

Proof of a generalization of the (B.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme through a q -microscope

Victor J. W. Guo

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huaiyin Normal University, Huai'an 223300, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China
jwguo@hytc.edu.cn

Abstract. We prove some q -congruences for certain truncated basic hypergeometric series by using Andrews' multiserries generalization of Watson's transformation and the creative microscoping method, recently devised by the author and Wadim Zudilin. As a conclusion, we completely confirm Conjecture 1.1 in [Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 28 (2017), 888–899] which is a generalization of the (B.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme, and partially confirm Conjecture 4.3 in the same paper. We also raise several related conjectures on q -congruences.

Keywords: basic hypergeometric series; Andrews' transformation; q -congruences; supercongruences; Euler number.

AMS Subject Classifications: 33D15; Secondary 11A07, 11F33

1. Introduction

In 1859, Bauer [2] proved the following hypergeometric identity:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{4k+1}{(-64)^k} \binom{2k}{k}^3 = \frac{2}{\pi}. \quad (1.1)$$

One reason why such identities are interesting is that the fastest known algorithms for computing decimal digits of π are based on this kind of identities. See, for example, the monograph [3] by Borwein and Borwein. In 1997, Van Hamme [36, (B.2)] conjectured that the formula (1.1) possesses a nice p -adic analogue:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{4k+1}{(-64)^k} \binom{2k}{k}^3 \equiv p(-1)^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p^3}, \quad (1.2)$$

where p is an odd prime. This supercongruence was first proved by Mortenson [30] using an idea of McCarthy and Osburn [29] to evaluate of a quotient of Gamma functions. It was reproved by Zudilin [40] using the Wilf–Zeilberger (WZ) method, and by Long [28] using hypergeometric series identities and evaluations. A refinement of (1.2) modulo p^4 was given by Sun [33] using the WZ method again together with some properties of the Euler

numbers. Swisher [34, (B.3)] made an interesting conjecture on a further generalization of (1.2).

Motivated by Zudilin's work [40], the author [10] considered more WZ-pairs related to some generalizations of (1.2) and proved partial results on them. He also raised the following conjecture [10, Conjecture 1.1].

Conjecture 1.1. *For any positive odd integer s , there exists an integer a_s such that, for any odd prime p and positive integer r , there hold*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/2} \frac{(4k+1)^s}{(-64)^k} \binom{2k}{k}^3 \equiv a_s p^r (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)r}{2}} \pmod{p^{r+2}}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^r-1} \frac{(4k+1)^s}{(-64)^k} \binom{2k}{k}^3 \equiv a_s p^r (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)r}{2}} \pmod{p^{r+2}}. \quad (1.4)$$

In particular, we have $a_1 = 1$, $a_3 = -3$, $a_5 = 41$, $a_7 = -1595$, $a_9 = 124689$ and $a_{11} = -16253107$.

As mentioned in [10], there are no ‘Archimedean’ analogues of (1.3) and (1.4) for $s \geq 3$, ie.,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4k+1)^s}{(-64)^k} \binom{2k}{k}^3 = \infty \quad \text{for } s \geq 3.$$

Note that, for $r = 1$, the supercongruence (1.3) is equivalent to (1.4), since $\binom{2k}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $(p+1)/2 \leq k \leq p-1$. The author [10] himself proved that (1.3) holds modulo p^2 for $(r, s) = (1, 3)$ and that it also holds modulo p^3 for $(r, s) = (1, 3)$ and $p \not\equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. The author [11] later proved that (1.3) is true for $s = 1$ and all positive integers r . The author and Zudilin [24] proved that so is the supercongruence (1.4). Some other partial results of (1.3) were obtained by Liu [27], who showed that (1.3) is true for $r = 1$ and $s = 3, 5, 7, 9, 11$. Jana and Kalita [26] confirmed (1.3) for $s = 3$ and $r \geq 1$, and almost simultaneously the author [13] succeeded in proving (1.3) and (1.4) for $s = 3$ and $r \geq 1$. Recently, Gu and the author [8] proved (1.3) and (1.4) for $s = 5$ and $r \geq 1$. Moreover, Hou, Mu, and Zeilberger [25] further proved Conjecture 1.1 for $r = 1$ and all positive odd integers s . Until now, Conjecture 1.1 is still open for $s \geq 7$.

It is worth mentioning that q -analogues of supercongruences have been studied by many authors in recent years (see, for example, [6, 7, 9, 11–13, 15–22, 24, 31, 32, 35, 40]). In particular, the author and Zudilin [24] devised a method, called ‘creative microscoping’, to prove many q -supercongruences by introducing an extra parameter and considering asymptotic behavior of q -series at roots of unity. We believe that the creative microscoping method can be utilized to prove more supercongruences and q -supercongruences. In fact, the author [13] proved (1.3) and (1.4) for $s = 3$ by establishing their q -analogues in the spirit of [24].

