

Proof of a generalization of the (C.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme

Victor J. W. Guo

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huaiyin Normal University, Huai'an 223300, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China
jwguo@hytc.edu.cn

Abstract. We prove some q -supercongruences for certain truncated basic hypergeometric series by making use of Andrews' multiserries generalization of the Watson transformation, the creative microscoping method, and the Chinese remainder theorem for coprime polynomials. More precisely, we confirm Conjectures 5.2 and 5.3 in [Adv. Appl. Math. 116 (2020), Art. 102016]. As a conclusion, we also prove Conjecture 4.3 in [Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 28 (2017), 888–899] which may be deemed a generalization of the (C.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme.

Keywords: basic hypergeometric series; Andrews' transformation; q -congruences; supercongruences.

AMS Subject Classifications: 11B65, 11A07, 33D15

1. Introduction

In 1859, Bauer [2] established the following representation for $1/\pi$:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{4k+1}{(-64)^k} \binom{2k}{k}^3 = \frac{2}{\pi},$$

which is now usually called a Ramanujan-type series of $1/\pi$, since Ramanujan later rather mysteriously recorded 17 rapidly convergent series of $1/\pi$ (see [4, p. 352]), including

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{6k+1}{256^k} \binom{2k}{k}^3 = \frac{4}{\pi}.$$

Ramanujan's formulas gained unprecedented popularity in 1980's when they were found to provide fast algorithms for computing decimal digits of π . See, for example, the monograph [3].

In 1997, Van Hamme [30] observed that 13 Ramanujan's and Ramanujan-type formulas possess interesting p -adic analogues, such as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{4k+1}{(-64)^k} \binom{2k}{k}^3 \equiv p(-1)^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p^3}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{4k+1}{256^k} \binom{2k}{k}^4 \equiv p \pmod{p^3}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{6k+1}{256^k} \binom{2k}{k}^3 \equiv p(-1)^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p^4}, \quad p > 3,$$

where p is an odd prime. Van Hamme [30, (C.2)] himself proved (1.2) and another two supercongruences of his list. Long [24] proved that the supercongruence (1.2) is also true modulo p^4 . The supercongruence (1.1) was first proved by Mortenson [25] and later reproved by Zudilin [36] and Long [24]. A generalization of (1.2) modulo p^4 was obtained by Sun [28]. For more Ramanujan-type supercongruences, the reader is referred to Zudilin's celebrated paper [36].

In 2017, inspired by Zudilin's WZ (Wilf–Zeilberger [35]) proof of (1.1), the author [8] investigated more WZ-pairs related to generalizations of (1.1) and proved some related supercongruences. He also proposed the following (corrected version of) conjecture on a generalization of (1.2) (see [8, Conjecture 4.3]).

Conjecture 1.1. *For any positive odd integer s , there exists an integer b_s such that, for any odd prime $p \geq (s+1)/2$ and positive integer r , there hold*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/2} \frac{(4k+1)^s}{256^k} \binom{2k}{k}^4 \equiv (-1)^{(s-1)/2} b_s p^r \pmod{p^{r+3}}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^r-1} \frac{(4k+1)^s}{256^k} \binom{2k}{k}^4 \equiv (-1)^{(s-1)/2} b_s p^r \pmod{p^{r+3}}, \quad (1.4)$$

In particular, we have $b_1 = 1$, $b_3 = 1$, $b_5 = 3$, $b_7 = 23$, $b_9 = 371$ and $b_{11} = 10515$.

For $r = s = 1$, since $\binom{2k}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $(p-1)/2 < k \leq p-1$, the supercongruence (1.3) is equivalent to (1.4), and the (C.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme [30] is the special case of them modulo p^3 . Long [24] first proved the $r = s = 1$ case, and she also observed the supercongruence (1.3) is true for $s = 1$ and all positive integers r . Many more special cases of (1.3) were confirmed by Wang [31], Liu [22], the author and Wang [17], Hou, Mu, and Zeilberger [20], and the author [9, 11, 12]. In particular, the author [12] has proved that (1.3) and (1.4) are true modulo p^{r+2} for any odd prime p and arbitrary r using the method of ‘creative microscoping’ introduced by the author and Zudilin [18].

A purpose of this paper is prove the following q -analogue of (1.3) and (1.4), which was originally conjectured by the author [12, Conjecture 5.2].

