{m-k} (q/a; q^3)_{m-k} (q; q^3)_{m-k}}{(aq^3; q^3)_{m-k} (q^3/a; q^3)_{m-k} (q^3; q^3)_{m-k}} \\ & \equiv -(-1)^k [6k+1] \frac{(aq; q^3)_k (q/a; q^3)_k (q; q^3)_k}{(aq^3; q^3)_k (q^3/a; q^3)_k (q^3; q^3)_k} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved that the q -congruence (2.1) holds modulo $\Phi_n(q)$. Since the numerator contains the factor $(q; q^3)_k$, it is easy to see that the k -th summand in (2.2) is congruent to 0 modulo $\Phi_n(q)$ for $m < k \leq n-1$. This proves the q -congruence (2.2) modulo $\Phi_n(q)$.

Now we can prove (2.1) and (2.2) modulo $[n]$. Let $\zeta \neq 1$ be an n -th root of unity, not necessarily primitive. In other words, ζ is a primitive root of unity of degree s satisfying

$s \mid n$ and $s > 1$. Let $c_q(k)$ stand for the k -th term on the left-hand side of (2.2), i.e.,

$$c_q(k) = (-1)^k [6k + 1] \frac{(aq; q^3)_k (q/a; q^3)_k (q; q^3)_k}{(aq^3; q^3)_k (q^3/a; q^3)_k (q^3; q^3)_k}.$$

Taking $n = s$ in the q -congruences (2.1) and (2.2) modulo $\Phi_n(q)$, we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{s_1} c_\zeta(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} c_\zeta(k) = 0,$$

where $s_1 = (s - 1)/3$ if $s \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, and $s_1 = (2s - 1)/3$ if $s \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$. It is not difficult to see that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{c_q(\ell s + k)}{c_q(\ell s)} = \frac{c_\zeta(\ell s + k)}{c_\zeta(\ell s)} = c_\zeta(k).$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_\zeta(k) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n/s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} c_\zeta(\ell s + k) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n/s-1} c_\zeta(\ell s) \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} c_\zeta(k) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^m c_\zeta(k) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{(m-s_1)/s-1} c_\zeta(\ell s) \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} c_\zeta(k) + c_\zeta(m - s_1) \sum_{k=0}^{s_1} c_\zeta(k) = 0.$$

This proves that both of the sums $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_q(k)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^m c_q(k)$ are divisible by $\Phi_s(q)$ for any divisor $s > 1$ of n . Since

$$\prod_{s \mid n, s > 1} \Phi_s(q) = [n],$$

we complete the proof of (2.1) and (2.2). \square

Like most of the q -supercongruences in [9], we have the following parametric generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ be a positive integer. Then, modulo $[n](1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)$,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^M (-1)^k [6k + 1] \frac{(aq; q^3)_k (q/a; q^3)_k (q; q^3)_k}{(aq^3; q^3)_k (q^3/a; q^3)_k (q^3; q^3)_k} \equiv q^{2(n-1)/3} [n] \frac{(-q^3; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}}{(-q^2; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $M = (n - 1)/3$ or $M = n - 1$.

Proof. We start with the following summation for a very-well-poised ${}_6\phi_5$ series (see [2, Appendix (II.20)]):

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - aq^{2k})(a; q)_k (b; q)_k (c; q)_k (d; q)_k}{(1 - a)(q; q)_k (aq/b; q)_k (aq/c; q)_k (aq/d; q)_k} \left(\frac{aq}{bcd} \right)^k \\ &= \frac{(aq; q)_\infty (aq/bc; q)_\infty (aq/bd; q)_\infty (aq/cd; q)_\infty}{(aq/b; q)_\infty (aq/c; q)_\infty (aq/d; q)_\infty (aq/bcd; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

(The infinite series in (2.5) converges for $|q| < 1$ and $|aq/bcd| < 1$.) Specializing (2.5) by letting $q \mapsto q^3$, $a = q$, $b = q^{1-n}$, $c = q^{1+n}$, and $d = -q^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/3} (-1)^k [6k+1] \frac{(q^{1-n}; q^3)_k (q^{1+n}; q^3)_k (q; q^3)_k}{(q^{3-n}; q^3)_k (q^{3+n}; q^3)_k (q^3; q^3)_k} \\ &= \frac{(q^4; q^3)_{(n-1)/3} (-q^{1-n}; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}}{(q^{3-n}; q^3)_{(n-1)/3} (-q^2; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}} \\ &= q^{2(1-n)/3} [n] \frac{(-q^3; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}}{(-q^2; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that both sides of (2.4) are equal for $a = q^{-n}$ and $a = q^n$. This means that the congruence (2.4) holds modulo $1 - aq^n$ and $a - q^n$.