We shall consider congruences in $\mathbb{Z}(a, q)$ (or in $\mathbb{Z}(q)$ when $a = 1$), a bivariate rational functional field. The congruence $A_1(a, q)/B_1(a, q) \equiv 0 \pmod{C(a, q)}$ for $A_1(a, q)$,

$B_1(a, q)$, $C(a, q) \in \mathbb{Z}[a, q]$ is meant that $A_1(a, q)$ is divisible by $C(a, q)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[a, q]$, while $B_1(a, q)$ is relatively prime to $C(a, q)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[a, q]$. More generally, $A(a, q) \equiv B(a, q) \pmod{C(a, q)}$ for rational functions $A(a, q), B(a, q) \in \mathbb{Z}(a, q)$ is understood as $A(a, q) - B(a, q) \equiv 0 \pmod{C(a, q)}$.

The paper is a continuation of [13] and we shall confirm Conjecture 1.1 completely by establishing the following q -analogues of (1.3) and (1.4).

Theorem 1.2. *Let n and s be positive odd integers with $n > 1$. Then, modulo $[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^2$,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^k [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{2k(k-s+1)} \equiv [n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n-1)/2} q^{(n-1)^2/2} A_s(q), \quad (1.5)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{2k(k-s+1)} \equiv [n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n-1)/2} q^{(n-1)^2/2} A_s(q), \quad (1.6)$$

where $A_s(q)$ is a Laurent polynomial in q given by

$$A_s(q) = \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}} q^{-2(l_1+\dots+l_{m-1})-4(l_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)l_1)} \\ \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{l_{m-2}}^2 (q^2; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^2}{(q; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^2} \quad \text{with } m = \frac{s+1}{2}. \quad (1.7)$$

Note that a_s may be defined by $A_s(1)$. We now already need to familiarize ourselves with the standard q -notation. The q -shifted factorial is defined as $(a; q)_0 = 1$ and $(a; q)_n = (1-a)(1-aq) \cdots (1-aq^{n-1})$ for $n \geq 1$. For simplicity, we also compactly write $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; q)_n = (a_1; q)_n (a_2; q)_n \cdots (a_m; q)_n$. The q -integer is given by $[n] = [n]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$. Moreover, the n -th cyclotomic polynomial, denoted by $\Phi_n(q)$, is defined by

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \gcd(n, k)=1}} (q - \zeta^k),$$

where ζ is an n -th primitive root of unity. It is easy to see that $\Phi_n(q^2) = \Phi_n(q) \Phi_n(-q)$ for odd n .

Note that the indices l_1, \dots, l_{m-1} in (1.7) take values 0 and 1, and so

$$\frac{(q^2; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}}{(1-q)^{l_2+\dots+l_{m-1}} (q; q^4)_{l_1}}, \quad \frac{(1-q)^{l_2} (q^5; q^4)_{l_1}}{(q; q^4)_{l_1+l_2}}, \dots, \frac{(1-q)^{l_{m-1}} (q^5; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-2}}}{(q; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}} \quad (1.8)$$

are all polynomials in q . This means that the expression $A_s(q)$ given by (1.7) is indeed a Laurent polynomial in q . For the reader's convenience, we give the first values of $A_s(q)$

in Theorem 1.2 as follows: $A_1(q) = 1$, $A_3(q) = -(2q + 1)/q^2$, and

$$A_5(q) = \frac{5q^6 + 8q^5 + 8q^4 + 8q^3 + 7q^2 + 4q + 1}{q^8},$$

$$A_7(q) = -\frac{(2q^5 + 2q^4 + 2q^3 + 2q^2 + 2q + 1)}{q^{18}}$$

$$\times (7q^{10} + 14q^9 + 18q^8 + 22q^7 + 23q^6 + 20q^5 + 16q^4 + 12q^3 + 8q^2 + 4q + 1).$$

It is clear that, for $k \geq 0$ and any prime power p^r , we have

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k}{(q^4; q^4)_k} = \frac{1}{4^k} \binom{2k}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{p^r}(1) = p.$$

Therefore, letting $n = p^r$ and $q \rightarrow 1$ in (1.5) and (1.6), and noticing that $(-1)^{(p^r-1)/2} = (-1)^{(p-1)r/2}$ for odd p , we are led to (1.3) and (1.4) immediately.

The second objective of this paper is to partially confirm the following (corrected version of) conjecture of the author (see [10, Conjecture 4.3]).