Theorem 1.2. *Let n and s be positive odd integers with $n \geq (s+1)/2$. Then, modulo $[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^3$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^M [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^4}{(q^4; q^4)_k^4} q^{(2-2s)k} \\ & \equiv q^{1-n} [n]_{q^2} B_s(q) + \frac{(n^2-1)(1-q^2)^2}{24} q^{1-n} [n]_{q^2}^3 B_s(q), \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where $M = (n-1)/2$ or $n-1$, and $B_s(q)$ is a rational function of q given by

$$\begin{aligned} B_s(q) &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)} \\ &\times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (q^2; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^3}{(q; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q^4; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}} \quad \text{with } m = \frac{s+1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here and in what follows, $(a; q)_k = (1-a)(1-aq)\cdots(1-aq^{k-1})$ denotes the q -shifted factorial. For simplicity, we frequently use the compact notation $(a_1, \dots, a_m; q)_k = (a_1; q)_k \cdots (a_m; q)_k$. Moreover, $[n] = [n]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$ is the q -integer, and $\Phi_n(q)$ stands for the n -th cyclotomic polynomial in q , which may be given by

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \gcd(n, k)=1}} (q - \zeta^k),$$

where ζ is an n -th primitive root of unity.

Note that b_s may be defined as $(-1)^{(s-1)/2} B_s(1)$. For the reader's convenience, we list the first values of $B_s(q)$: $B_1(q) = 1$, $B_3(q) = -2q/(q^2+1)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} B_5(q) &= \frac{q^2(5q^4 + 4q^3 + 6q^2 + 4q + 5)}{(q^4+1)(q^2+1)^2}, \\ B_7(q) &= -\frac{2q^3(7q^8 + 14q^7 + 23q^6 + 30q^5 + 36q^4 + 30q^3 + 23q^2 + 14q + 7)}{(q^6+1)(q^4+1)(q^2+1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $B_s(1)$ is an integer (see [12]). Therefore, when $n = p^r$ and $q \rightarrow 1$, the congruence (1.5) reduces to (1.3) and (1.4). We should point out that (1.3) with $r = 1$ also implies that [20, Conjecture 4.6] is true.

In a recent paper [14, Conjecture 5.2], the author and Liu made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.3. *For any odd positive integer s , there exists an integer d_s such that, for*

any odd prime p and positive integer r , there hold

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r+1)/2} (4k-1)^s \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^4}{k!^4} \equiv d_s p^r \pmod{p^{r+3}}, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^r-1} (4k-1)^s \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^4}{k!^4} \equiv d_s p^r \pmod{p^{r+3}}. \quad (1.7)$$

In particular, we have $d_1 = d_3 = 0$, $d_5 = 16$, $d_7 = 80$, $d_9 = 192$, $d_{11} = 640$, $d_{13} = -3472$, and $d_{15} = 138480$.

The second purpose of this paper is to prove (1.6) and (1.7) by establishing the following q -analogue, which was previously conjectured in [12, Conjecture 5.3].

Theorem 1.4. *Let n and s be positive odd integers with $n \geq (s-1)/2$ and $n > 1$. Then, modulo $[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^3$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^M [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^4}{(q^4; q^4)_k^4} q^{(10-2s)k} \\ & \equiv q^{1-n} [n]_{q^2} D_s(q) + \frac{(n^2-1)(1-q^2)^2}{24} q^{1-n} [n]_{q^2}^3 D_s(q), \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

where $M = (n+1)/2$ or $n-1$, and $D_s(q)$ is a rational function of q given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_s(q) &= -\frac{q^{3-s}}{(1-q^2)^2} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^3; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^3; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (q^{-2}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^3}{(q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q^4; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}-2}} \end{aligned}$$

with $m = (s+1)/2$ and $1/(q^4; q^4)_k = 0$ for any negative integer k .

Note that we may take $d_s = D_s(1)$. Here are the first values of $D_s(q)$: $D_1(q) = D_3(q) = 0$, $D_5(q) = (q+1)^4/q^8$, and

$$\begin{aligned} D_7(q) &= \frac{2(2q^2+q+2)(q+1)^4}{(q^2+1)q^{10}}, \\ D_9(q) &= \frac{(10q^8+8q^7+19q^6+4q^5+14q^4+4q^3+19q^2+8q+10)(q+1)^4}{(q^4+1)(q^2+1)^2q^{12}}. \end{aligned}$$

It was shown in [12] that $D_s(1)$ is always an integer.

For more q -congruences in the literature, we refer the reader to [6, 7, 10, 15, 16, 19, 21, 23, 26, 27, 29, 32–34, 37].