Moreover, by Lemma 2.2, the left-hand side of (2.4) is congruent to 0 modulo $[n]$. Since $1 - q^n$ (n is odd) is relatively prime to $1 + q^k$, we see that the right-hand side of (2.4) is also congruent to 0 modulo $[n]$. Noticing that $1 - aq^n$, $a - q^n$, and $[n]$ are pairwise coprime polynomials in q , we finish the proof of the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $(1 - q^n)^2$ contains the factor $\Phi_n(q)^2$ and $(q^3; q^3)_M$ is coprime with $\Phi_n(q)$, letting $a = 1$ in (2.4), we conclude that (1.4) is true modulo $\Phi_n(q)^3$. Note that Lemma 2.2 also holds for $a = 1$. Namely, the q -congruence (1.4) is true modulo $[n]$ and is therefore also true modulo $[n]\Phi_n(q)^2$. This completes the proof. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We first give the following parametric generalization of Theorem 1.2: for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, modulo $\Phi_n(q)(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^M [6k+1] \frac{(aq; q^3)_k (q/a; q^3)_k (q; q^3)_k}{(aq^3; q^3)_k (q^3/a; q^3)_k (q^3; q^3)_k} \equiv q^{2(n-1)/3} [n] \frac{(q^3; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}}{(q^2; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $M = (n-1)/3$ or $M = n-1$. The proof of (3.1) is analogous to that of (2.4). This time, we make the substitutions $q \mapsto q^3$, $a = q$, $b = q^{1-n}$, $c = q^{1+n}$, and $d = q^2$ in (2.5) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/3} [6k+1] \frac{(q^{1-n}; q^3)_k (q^{1+n}; q^3)_k (q; q^3)_k}{(q^{3-n}; q^3)_k (q^{3+n}; q^3)_k (q^3; q^3)_k} \\ &= \frac{(q^4; q^3)_{(n-1)/3} (q^{1-n}; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}}{(q^{3-n}; q^3)_{(n-1)/3} (q^2; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}} \\ &= q^{2(1-n)/3} [n] \frac{(q^3; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}}{(q^2; q^3)_{(n-1)/3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the two sides of (3.1) are equal for $a = q^{-n}$ and $a = q^n$. This means that the congruence (3.1) is true modulo $1 - aq^n$ and $a - q^n$.

Moreover, by Lemma 2.1, for $m = (n - 1)/3$ we can deduce that the k -th and $(m - k)$ -th terms on the left-hand side of (3.1) cancel each other modulo $\Phi_n(q)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{[6(m - k) + 1](aq; q^3)_{m-k}(q/a; q^3)_{m-k}(q; q^3)_{m-k}}{(aq^3; q^3)_{m-k}(q^3/a; q^3)_{m-k}(q^3; q^3)_{m-k}} \\ & \equiv -[6k + 1] \frac{(aq; q^3)_k(q/a; q^3)_k(q; q^3)_k}{(aq^3; q^3)_k(q^3/a; q^3)_k(q^3; q^3)_k} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that we have utilized the fact that $q^{n/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$ for even n .) This proves (3.1) modulo $\Phi_n(q)$.

Finally, letting $a = 1$ in (3.1), we arrive at the q -supercongruence (1.5).

4. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.3 AND 1.4

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We first give a parametric generalization of Theorem 1.4: for $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, modulo $[n](1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^M (-1)^k [6k + 1] \frac{(aq; q^3)_k(q/a; q^3)_k(q; q^3)_k}{(aq^3; q^3)_k(q^3/a; q^3)_k(q^3; q^3)_k} \equiv -q^{2(1-2n)/3} [2n] \frac{(-q^3; q^3)_{(2n-1)/3}}{(-q^2; q^3)_{(2n-1)/3}}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $M = (2n - 1)/3$ or $n - 1$. The proof of (4.1) is very similar to that of (2.4). Specializing (2.5) by $q \mapsto q^3$, $a = q$, $b = q^{1-2n}$, $c = q^{1+2n}$, and $d = -q^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(2n-1)/3} (-1)^k [6k + 1] \frac{(q^{1-2n}; q^3)_k(q^{1+2n}; q^3)_k(q; q^3)_k}{(q^{3-2n}; q^3)_k(q^{3+2n}; q^3)_k(q^3; q^3)_k} \\ & = \frac{(q^4; q^3)_{(2n-1)/3}(-q^{1-2n}; q^3)_{(2n-1)/3}}{(q^{3-2n}; q^3)_{(2n-1)/3}(-q^2; q^3)_{(2n-1)/3}} \\ & = -q^{2(1-2n)/3} [2n] \frac{(-q^3; q^3)_{(2n-1)/3}}{(-q^2; q^3)_{(2n-1)/3}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the congruence (4.1) modulo $1 - aq^{2n}$ and $a - q^{2n}$. Moreover, the proof of (4.1) modulo $[n]$ follows from Lemma 2.2. \square

Finally, taking $a = 1$ in (4.1), we arrive at the desired q -supercongruence (1.7).

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We have the following congruence with a parameter a : for $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, modulo $(1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^M [6k + 1] \frac{(aq; q^3)_k(q/a; q^3)_k(q; q^3)_k}{(aq^3; q^3)_k(q^3/a; q^3)_k(q^3; q^3)_k} \equiv q^{2(1-2n)/3} [2n] \frac{(q^3; q^3)_{(2n-1)/3}}{(q^2; q^3)_{(2n-1)/3}}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $M = (2n - 1)/3$ or $M = n - 1$. The congruence (4.2) is equivalent to say that both sides are equal for $a = q^{2n}$ and $a = q^{-2n}$. But this again follows from (2.5) by performing the parameter substitutions $q \mapsto q^3$, $a = q$, $b = q^{1-2n}$, $c = q^{1+2n}$, and $d = q^2$. At last, letting $a = 1$ in (4.2), we get (1.8). \square

5. PROOF OF COROLLARIES 1.5 AND 1.6

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Letting $n = p$, where p is a prime congruent to 1 (mod 3), and $q \rightarrow 1$ in (1.5), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/3} (6k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{3})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p \frac{(\frac{p-1}{3})!}{(\frac{2}{3})_{(p-1)/3}} \pmod{p^3}.$$

Recall that the p -adic Gamma function has the properties: for any p -adic integer x ,

$$\frac{\Gamma_p(x+1)}{\Gamma_p(x)} = \begin{cases} -x, & p \nmid x, \\ -1, & p \mid x, \end{cases}$$

$$\Gamma_p(x)\Gamma_p(1-x) = (-1)^{a_0(x)},$$

where $a_0(x) \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ satisfies $a_0(x) \equiv x \pmod{p}$. Let $\Gamma(x)$ be the classical Gamma function. Then

$$\frac{(\frac{p-1}{3})!}{(\frac{2}{3})_{(p-1)/3}} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+2}{3})\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})}{\Gamma(1)\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{3})} = \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{p+2}{3})\Gamma_p(\frac{2}{3})}{\Gamma_p(1)\Gamma_p(\frac{p+1}{3})} = (-1)^{(2p+1)/3} \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{p+2}{3})\Gamma_p(\frac{2-p}{3})\Gamma_p(\frac{2}{3})}{\Gamma_p(1)}.$$

By [17, Theorem 14]), for $p \geq 5$, we have

$$\Gamma_p(a+mp) \equiv \Gamma_p(a) + \Gamma'_p(a)mp \pmod{p^2}, \quad (5.1)$$

and so $\Gamma_p(\frac{p+2}{3})\Gamma_p(\frac{2-p}{3}) \equiv \Gamma_p(\frac{2}{3})^2 \pmod{p^2}$. The proof then follows from the fact $\Gamma_p(1) = (-1)^{(2p+1)/3} = -1$. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.6. Letting $n = p$, where p is an odd prime congruent to 2 (mod 3), and $q \rightarrow 1$ in (1.8), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(2p-1)/3} (6k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{3})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv 2p \frac{(\frac{2p-1}{3})!}{(\frac{2}{3})_{(2p-1)/3}} \pmod{p^2}.$$