Conjecture 1.3. *For any positive odd integer s , there exists an integer b_s such that, for any odd prime $p \geq (s+1)/2$ and positive integer r , there hold*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/2} \frac{(4k+1)^s}{256^k} \binom{2k}{k}^4 \equiv (-1)^{(s-1)/2} b_s p^r \pmod{p^{r+3}}, \quad (1.9)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^r-1} \frac{(4k+1)^s}{256^k} \binom{2k}{k}^4 \equiv (-1)^{(s-1)/2} b_s p^r \pmod{p^{r+3}}, \quad (1.10)$$

In particular, we have $b_1 = 1$, $b_3 = 1$, $b_5 = 3$, $b_7 = 23$, $b_9 = 371$ and $b_{11} = 10515$.

For $r = s = 1$, the supercongruence (1.9) is equivalent to (1.10) and is a refinement of the (C.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme [36]. This case was first proved by Long [28, Theorem 1.1], who also observed the supercongruence (1.9) for $s = 1$ and all positive integers r . Some other special cases of (1.9) were proved by Wang [37], Liu [27], the author and Wang [23], the author [13], and Hou, Mu, and Zeilberger [25]. Here we shall prove that (1.9) and (1.10) are true modulo p^{r+2} for any odd prime p and arbitrary r by establishing the following q -congruences.

Theorem 1.4. *Let n and s be positive odd integers with $n > 1$. Then, modulo $[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^2$,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^4}{(q^4; q^4)_k^4} q^{(2-2s)k} \equiv [n]_{q^2} q^{1-n} B_s(q) \pmod{[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^2}, \quad (1.11)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^4}{(q^4; q^4)_k^4} q^{(2-2s)k} \equiv [n]_{q^2} q^{1-n} B_s(q) \pmod{[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^2}, \quad (1.12)$$

where $B_s(q)$ is a rational function of q given by

$$B_s(q) = \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}} q^{-4(l_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)l_1)} \\ \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-2}}^2 (q^2; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^3}{(q; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^2 (q^4; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}} \quad \text{with } m = \frac{s+1}{2}. \quad (1.13)$$

Note that b_s can be defined as $(-1)^{(s-1)/2} B_s(1)$. The first values of $B_s(q)$ in Theorem 1.4 are listed as follows: $B_1(q) = 1$, $B_3(q) = -2q/(q^2 + 1)$, and

$$B_5(q) = \frac{q^2(5q^4 + 4q^3 + 6q^2 + 4q + 5)}{(q^4 + 1)(q^2 + 1)^2}, \\ B_7(q) = -\frac{2q^3(7q^8 + 14q^7 + 23q^6 + 30q^5 + 36q^4 + 30q^3 + 23q^2 + 14q + 7)}{(q^6 + 1)(q^4 + 1)(q^2 + 1)^2}.$$

It is clear that, when $n = p^r$ and $q \rightarrow 1$, the congruences (1.11) and (1.12) reduce to (1.9) and (1.10) modulo p^{r+2} (for any odd prime p), respectively. To see that $B_s(1)$ is an integer, first notice that

$$\frac{(q^5; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-2}}^2 (q^2; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^3}{(q; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^2 (q^4; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}} \\ = \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-2}}^2 (q^2; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^2}{(q; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^2} \cdot \frac{(q^2; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}}{(q^4; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}}.$$

Moreover, for $l_1, \dots, l_{m-1} \in \{0, 1\}$, the first fraction is a square of the product of the $m-1$ polynomials in (1.8), and the first one in (1.8) is clearly divisible by $(1+q)^{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}$. Thus, the limit of the first fraction as $q \rightarrow 1$ is an integer divisible by $2^{2(l_1+\dots+l_{m-1})}$. Finally, observe that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}}{(q^4; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}} = 2^{-2(l_1+\dots+l_{m-1})} \binom{2(l_1+\dots+l_{m-1})}{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}.$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We shall prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 in Sections 2 and 3, respectively. To accomplish this we shall make use of not only the aforementioned creative microscoping method [24] but also Andrews' multiseries generalization of the Watson transformation [1, Theorem 4] (Andrews' was already utilized by Zudilin [39] to solve a problem of Schmidt, and was used in [14] to prove some q -analogues of Calkin's congruence [4]. It was also applied by the author and Schlosser [18, 20, 22] to prove some q -congruences for truncated basic hypergeometric series). Meanwhile, a simple property of fractions of q -shifted factorials (Lemma 2.1) plays an important role in our proof. We shall give some similar q -congruences in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we propose three related conjectures including a refinement of Theorem 1.4, which is also a complete q -analogue of Conjecture 1.3.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We will need the following easily proved result (see [21, Lemma 3.1]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let n be a positive odd integer and let a be an indeterminate. Then, for $0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2$, we have*

$$\frac{(aq; q^2)_{(n-1)/2-k}}{(q^2/a; q^2)_{(n-1)/2-k}} \equiv (-a)^{(n-1)/2-2k} \frac{(aq; q^2)_k}{(q^2/a; q^2)_k} q^{(n-1)^2/4+k} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

We first establish the following parametric generalization of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.2. *Let n and s be positive odd integers with $n > 1$ and let a be an indeterminate. Then, modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)(1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^k [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2, aq^2, q^2/a; q^4)_k}{(q^4, aq^4, q^4/a; q^4)_k} q^{2k(k-s+1)} \\ & \equiv [n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n-1)/2} q^{(n-1)^2/2} \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}} q^{-2(l_1+\dots+l_{m-1})-4(l_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)l_1)} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-2}}^2 (aq^2, q^2/a; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $m = (s+1)/2$.