We shall prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 in Sections 2 and 3, respectively. To this end we shall make use of the creative microscoping method [18], Andrews' multiseries generalization of Watson's transformation [1, Theorem 4], and the Chinese remainder theorem for coprime polynomials. In addition, a simple property of q -shifted factorials (Lemma 2.1) will play an important part in our proof.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We require the following easily proved results (see [15, Lemma 3.1] and [13, Lemma 2.1]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let n be a positive odd integer and let a be an indeterminate. Then, for $0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2$, we have*

$$\frac{(aq; q^2)_{(n-1)/2-k}}{(q^2/a; q^2)_{(n-1)/2-k}} \equiv (-a)^{(n-1)/2-2k} \frac{(aq; q^2)_k}{(q^2/a; q^2)_k} q^{(n-1)^2/4+k} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let n be a positive odd integer. Then*

$$(aq^2, q^2/a; q^2)_{(n-1)/2} \equiv (-1)^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(1-a^n)q^{-(n-1)^2/4}}{(1-a)a^{(n-1)/2}} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)},$$

$$(aq, q/a; q^2)_{(n-1)/2} \equiv (-1)^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(1-a^n)q^{(1-n^2)/4}}{(1-a)a^{(n-1)/2}} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

We first establish the following q -congruence, which is a generalization of [12, Theorem 2.2] (the $b = 1$ case) and may also be regarded as a generalization of [18, Theorem 4.2] (the $s = 1$ case).

Theorem 2.3. *Let $n > 1$ and $s > 1$ be odd integers. Let a and b be indeterminates. Then, modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(aq^2, q^2/a, q^2/b, q^2; q^4)_k}{(aq^4, q^4/a, bq^4, q^4; q^4)_k} b^k q^{(2-2s)k} \\ & \equiv b^{(n-1)/2} q^{1-n} [n]_{q^2} \frac{(q^4/b; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(bq^4; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (aq^2, q^2/a, q^2/b; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q^4/b; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $m = (s+1)/2$

Proof. We need to use a transformation formula of Andrews [1, Theorem 4]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(a, q\sqrt{a}, -q\sqrt{a}, b_1, c_1, \dots, b_m, c_m, q^{-N}; q)_k}{(q, \sqrt{a}, -\sqrt{a}, aq/b_1, aq/c_1, \dots, aq/b_m, aq/c_m, aq^{N+1}; q)_k} \left(\frac{a^m q^{m+N}}{b_1 c_1 \cdots b_m c_m} \right)^k \\ & = \frac{(aq, aq/b_m c_m; q)_N}{(aq/b_m, aq/c_m; q)_N} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1} \geq 0} \frac{(aq/b_1 c_1; q)_{j_1} \cdots (aq/b_{m-1} c_{m-1}; q)_{j_{m-1}}}{(q; q)_{j_1} \cdots (q; q)_{j_{m-1}}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(b_2, c_2; q)_{j_1} \cdots (b_m, c_m; q)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(aq/b_1, aq/c_1; q)_{j_1} \cdots (aq/b_{m-1}, aq/c_{m-1}; q)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^{-N}; q)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(b_m c_m q^{-N}/a; q)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}} \frac{(aq)^{j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1} q^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(b_2 c_2)^{j_1} \cdots (b_{m-1} c_{m-1})^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

which is a multi-series generalization of the Watson transformation formula [5, Appendix (III.18)]:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_8\phi_7 \left[\begin{matrix} a, & qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, & -qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, & b, & c, & d, & e, & q^{-N} \\ & a^{\frac{1}{2}}, & -a^{\frac{1}{2}}, & aq/b, & aq/c, & aq/d, & aq/e, & aq^{N+1} \end{matrix} ; q, \frac{a^2 q^{N+2}}{bcde} \right] \\ &= \frac{(aq, aq/de; q)_N}{(aq/d, aq/e; q)_N} {}_4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} aq/bc, & d, & e, & q^{-N} \\ & aq/b, & aq/c, & deq^{-N}/a \end{matrix} ; q, q \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For $a = q^{-2n}$ or $a = q^{2n}$, the left-hand side of (2.1) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^{2-2n}, q^{2+2n}, q^2/b, q^2; q^4)_k}{(q^{4-2n}, q^{4+2n}, bq^4, q^4; q^4)_k} b^k q^{(2-2s)k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q^2, q^5, -q^5, \overbrace{q^5, \dots, q^5}^{(s-1)s q^5}, q^2/b, q^{2+2n}, q^{2-2n}; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q, -q, q, \dots, q, bq^4, q^{4-2n}, q^{4+2n}; q^4)_k} b^k q^{(2-2s)k}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