Further,

$$\frac{p(\frac{2p-1}{3})!}{(\frac{2}{3})_{(2p-1)/3}} = p \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2p+2}{3})\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})}{\Gamma(1)\Gamma(\frac{2p+1}{3})} = 3 \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{2p+2}{3})\Gamma_p(\frac{2}{3})}{\Gamma_p(1)\Gamma_p(\frac{2p+1}{3})} = 3 \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{2p+2}{3})\Gamma_p(\frac{2-2p}{3})\Gamma_p(\frac{2}{3})}{\Gamma_p(1)},$$

and by (5.1), $\Gamma_p(\frac{2p+2}{3})\Gamma_p(\frac{2-2p}{3}) \equiv \Gamma_p(\frac{2}{3})^2 \pmod{p^2}$. \square

6. SOME OPEN PROBLEMS

Although the q -supercongruence (1.5) is not true modulo $[n]$ in general, using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can show that, for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and

$n > 1$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^M [6k+1] \frac{(q; q^3)_k^3}{(q^3; q^3)_k^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{\prod_{\substack{j|n, j>1 \\ j \equiv 1 \pmod{3}}} \Phi_j(q)}, \quad (6.1)$$

where $M = (n-1)/3$ or $M = n-1$. Letting $n = p^r$ and $q \rightarrow 1$ in the above q -congruence, we obtain the following result: for any prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and integer $r \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/d} (6k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{3})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}, \quad (6.2)$$

where $d = 1, 3$. Inspired by Dwork's work [1] and Swisher's conjectures [23, (A.3)–(L.3)], we propose the following conjecture on Dwork-type supercongruences, which is a uniform generalization of (1.9) and (6.2).

Conjecture 6.1. *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ be a prime and let $r \geq 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/d} (6k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{3})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p \Gamma_p(\frac{2}{3})^3 \sum_{k=0}^{(p^{r-1}-1)/d} (6k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{3})_k^3}{k!^3} \pmod{p^{3r}},$$

where $d = 1, 3$.

Note that the following Dwork-type supercongruence (see [23, (E.3)] and [4, Conjecture 5.3]) has been proved by the first author and Zudilin [9, Theorem 3.5] by establishing its q -analogue:

For any prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and integer $r \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/d} (-1)^k (6k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{3})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p \sum_{k=0}^{(p^{r-1}-1)/d} (-1)^k (6k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{3})_k^3}{k!^3} \pmod{p^{3r}}, \quad (6.3)$$

where $d = 1, 3$.

We believe that the following new q -analogue of (6.3), which is also a generalization of Theorem 1.1, should be true.

Conjecture 6.2. *Let $n > 1$ be an integer with $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ and let $r \geq 1$. Then, modulo $[n^r] \prod_{j=1}^r \Phi_{n^j}(q)^2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{(n^r-1)/d} (-1)^k [6k+1] \frac{(q; q^3)_k^3}{(q^3; q^3)_k^3} &\equiv q^{2(1-n)/3} [n] \frac{(-q^3; q^3)_{(n^r-1)/3} (-q^{2n}; q^{3n})_{(n^{r-1}-1)/3}}{(-q^2; q^3)_{(n^r-1)/3} (-q^{3n}; q^{3n})_{(n^{r-1}-1)/3}} \\ &\times \sum_{k=0}^{(n^{r-1}-1)/d} (-1)^k [6k+1]_{q^n} \frac{(q^n; q^{3n})_k^3}{(q^{3n}; q^{3n})_k^3}, \end{aligned}$$

where $d = 1, 3$.

Likewise, we conjecture a Dwork-type generalization of Theorem 1.2 as follows.

Conjecture 6.3. *Let $n > 1$ be an integer with $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and let $r \geq 1$. Then, modulo $\prod_{j=1}^r \Phi_{n^j}(q)^3$,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n^r-1)/d} [6k+1] \frac{(q; q^3)_k^3}{(q^3; q^3)_k^3} \equiv q^{2(1-n)/3} [n] \frac{(q^3; q^3)_{(n^r-1)/3} (q^{2n}; q^{3n})_{(n^{r-1}-1)/3}}{(q^2; q^3)_{(n^r-1)/3} (q^{3n}; q^{3n})_{(n^{r-1}-1)/3}} \\ \times \sum_{k=0}^{(n^{r-1}-1)/d} [6k+1]_{q^n} \frac{(q^n; q^{3n})_k^3}{(q^{3n}; q^{3n})_k^3},$$

where $d = 1, 3$.