Proof. The $s = 1$ case is just [24, Theorem 4.1] with $q \mapsto q^2$. Now suppose that $s \geq 3$. We need to use a complicated transformation formula due to Andrews [1, Theorem 4]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(a, q\sqrt{a}, -q\sqrt{a}, b_1, c_1, \dots, b_m, c_m, q^{-N}; q)_k}{(q, \sqrt{a}, -\sqrt{a}, aq/b_1, aq/c_1, \dots, aq/b_m, aq/c_m, aq^{N+1}; q)_k} \left(\frac{a^m q^{m+N}}{b_1 c_1 \cdots b_m c_m} \right)^k \\ & = \frac{(aq, aq/b_m c_m; q)_N}{(aq/b_m, aq/c_m; q)_N} \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_{m-1} \geq 0} \frac{(aq/b_1 c_1; q)_{l_1} \cdots (aq/b_{m-1} c_{m-1}; q)_{l_{m-1}}}{(q; q)_{l_1} \cdots (q; q)_{l_{m-1}}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(b_2, c_2; q)_{l_1} \cdots (b_m, c_m; q)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}}{(aq/b_1, aq/c_1; q)_{l_1} \cdots (aq/b_{m-1}, aq/c_{m-1}; q)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^{-N}; q)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}}{(b_m c_m q^{-N}/a; q)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}} \frac{(aq)^{l_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)l_1} q^{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}}{(b_2 c_2)^{l_1} \cdots (b_{m-1} c_{m-1})^{l_1+\dots+l_{m-2}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

which is a multiserries generalization of Watson's ${}_8\phi_7$ transformation formula (see [5, Appendix (III.18)]):

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_8\phi_7 \left[\begin{matrix} a, & qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, & -qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, & b, & c, & d, & e, & q^{-n} \\ & a^{\frac{1}{2}}, & -a^{\frac{1}{2}}, & aq/b, & aq/c, & aq/d, & aq/e, & aq^{n+1} \end{matrix} ; q, \frac{a^2 q^{n+2}}{bcde} \right] \\ & = \frac{(aq, aq/de; q)_n}{(aq/d, aq/e; q)_n} {}_4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} aq/bc, & d, & e, & q^{-n} \\ & aq/b, & aq/c, & deq^{-n}/a \end{matrix} ; q, q \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For $a = q^{-2n}$ or $a = q^{2n}$, the left-hand side of (2.1) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^k [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2, q^{2-2n}, q^{2+2n}; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q^{4-2n}, q^{4+2n}; q^4)_k} q^{2k(k-s+1)} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q^2, q^5, -q^5, \overbrace{q^5, \dots, q^5}^{(s-1)s q^5}, q^{2-2n}, q^{2+2n}, q^{-4N}; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q, -q, q, \dots, q, q^{4+2n}, q^{4-2n}, q^{4N+6}; q^4)_k} q^{(4N-2s+4)k}, \end{aligned}$$

which, by (2.2) with the parameter substitutions $m = (s+1)/2$, $q \mapsto q^4$, $a = q^2$, $b_1 = c_1 = \dots = b_{m-1} = c_{m-1} = q^5$, $b_m = q^{2-2n}$ and $c_m = q^{2+2n}$, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(q^6, q^2; q^4)_\infty}{(q^{4+2n}, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_\infty} \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_{m-1} \geq 0} \frac{(q^{-4}; q^4)_{l_1} \dots (q^{-4}; q^4)_{l_{m-1}}}{(q^4; q^4)_{l_1} \dots (q^4; q^4)_{l_{m-1}}} \\ & \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \dots (q^5; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-2}}^2 (q^{2-2n}, q^{2+2n}; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \dots (q; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^2} q^{2(l_1+\dots+l_{m-1})-4(l_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)l_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{(q^6, q^2; q^4)_\infty}{(q^{4+2n}, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_\infty} = \frac{(q^2; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}}{(q^{4-2n}; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}} = [n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n-1)/2} q^{(n-1)^2/2},$$

and

$$\frac{(q^{-4}; q^4)_k}{(q^4; q^4)_k} = \begin{cases} (-1)^k q^{-4k}, & \text{if } k = 0, 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

This proves that the congruence (2.1) is true modulo $1 - aq^{2n}$ or $a - q^{2n}$.