By Andrews' transformation (2.2) with the parameter substitutions $m = (s+1)/2$, $q \mapsto q^4$, $a = q^2$, $b_1 = c_1 = \dots = b_{m-1} = c_{m-1} = q^5$, $b_m = q^2/b$, $c_m = q^{2+2n}$ and $N = (n-1)/2$, the right-hand side of (2.3) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(q^6, bq^{2-2n}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(bq^4, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1} \geq 0} \frac{(q^{-4}; q^4)_{j_1} \dots (q^{-4}; q^4)_{j_{m-1}}}{(q^4; q^4)_{j_1} \dots (q^4; q^4)_{j_{m-1}}} q^{4(j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}) - 4(j_{m-2} + \dots + (m-2)j_1)} \\ & \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \dots (q^5; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-2}}^2 (q^2/b, q^{2+2n}, q^{2-2n}; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \dots (q; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}^2 (q^4/b; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Since

$$\frac{(q^6, bq^{2-2n}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(bq^4, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} = b^{(n-1)/2} q^{1-n} [n]_{q^2} \frac{(q^4/b; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(bq^4; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}},$$

and

$$\frac{(q^{-4}; q^4)_k}{(q^4; q^4)_k} = \begin{cases} (-1)^k q^{-4k}, & \text{if } k = 0, 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

the expression (2.4) can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} & b^{(n-1)/2} q^{1-n} [n]_{q^2} \frac{(q^4/b; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(bq^4; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2} + \dots + (m-2)j_1)} \\ & \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \dots (q^5; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-2}}^2 (q^2/b, q^{2+2n}, q^{2-2n}; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \dots (q; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}^2 (q^4/b; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the congruence (2.1) is true modulo $1 - aq^{2n}$ and $a - q^{2n}$.

In addition, by Lemma 2.1, it is easy to check that the sum of the k -th and $((n-1)/2 - k)$ -th terms on the left-hand side of (2.1) is congruent to 0 modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$ for any k in the range $0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2$. It follows that the left-hand side of (2.1) is congruent to 0 modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$, and so (2.1) holds modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$. Since $1 - aq^{2n}$, $a - q^{2n}$ and $\Phi_n(q^2)$ are pairwise relatively polynomials, we complete the proof the theorem. \square

We also need to establish the following simpler congruence.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $n > 1$ and $s > 1$ be odd integers. Let a and b be indeterminates. Then, modulo $b - q^{2n}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(aq^2, q^2/a, q^2/b, q^2; q^4)_k}{(aq^4, q^4/a, bq^4, q^4; q^4)_k} b^k q^{(2-2s)k} \\ & \equiv \frac{[n]_{q^2} (q^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}^2}{(aq^4, q^4/a; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (aq^2, q^2/a, q^2/b; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q^4/b; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where $m = (s+1)/2$

Proof. For $b = q^{2n}$, the left-hand side of (2.6) is equal to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q^2, q^5, -q^5, \overbrace{q^5, \dots, q^5}^{(s-1)s q^5}, aq^2, q^2/a, q^{2-2n}; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q, -q, q, \dots, q, q^4/a, aq^4, q^{4+2n}; q^4)_k} q^{(2n+2-2s)k},$$

which by Andrews' transformation (2.2) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(q^6, q^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(aq^4, q^4/a; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1} \geq 0} \frac{(q^{-4}; q^4)_{j_1} \cdots (q^{-4}; q^4)_{j_{m-1}}}{(q^4; q^4)_{j_1} \cdots (q^4; q^4)_{j_{m-1}}} q^{4(j_1+\dots+j_{m-1})-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (aq^2, q^2/a, q^{2-2n}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q^{4-2n}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.5), the above expression is just the $b = q^{2n}$ case of the right-hand side of (2.6). This proves that the two sides of (2.6) are equal for $b = q^{2n}$. Namely, the congruence (2.6) holds modulo $b - q^{2n}$. \square

Note that the $s = 1$ case of Theorem 1.2 was already proved by the author and Wang [17] (for $M = (n-1)/2$) and the author and Schlosser [15] (for $M = n-1$). On the basis of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, we are now able to prove Theorem 1.2 for $s > 1$. More concretely, we shall make use of the Chinese remainder theorem for coprime polynomials to give the following parametric generalization of Theorem 1.2 for $s > 1$.

Theorem 2.5. Let n and $s > 1$ be odd integers with $n \geq (s+1)/2$. Then, modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)^2(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^M [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(aq^2, q^2/a, q^2, q^2; q^4)_k}{(aq^4, q^4/a, q^4, q^4; q^4)_k} q^{(2-2s)k} \\ & \equiv q^{1-n} [n]_{q^2} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (aq^2, q^2/a, q^2; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q^4; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})}{(1-a)^2} \left(1 - \frac{n(1-a)a^{(n-1)/2}}{1-a^n} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where $M = (n-1)/2$ or $n-1$, and $m = (s+1)/2$.