Acknowledgement. The authors thank the anonymous referee for a careful reading of a previous version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Dwork, p -adic cycles, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 37 (1969), 27–115.
- [2] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic Hypergeometric Series, 2nd edition, Encyclopedia Math. Appl. 96, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [3] V.J.W. Guo, A q -analogue of a Ramanujan-type supercongruence involving central binomial coefficients, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 458 (2018), 590–600.
- [4] V.J.W. Guo, q -Analogues of the (E.2) and (F.2) supercongruences of Van Hamme, Ramanujan J. 49 (2019), 531–544.
- [5] V.J.W. Guo, A new extension of the (A.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme, Results Math. 77 (2022), Art. 96.
- [6] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, A new family of q -supercongruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, Results Math. 75 (2020), Art. 155.
- [7] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Some q -supercongruences from transformation formulas for basic hypergeometric series, Constr. Approx. 53 (2021), 155–200.
- [8] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Some q -supercongruences modulo the square and cube of a cyclotomic polynomial, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat. 115 (2021), Art. 132.
- [9] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, A q -microscope for supercongruences, Adv. Math. 346 (2019), 329–358.
- [10] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, Dwork-type supercongruences through a creative q -microscope, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A 178 (2021), Art. 105362.
- [11] B. He, Some congruences on truncated hypergeometric series, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2015), 5173–5180.
- [12] L. Li and S.-D. Wang, Proof of a q -supercongruence conjectured by Guo and Schlosser, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat. 114 (2020), Art. 190.
- [13] J.-C. Liu, On a congruence involving q -Catalan numbers, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 358 (2020), 211–215.
- [14] J.-C. Liu and F. Petrov, Congruences on sums of q -binomial coefficients, Adv. Appl. Math. 116 (2020), Art. 102003.
- [15] Y. Liu and X. Wang, q -Analogues of two Ramanujan-type supercongruences, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 502 (2021), Art. 125238.
- [16] L. Long, Hypergeometric evaluation identities and supercongruences, Pacific J. Math. 249 (2011), 405–418.

- [17] L. Long and R. Ramakrishna, Some supercongruences occurring in truncated hypergeometric series, *Adv. Math.* 290 (2016), 773–808.
- [18] E. Mortenson, A p -adic supercongruence conjecture of van Hamme, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 136 (2008), 4321–4328.
- [19] H.-X. Ni, A q -Dwork-type generalization of Rodriguez-Villegas’ supercongruences, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 51 (2021), 2179–2184.
- [20] H.-X. Ni and H. Pan, Some symmetric q -congruences modulo the square of a cyclotomic polynomial, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 481 (2020), Art. 123372.
- [21] R. Osburn and W. Zudilin, On the (K.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 433 (2016), 706–711.
- [22] A. Straub, Supercongruences for polynomial analogs of the Apéry numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 147 (2019), 1023–1036.
- [23] H. Swisher, On the supercongruence conjectures of van Hamme, *Res. Math. Sci.* (2015) 2:18.
- [24] L. Van Hamme, Some conjectures concerning partial sums of generalized hypergeometric series, in: *p -Adic Functional Analysis* (Nijmegen, 1996), *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.* 192, Dekker, New York, 1997, pp. 223–236.
- [25] C. Xu and X. Wang, Proofs of Guo and Schlosser’s two conjectures, *Period. Math. Hungar.* 85 (2022), 472–480.
- [26] X. Wang and C. Xu, q -Supercongruences on triple and quadruple sums, *Results Math.* 78 (2023), Art. 27.
- [27] C. Wei, Some q -supercongruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 182 (2021), Art. 105469.
- [28] W. Zudilin, Ramanujan-type supercongruences, *J. Number Theory* 129 (2009), 1848–1857.
- [29] W. Zudilin, Congruences for q -binomial coefficients, *Ann. Combin.* 23 (2019), 1123–1135.

STATEMENTS AND DECLARATIONS

The second author is partially supported by FWF Austrian Science Fund (grant P 32305). The authors declare no conflicts of interest. All authors contributed to the preparation, writing, reviewing and editing of the manuscript. Data sharing not applicable to this article.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUAIYIN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, HUAI’AN 223300, JIANGSU, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: jwguo@hytc.edu.cn

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, A-1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: michael.schlosser@univie.ac.at