Moreover, by Lemma 2.1, it is easy to verify that, for $0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2$, the k -th and $((n-1)/2 - k)$ -th terms on the left-hand side of (2.1) cancel each other modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^k [2n-4k-1]_{q^2} [2n-4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2, aq^2, q^2/a; q^4)_{(n-1)/2-k}}{(q^4; q^4)_{(n-1)/2-k}^3} \\ & \times q^{2((n-1)/2-k)^2-2(s-1)((n-1)/2-k)} \\ & \equiv -(-1)^k [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2, aq^2, q^2/a; q^4)_k}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{2k^2-2(s-1)k} \pmod{\Phi_n(q^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the above congruence also holds if $k = (n-1)/2 - k$ (in this case the $(n-1)/4$ -th term itself is clearly congruent to 0 modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$). Thus, we conclude that the left-hand side of (2.1) is congruent to 0 modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$, and therefore the congruence (2.1) holds modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$. Since $\Phi_n(q^2)$, $1 - aq^{2n}$, and $a - q^{2n}$ are relatively prime polynomials, we complete the proof of (2.1). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is easy to see that the limits of the denominators on the left-hand side of (2.1) as $a \rightarrow 1$ are relatively prime to $\Phi_n(q^2)$, since $0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2$. Moreover, the limit of $(1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})$ as $a \rightarrow 1$ contains the factor $\Phi_n(q^2)^2$. It follows that the limiting case $a \rightarrow 1$ of (2.1) leads to the following congruence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^k [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{2k(k-s+1)} \\ & \equiv [n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n-1)/2} q^{(n-1)^2/2} a_s(q) \pmod{\Phi_n(q^2)^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $a_s(q)$ is the Laurent polynomial in q defined in (1.7).

The congruence (2.4) also implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{2k(k-s+1)} \\ & \equiv [n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n-1)/2} q^{(n-1)^2/2} a_s(q) \pmod{\Phi_n(q^2)^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

since $(q^2; q^4)_k^3 / (q^4; q^4)_k^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q^2)^3}$ for k in the range $(n-1)/2 < k \leq n-1$.

It remains to show that (2.4) and (2.5) are also true modulo $[n]_{q^2}$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^k [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{2k(k-s+1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]_{q^2}}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{2k(k-s+1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]_{q^2}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Let $\zeta \neq 1$ be an n -th root of unity. Namely, ζ is a primitive root of unity of odd degree d with $d \mid n$. Denote by $c_q(k)$ the k -th term on the left-hand side of (2.6). In other words,

$$c_q(k) = (-1)^k [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{2k(k-s+1)}.$$

The congruences (2.4) and (2.5) with $n = d$ imply that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(d-1)/2} c_\zeta(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_\zeta(k) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{(d-1)/2} c_{-\zeta}(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_{-\zeta}(k) = 0.$$

Noticing that

$$\frac{c_\zeta(\ell d + k)}{c_\zeta(\ell d)} = \lim_{q \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{c_q(\ell d + k)}{c_q(\ell d)} = c_\zeta(k),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} c_\zeta(k) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{(n/d-3)/2} c_\zeta(\ell d) \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_\zeta(k) + \sum_{k=0}^{(d-1)/2} c_\zeta((n-d)/2 + k) = 0, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_\zeta(k) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n/d-1} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_\zeta(\ell d + k) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n/d-1} c_\zeta(\ell d) \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_\zeta(k) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the sums $\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} c_q(k)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_q(k)$ are both congruent to 0 modulo $\Phi_d(q)$. In the same way we can show that they are also congruent to 0 modulo $\Phi_d(-q)$. Since d can be any divisor of n greater than 1, we conclude that these two sums are congruent to 0 modulo

$$\prod_{d|n, d>1} \Phi_d(q)\Phi_d(-q) = [n]_{q^2},$$

thus establishing (2.6) and (2.7). \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We first establish the following parametric generalization of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 3.1. *Let n and s be positive odd integers with $n > 1$ and let a be an indeterminate. Then, modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)(1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2, q^2, aq^2, q^2/a; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q^4, aq^4, q^4/a; q^4)_k} q^{(2-2s)k} \\ &\equiv [n]_{q^2} q^{1-n} \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}} q^{-4(l_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)l_1)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-2}}^2 (q^2, aq^2, q^2/a; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^2 (q^4; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. The $s = 1$ case is just a special case of [24, Theorem 4.2]. We now suppose that $s \geq 3$. For $a = q^{-2n}$ or $a = q^{2n}$, the left-hand side of (3.1) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2, q^2, q^{2-2n}, q^{2+2n}; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q^4, q^{4-2n}, q^{4+2n}; q^4)_k} q^{(2-2s)k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q^2, q^5, -q^5, \overbrace{q^5, \dots, q^5}^{(s-1)\text{'s } q^5}, q^2, q^{2+2n}, q^{2-2n}; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q, -q, q, \dots, q, q^4, q^{4-2n}, q^{4+2n}; q^4)_k} q^{(2-2s)k}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