Proof. It is clear that the polynomials $\Phi_n(q^2)(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})$ and $b-q^{2n}$ are relatively prime. With the help of the Chinese remainder theorem for coprime polynomials, we may obtain the remainder of the left-hand side of (2.7) modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})(b-q^{2n})$ from the previous congruences. More precisely, since

$$\frac{(b-q^{2n})(ab-1-a^2+aq^{2n})}{(a-b)(1-ab)} \equiv 1 \pmod{(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\frac{(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})}{(a-b)(1-ab)} \equiv 1 \pmod{b-q^{2n}}, \quad (2.9)$$

from (2.1) and (2.6) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(aq^2, q^2/a, q^2/b, q^2; q^4)_k}{(aq^4, q^4/a, bq^4, q^4; q^4)_k} b^k q^{(2-2s)k} \\ & \equiv b^{(n-1)/2} q^{1-n} [n]_{q^2} \frac{(q^4/b; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(bq^4; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} B_s(a, b, q) \frac{(b-q^{2n})(ab-1-a^2+aq^{2n})}{(a-b)(1-ab)} \\ & \quad + \frac{[n]_{q^2} (q^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}^2}{(aq^4; q^4)_{(n-1)/2} (q^4/a; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} B_s(a, b, q) \frac{(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})}{(a-b)(1-ab)} \\ & \quad \pmod{\Phi_n(q^2)(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})(b-q^{2n})}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_s(a, b, q) &= q^{-s-1} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (aq^2, q^2/a, q^2/b; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q^4/b; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

In view of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\frac{(q^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}^2}{(aq^4, q^4/a; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} \equiv \frac{n(1-a)a^{(n-1)/2}}{(1-a^n)q^{n-1}} \pmod{\Phi_n(q^2)}. \quad (2.12)$$

It is easy to see that $1 - q^{2n}$ contains the factor $\Phi_n(q^2)$. Meanwhile, when $b = 1$, the factor $(bq^4; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}$ in the denominator of the left-hand side of (2.10) is coprime with $\Phi_n(q^2)$, so is the factor $(q^4/b; q^4)_{m-1}$ in the denominator of the right-hand side of (2.10) since $n \geq m$. Hence, putting $b = 1$ in (2.10), and using the congruence (2.12) and the following relation

$$(1 - q^{2n})(1 + a^2 - a - aq^{2n}) = (1 - a)^2 + (1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n}), \quad (2.13)$$

we conclude that (2.7) is true for $M = (n - 1)/2$. Finally, since the k -th summand on the left-hand side of (2.7) is congruent to 0 modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)^2(1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})$ for $(n - 1)/2 < k \leq n - 1$, we see that (2.7) is also true for $M = n - 1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $a \rightarrow 1$ in (2.7). By l'Hôpital's rule, there holds

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 1} \frac{(1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})}{(1 - a)^2} \frac{(1 - a^n - n(1 - a)a^{(n-1)/2})}{(1 - a^n)} = \frac{(n^2 - 1)(1 - q^2)^2}{24} [n]_{q^2}^2,$$

and so the congruence (1.5) holds modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)^4$. Moreover, the author [12] has proved (1.5) also holds modulo $[n]_{q^2}$. Since the least common multiple of $\Phi_n(q^2)^4$ and $[n]_{q^2}$ is $[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^3$, we complete the proof of the theorem. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We need to establish the following q -congruence, of which the $b = 1$ case reduces to [12, (4.5)].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $n > 1$ and $s > 1$ be odd integers. Let a and b be indeterminates. Then, modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)(1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} [4k - 1]_{q^2} [4k - 1]^{s-1} \frac{(aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a, q^{-2}/b, q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(aq^4, q^4/a, bq^4, q^4; q^4)_k} b^k q^{(10-2s)k} \\ & \equiv -b^{(n-1)/2} q^{n-s-2} [n]_{q^2} \frac{(1/b; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(bq^8; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2} + \dots + (m-2)j_1)} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^3; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^3; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-2}}^2 (aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a, q^{-2}/b; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}}{(q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}^2 (q^{-4}/b; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $m = (s + 1)/2$

Proof. For $a = q^{-2n}$ or $a = q^{2n}$, the left-hand side of (3.1) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^{-2-2n}, q^{-2+2n}, q^{-2}/b, q^2; q^4)_k}{(q^{4-2n}, q^{4+2n}, bq^4, q^4; q^4)_k} b^k q^{(10-2s)k} \\ &= -q^{-s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} \frac{(q^{-2}, q^3, -q^3, \overbrace{q^3, \dots, q^3}^{(s-1)s q^3}, q^{-2}/b, q^{-2+2n}, q^{-2-2n}; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q^{-1}, -q^{-1}, q^{-1}, \dots, q^{-1}, bq^4, q^{4-2n}, q^{4+2n}; q^4)_k} b^k q^{(10-2s)k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

By Andrews' transformation (2.2) with the parameter substitutions $m = (s+1)/2$, $q \mapsto q^4$, $a = q^{-2}$, $b_1 = c_1 = \dots = c_{m-1} = d_{m-1} = q^3$, $b_m = q^{-2}/b$, $c_m = q^{-2+2n}$ and $N = (n+1)/2$, the right-hand side of (3.2) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & -q^{-s-1} \frac{(q^2, bq^{6-2n}; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}}{(bq^4, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}} \\ & \times \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1} \geq 0} \frac{(q^{-4}; q^4)_{j_1} \dots (q^{-4}; q^4)_{j_{m-1}}}{(q^4; q^4)_{j_1} \dots (q^4; q^4)_{j_{m-1}}} q^{4(j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}) - 4(j_{m-2} + \dots + (m-2)j_1)} \\ & \times \frac{(q^3; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \dots (q^3; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-2}}^2 (q^{-2}/b, q^{-2+2n}, q^{-2-2n}; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}}{(q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \dots (q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}^2 (q^{-4}/b; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{(q^2, bq^{6-2n}; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}}{(bq^4, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}} = b^{(n-1)/2} q^{n-1} [n]_{q^2} \frac{(1/b; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(bq^8; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}.$$

Applying (2.5), we see that the expression (3.3) is just the $a = q^{-2n}$ or $a = q^{2n}$ case of the right-hand side of (3.1). This proves that (3.1) holds modulo $1 - aq^{2n}$ and $a - q^{2n}$.

Furthermore, in light of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(aq^{-1}; q^2)_{(n+1)/2-k}}{(q^2/a; q^2)_{(n+1)/2-k}} &= \frac{(1 - aq^{-1})(aq; q^2)_{(n-1)/2-k}}{(1 - q^{n+1-2k}/a)(q^2/a; q^2)_{(n-1)/2-k}} \\ &\equiv (-a)^{(n-1)/2-2k} \frac{(1 - aq^{-1})(aq; q^2)_k}{(1 - q^{1-2k}/a)(q^2/a; q^2)_k} q^{(n-1)^2/4+k} \\ &= (-a)^{(n+1)/2-2k} \frac{(aq^{-1}; q^2)_k}{(q^2/a; q^2)_k} q^{(n-1)^2/4+3k-1} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq (n+1)/2$. Using the above congruence with $q \mapsto q^2$, we can easily check that the sum of the k -th and $((n+1)/2 - k)$ -th terms on the left-hand side of (3.1) is congruent to 0 modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$. Hence the congruence (3.1) holds modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$. \square

As before, we also need the following congruence modulo $b - q^{2n}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $n > 1$ and $s > 1$ be odd integers. Let a and b be indeterminates. Then, modulo $b - q^{2n}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a, q^{-2}/b, q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(aq^4, q^4/a, bq^4, q^4; q^4)_k} b^k q^{(10-2s)k} \\
& \equiv - \frac{[n]_{q^2} (q^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}^2 (1-b)(1-bq^4)}{q^{s+1} (aq^4, q^4/a; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)} \\
& \quad \times \frac{(q^3; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^3; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a, q^{-2}/b; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q^{-4}/b; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}, \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

where $m = (s+1)/2$

Proof. For $b = q^{2n}$, by (2.2) and (2.5), the left-hand side of (3.4) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& -q^{-s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} \frac{(q^{-2}, q^3, -q^3, \overbrace{q^3, \dots, q^3}^{(s-1)s q^3}, aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a, q^{-2-2n}; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q^{-1}, -q^{-1}, q^{-1}, \dots, q^{-1}, q^4/a, aq^4, q^{4+2n}; q^4)_k} b^k q^{(10-2s)k} \\
& = -q^{-s-1} \frac{(q^2, q^6; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}}{(aq^4, q^4/a; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)} \\
& \quad \times \frac{(q^3; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^3; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a, q^{-2-2n}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q^{-4-2n}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}},
\end{aligned}$$

which is just the right-hand side of (3.4). Namely, the congruence (3.4) holds. \square

With the help of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we can prove the following parametric generalization of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 3.3. Let $n > 1$ and $s > 1$ be odd integers with $n \geq (s-1)/2$. Then, modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)^2(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^M [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a, q^{-2}, q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(aq^4, q^4/a, q^4, q^4; q^4)_k} q^{(10-2s)k} \\
& \equiv -q^{4-n-s} [n]_{q^2} X_s(a, q) \left\{ \frac{1}{(1-q^{2-2n})(1-q^{2+2n})} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})}{(1-a)^2(1-q^2)^2} \left(1 - \frac{n(1-a)a^{(n-1)/2}(1-q^2)^2}{(1-a^n)(1-aq^2)(1-q^2/a)} \right) \right\}, \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

where $M = (n+1)/2$ or $n-1$, and

$$X_s(a, q) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)} \\ \times \frac{(q^3; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^3; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a, q^{-2}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q^4; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}-2}}. \quad (3.6)$$

with $m = (s+1)/2$.