which, by Andrews' transformation formula (2.2) with the parameter substitutions $m = (s+1)/2$, $q \mapsto q^4$, $a = q^2$, $b_1 = c_1 = \cdots = b_{m-1} = c_{m-1} = q^5$, $b_m = q^2$, $c_m = q^{2+2n}$ and $N = (n-1)/2$, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(q^6, q^{2-2n}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q^4, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_{m-1} \geq 0} \frac{(q^{-4}; q^4)_{l_1} \cdots (q^{-4}; q^4)_{l_{m-1}}}{(q^4; q^4)_{l_1} \cdots (q^4; q^4)_{l_{m-1}}} q^{4(l_1 + \cdots + l_{m-1}) - 4(l_{m-2} + \cdots + (m-2)l_1)} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{l_1 + \cdots + l_{m-2}}^2 (q^2, q^{2-2n}, q^{2+2n}; q^4)_{l_1 + \cdots + l_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{l_1 + \cdots + l_{m-1}}^2 (q^4; q^4)_{l_1 + \cdots + l_{m-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.3) and the following identity

$$\frac{(q^6, q^{2-2n}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q^4, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} = [n]_{q^2} q^{1-n},$$

we can simplify the above expression as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & [n]_{q^2} q^{1-n} \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_{m-1} = 0}^1 (-1)^{l_1 + \cdots + l_{m-1}} q^{-4(l_{m-2} + \cdots + (m-2)l_1)} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{l_1 + \cdots + l_{m-2}}^2 (q^2, q^{2-2n}, q^{2+2n}; q^4)_{l_1 + \cdots + l_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{l_1 + \cdots + l_{m-1}}^2 (q^4; q^4)_{l_1 + \cdots + l_{m-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved that the congruence (3.1) holds modulo $1 - aq^{2n}$ or $a - q^{2n}$.

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.1, it is easily seen that the k -th and $((n-1)/2 - k)$ -th terms on the left-hand side of (3.1) cancel each other modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$ for $0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2$. This means that the left-hand side of (3.1) is congruent to 0 modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$, and therefore the congruence (3.1) also holds modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$. This completes the proof of (3.1). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The limits of the denominators on the left-hand side of (3.1) as $a \rightarrow 1$ are relatively prime to $\Phi_n(q^2)$. It is easy to see that the denominators of the reduced forms of fractions in (3.1) are relatively prime to $\Phi_n(q^2)$ (for odd n) as well. Letting $a \rightarrow 1$ in (3.1), we see that the congruences (1.11) and (1.12) hold modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)^3$. It remains to show that they are also true modulo $[n]_{q^2}$. This is exactly the same as the proof of (2.6) and (2.7), and is left to the interested reader. \square

4. More similar results

In this section, we give some q -congruences similar to Theorems 1.2 and 1.4. The author proved in [12, Theorem 1.3] and [13, Theorem 5.1] that, for odd $n > 1$, modulo

$$[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^2,$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^M (-1)^k [4k-1]_{q^2} \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{2k^2+4k} \equiv [n]_{q^2} (-q^2)^{(n-3)(n+1)/4}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^M (-1)^k [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^2 \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{2k^2} \equiv [n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n-1)/2} q^{(n-1)^2/2} \frac{q+2}{q^3}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $M = (n+1)/2$ or $n-1$. We shall give the following generalization of (4.1) and (4.2), which is very similar to Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 4.1. *Let n and s be positive odd integers with $n > 1$. Then, modulo $[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^2$,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^M (-1)^k [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{2k(k-s+3)} \equiv [n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n-1)/2} q^{(n-1)^2/2} C_s(q), \quad (4.3)$$

where $M = (n+1)/2$ or $n-1$, and $C_s(q)$ is a Laurent polynomial in q given by

$$C_s(q) = -q^{-s-1} \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}} q^{2(l_1+\dots+l_{m-1})-4(l_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)l_1)} \\ \times \frac{(q^3; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^3; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-2}}^2 (q^{-2}; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^2}{(q^{-1}; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^{-1}; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^2} \quad \text{with } m = \frac{s+1}{2}. \quad (4.4)$$

The reason why (4.4) gives a Laurent polynomial in q is similar to $A_s(q)$. Using the formula (4.4), we can easily obtain the first values of $C_s(q)$ as follows: $C_1(q) = -q^{-2}$, $C_3(q) = (q+2)q^{-3}$, and

$$C_5(q) = \frac{7q^2 + 3q^4 + 8q^3 + 4q + 1}{q^8}, \\ C_7(q) = \frac{(2q^2 + 2q + 1)(3q^6 + 6q^5 + 2q^4 - 2q^3 - 5q^2 - 4q - 1)}{q^{14}}.$$