Proof. Applying (2.8) and (2.9), from (3.1) and (3.4) we immediately deduce that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a, q^{-2}/b, q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(aq^4, q^4/a, bq^4, q^4; q^4)_k} b^k q^{(10-2s)k} \\ \equiv b^{(n-1)/2} q^{n-1} [n]_{q^2} \frac{(1/b; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(bq^8; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} D_s(a, b, q) \frac{(b - q^{2n})(ab - 1 - a^2 + aq^{2n})}{(a-b)(1-ab)} \\ + \frac{[n]_{q^2} (q^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}^2 (1-b)(1-bq^4)}{(aq^4; q^4)_{(n+1)/2} (q^4/a; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}} D_s(a, b, q) \frac{(1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})}{(a-b)(1-ab)} \\ \pmod{\Phi_n(q^2)(1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})(b - q^{2n})}, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$D_s(a, b, q) = -q^{-s-1} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)} \\ \times \frac{(q^3; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^3; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a, q^{-2}/b; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q^{-4}/b; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow 1} \frac{(1/b; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(bq^8; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} D_s(a, b, q) = -\frac{q^{5-2n-s}}{(1 - q^{2-2n})(1 - q^{2+2n})} X_s(a, q), \\ \lim_{b \rightarrow 1} \frac{(1-b)(1-bq^4)}{(1 - aq^{2n+2})(1 - q^{2n+2}/a)} D_s(a, b, q) = -\frac{q^{3-s}}{(1 - aq^{2n+2})(1 - q^{2n+2}/a)} X_s(a, q),$$

where $X_s(a, q)$ is given by (3.6). Note that the condition $n \geq (s-1)/2$ in the theorem guarantees the denominator of $X_s(a, q)$ is always relatively prime to $\Phi_n(q^2)$. Let $b = 1$ in (3.7) and use the above limits. Then we apply the congruence (2.12) and the relation

(2.13) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a, q^{-2}, q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(aq^4, q^4/a, q^4, q^4; q^4)_k} q^{(10-2s)k} \\
& \equiv -\frac{q^{4-n-s} [n]_{q^2} X_s(a, q)}{(1-q^{2-2n})(1-q^{2+2n})} \left(1 + \frac{(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})}{(1-a)^2} \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{q^{4-n-s} [n]_{q^2} X_s(a, q)}{(1-aq^{2n+2})(1-q^{2n+2}/a)} \frac{(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})na^{(n-1)/2}}{(1-a)(1-a^n)} \\
& \quad \pmod{\Phi_n(q^2)^2(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})}.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of $q^{2n} \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi_n(q^2)}$, the above congruence is equivalent to (3.5) for $M = (n+1)/2$. Finally, since the k -th summand on the left-hand side of (3.5) is congruent to 0 modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)^2(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})$ for $(n+1)/2 < k \leq n-1$, we conclude that (3.5) also holds for $M = n-1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $a \rightarrow 1$ in (3.5). By l'Hôpital's rule, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{a \rightarrow 1} \frac{(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})}{(1-a)^2} \left(1 - \frac{n(1-a)a^{(n-1)/2}(1-q^2)^2}{(1-a^n)(1-aq^2)(1-q^2/a)} \right) \\
& = \left(\frac{(n^2-1)(1-q^2)^2}{24} - q^2 \right) [n]_{q^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Employing the following easily checked congruence

$$\frac{1}{(1-q^{2-2n})(1-q^{2+2n})} - \frac{q^2[n]_{q^2}^2}{(1-q^2)^2} \equiv \frac{1}{(1-q^2)^2} \pmod{\Phi_n(q^2)^3},$$

we conclude that (1.8) holds modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)^4$. In addition, the author [12] has proved (1.8) also holds modulo $[n]_{q^2}$. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgment. This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11771175).

References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, Problems and prospects for basic hypergeometric functions, in: Theory and Application for Basic Hypergeometric Functions, R.A. Askey, Ed., Math. Res. Center, Univ. Wisconsin, Publ. No. 35, Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 191–224.
- [2] G. Bauer, Von den coefficienten der Reihen von Kugelfunctionen einer variabeln, J. Reine Angew. Math. 56 (1859), 101–121.