Sketch of Proof of Theorem 4.1. Like before, we need to establish the following parametric generalization. Modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)(1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} (-1)^k [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^{-2}, aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a; q^4)_k}{(q^4, aq^4, q^4/a; q^4)_k} q^{2k(k-s+3)} \\ \equiv -[n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n-1)/2} q^{(n-1)^2/2-s-1} \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}} q^{2(l_1+\dots+l_{m-1})-4(l_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)l_1)} \\ \times \frac{(q^3; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^3; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-2}}^2 (aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}}{(q^{-1}; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^{-1}; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^2}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $m = (s + 1)/2$.

The congruence (4.5) modulo $1 - aq^{2n}$ or $a - q^{2n}$ follows from Andrews' transformation formula (2.2) by taking $m = (s + 1)/2$, $q \mapsto q^4$, $a = q^{-2}$, $b_1 = c_1 = \cdots = c_{m-1} = d_{m-1} = q^3$, $b_m = q^{-2-2n}$ and $c_m = q^{-2+2n}$, and then letting $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(aq^{-1}; q^2)_{(n+1)/2-k}}{(q^2/a; q^2)_{(n+1)/2-k}} &= \frac{(1 - aq^{-1})(aq; q^2)_{(n-1)/2-k}}{(1 - q^{n+1-2k}/a)(q^2/a; q^2)_{(n-1)/2-k}} \\ &\equiv (-a)^{(n-1)/2-2k} \frac{(1 - aq^{-1})(aq; q^2)_k}{(1 - q^{1-2k}/a)(q^2/a; q^2)_k} q^{(n-1)^2/4+k} \\ &= (-a)^{(n+1)/2-2k} \frac{(aq^{-1}; q^2)_k}{(q^2/a; q^2)_k} q^{(n-1)^2/4+3k-1} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

for $0 \leq k \leq (n + 1)/2$. Using the above congruence with q replaced by q^2 , we see that the k -th and $((n + 1)/2 - k)$ -th terms on the left-hand side of (4.5) cancel each other modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$. Hence the congruence (4.5) hold modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$, and we finish the proof of (4.5).

The process of the proof of (4.3) from (4.5) is exactly the same as the proof of (1.5) and (1.6). \square

Letting $n = p^r$ and $q \rightarrow 1$ in Theorem 4.1 and noticing that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(q^4; q^4)_k} = \frac{-1}{4^k(2k-1)} \binom{2k}{k} = \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k}{k!}, \quad (4.7)$$

where $(a)_k = a(a + 1) \cdots (a + k - 1)$, we obtain the following conclusion, which was originally conjectured by the author and Liu [16, Conjecture 5.1].

Corollary 4.2. *For any odd positive integer s , there exists an integer $c_s = C_s(1)$ such that, for any odd prime p and positive integer r , there hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{(p^r+1)/2} (-1)^k (4k-1)^s \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} &\equiv c_s p^r (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)r}{2}} \pmod{p^{r+2}}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{p^r-1} (-1)^k (4k-1)^s \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} &\equiv c_s p^r (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)r}{2}} \pmod{p^{r+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have $c_1 = -1$, $c_3 = 3$, $c_5 = 23$, $c_7 = -5$, $c_9 = 1647$, and $c_{11} = -96973$.

We shall also give the following result similar to Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 4.3. *Let n and s be positive odd integers with $n > 1$. Then, modulo $[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^2$,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^M [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^4}{(q^4; q^4)_k^4} q^{(10-2s)k} \equiv [n]_{q^2} q^{1-n} D_s(q), \quad (4.8)$$

where $M = (n+1)/2$ or $n-1$, and $D_s(q)$ is a rational function of q given by

$$D_s(q) = -\frac{q^{3-s}}{(1-q^2)^2} \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}} q^{-4(l_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)l_1)} \\ \times \frac{(q^3; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^3; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-2}}^2 (q^{-2}; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^3}{(q^{-1}; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^{-1}; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^2 (q^4; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}-2}} \quad (4.9)$$

with $m = (s+1)/2$ and $1/(q^4; q^4)_k = 0$ for any negative integer k .

Using the formula (4.9), we obtain the first values of $D_s(q)$: $D_1(q) = D_3(q) = 0$, $D_5(q) = (q+1)^4/q^8$, and

$$D_7(q) = \frac{2(2q^2 + q + 2)(q+1)^4}{(q^2+1)q^{10}}, \\ D_9(q) = \frac{(10q^8 + 8q^7 + 19q^6 + 4q^5 + 14q^4 + 4q^3 + 19q^2 + 8q + 10)(q+1)^4}{(q^4+1)(q^2+1)^2q^{12}}.$$

Noticing (4.7) and the fact that $1/(2k-1)\binom{2k}{k}$ is always an integer, we can show that $D_s(1)$ is an integer as before.