- [3] J.M. Borwein and P.B. Borwein, *Pi and the AGM*, volume 4 of Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1998.
- [4] B. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part IV*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [5] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, 2nd Edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 96, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [6] O. Gorodetsky, q -Congruences, with applications to supercongruences and the cyclic sieving phenomenon, *Int. J. Number Theory* 15 (2019), 1919–1968.
- [7] C.-Y. Gu and V.J.W. Guo, Two q -congruences from Carlitz's formula. *Period. Math. Hungar.*, in press; <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10998-020-00341-2>
- [8] V.J.W. Guo, Some generalizations of a supercongruence of van Hamme, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 28 (2017), 888–899.
- [9] V.J.W. Guo, Common q -analogues of some different supercongruences, *Results Math.* 74 (2019), Art. 131.
- [10] V.J.W. Guo, A q -analogue of the (A.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme for primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat. RACSAM* 114 (2020), Art. 123.
- [11] V.J.W. Guo, Proof of some q -supercongruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, *Results Math.* 75 (2020), Art. 77.
- [12] V.J.W. Guo, Proof of a generalization of the (B.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme through a q -microscope, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 116 (2020), Art. 102016.
- [13] V.J.W. Guo, q -Supercongruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial via creative microscoping, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 120 (2020), Art. 102078.
- [14] V.J.W. Guo and J.-C. Liu, Some congruences related to a congruence of Van Hamme, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 31 (2020), 221–231.
- [15] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Some q -supercongruences from transformation formulas for basic hypergeometric series, *Constr. Approx.*, in press; <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00365-020-09524-z>
- [16] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, A family of q -hypergeometric congruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, *Israel J. Math.* 240 (2020), 821–835.
- [17] V.J.W. Guo and S.-D. Wang, Some congruences involving fourth powers of central q -binomial coefficients, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 150 (2020), 1127–1138.
- [18] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, A q -microscope for supercongruences, *Adv. Math.* 346 (2019), 329–358.
- [19] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, Dwork-type supercongruences through a creative q -microscope, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 178 (2021), Art. 105362.
- [20] Q.-H. Hou, Y.-P. Mu, and D. Zeilberger, Polynomial reduction and supercongruences, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 103 (2021), 127–140.
- [21] L. Li and S.-D. Wang, Proof of a q -supercongruence conjectured by Guo and Schlosser, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat. RACSAM* 114 (2020), Art. 190.
- [22] J.-C. Liu, Semi-automated proof of supercongruences on partial sums of hypergeometric series, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 93 (2019), 221–229.

- [23] J.-C. Liu and F. Petrov, Congruences on sums of q -binomial coefficients, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 116 (2020), Art. 102003.
- [24] L. Long, Hypergeometric evaluation identities and supercongruences, *Pacific J. Math.* 249 (2011), 405–418.
- [25] E. Mortenson, A p -adic supercongruence conjecture of van Hamme, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 136 (2008), 4321–4328.
- [26] H.-X. Ni and H. Pan, Some symmetric q -congruences modulo the square of a cyclotomic polynomial, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 481 (2020), Art. 123372.
- [27] A. Straub, Supercongruences for polynomial analogs of the Apéry numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 147 (2019), 1023–1036.
- [28] Z.-W. Sun, A refinement of a congruence result by van Hamme and Mortenson, *Illinois J. Math.* 56 (2012), 967–979.
- [29] R. Tauraso, Some q -analogs of congruences for central binomial sums, *Colloq. Math.* 133 (2013), 133–143.
- [30] L. Van Hamme, Some conjectures concerning partial sums of generalized hypergeometric series, in: *p -Adic Functional Analysis* (Nijmegen, 1996), *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.* 192, Dekker, New York, 1997, pp. 223–236.
- [31] S.-D. Wang, Some supercongruences involving $\binom{2k}{k}^4$, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 24 (2018), 1375–1383.
- [32] X. Wang and M. Yu, Some new q -congruences on double sums, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat. RACSAM* 115 (2021), Art. 9.
- [33] X. Wang, M. Yue, Some q -supercongruences from Watson’s ${}_8\phi_7$ transformation formula, *Results Math.* 75 (2020), Art. 71.
- [34] X. Wang and M. Yue, A q -analogue of the (A.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme for any prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, *Int. J. Number Theory* 16 (2020), 1325–1335.
- [35] H.S. Wilf and D. Zeilberger, An algorithmic proof theory for hypergeometric (ordinary and “ q ”) multisum/integral identities, *Invent. Math.* 108 (1992), 575–633.
- [36] W. Zudilin, Ramanujan-type supercongruences, *J. Number Theory* 129 (2009), 1848–1857.
- [37] W. Zudilin, Congruences for q -binomial coefficients, *Ann. Combin.* 23 (2019), 1123–1135.