Sketch of Proof of Theorem 4.3. The $s = 1$ case was already proved by the author and Schlosser [21, Theorem 5.3]. For $s \geq 3$, we first give a parametric generalization of (4.8) as follows. Modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^{-2}, q^{-2}, aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q^4, aq^4, q^4/a; q^4)_k} q^{(10-2s)k} \\ \equiv -\frac{[n]_{q^2} q^{4-n-s}}{(1-aq^2)(1-q^2/a)} \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}} q^{-4(l_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)l_1)} \\ \times \frac{(q^3; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^3; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-2}}^2 (q^{-2}, aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}}{(q^{-1}; q^4)_{l_1}^2 \cdots (q^{-1}; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^2 (q^4; q^4)_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}-2}}. \quad (4.10)$$

The congruence (4.5) modulo $1-aq^{2n}$ or $a-q^{2n}$ follows from Andrews' transformation formula (2.2) by first performing the parameter substitutions $m = (s+1)/2$, $q \mapsto q^4$, $a = q^{-2}$, $b_1 = c_1 = \cdots = c_{m-1} = d_{m-1} = q^3$, $b_m = q^{-2}$, $c_m = q^{-2+2n}z$ and $N = (n+1)/2$, and then letting $z \rightarrow 1$.

Applying (4.6) with $q \mapsto q^2$ again, we can show that the k -th and $((n+1)/2 - k)$ -th terms on the left-hand side of (4.10) cancel each other modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$. Namely, the congruence (4.10) hold modulo $\Phi_n(q^2)$.

The proof of (4.8) is then exactly the same as that of Theorem 1.2. \square

Letting $n = p^r$ and $q \rightarrow 1$ in Theorem 4.3, we arrive at the following conclusion, which confirms a weaker form of [16, Conjecture 5.2].

Corollary 4.4. *For any odd positive integer s , there exists an integer $d_s = D_s(1)$ such that, for any odd prime p and positive integer r , there hold*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r+1)/2} (4k-1)^s \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^4}{k!^4} \equiv d_s p^r \pmod{p^{r+2}},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^r-1} (4k-1)^s \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^4}{k!^4} \equiv d_s p^r \pmod{p^{r+2}}.$$

In particular, we have $d_1 = d_3 = 0$, $d_5 = 16$, $d_7 = 80$, $d_9 = 192$, $d_{11} = 640$, $d_{13} = -3472$, and $d_{15} = 138480$.

5. Some open problems

The author [10, Conjecture 4.3] further conjectured that the number b_s in (1.9) and (1.10) is the coefficient of $x^{(s-1)/2}$ in the expansion

$$\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n E_{2n} \frac{x^n}{n} \right), \quad (5.1)$$

where E_{2n} is the $2n$ -th Euler number, i.e.,

$$\frac{2}{\exp(x) + \exp(-x)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_n}{n!} x^n.$$

Letting $q \rightarrow 1$ in Theorem 1.4, one sees that this assertion is equivalent to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5.1. *For any integer $m \geq 1$, the coefficient of x^m in (5.1) is equal to*

$$\sum_{l_1, \dots, l_m=0}^1 (-1)^{l_1+\dots+l_m} \frac{(\frac{5}{4})_{l_1}^2 \cdots (\frac{5}{4})_{l_1+\dots+l_{m-1}}^2 (\frac{1}{2})_{l_1+\dots+l_m}^3}{(\frac{1}{4})_{l_1}^2 \cdots (\frac{1}{4})_{l_1+\dots+l_m}^2 (1)_{l_1+\dots+l_m}}.$$

The author and Wang [23] have proved (1.9) for $s = 1$ by establishing the following q -analogue: for odd n ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1] \frac{(q; q^2)_k^4}{(q^2; q^2)_k^4} \equiv [n] q^{(1-n)/2} + \frac{(n^2-1)(1-q)^2}{24} [n]^3 q^{(1-n)/2} \pmod{[n] \Phi_n(q)^3}. \quad (5.2)$$

The corresponding q -analogue of (1.9) for $s = 1$ was also conjectured by the author and Wang [23, Conjecture 5.1] and has been confirmed by the author and Schlosser in the proof of [21, Theorem 12.9].

Motivated by the congruence (5.2), we would like to propose the following generalization of Theorem 1.4, which is also a complete q -analogue of Conjecture 1.3.

Conjecture 5.2. *Let n and s be positive odd integers with $n \geq (s + 1)/2$. Let $B_s(q)$ be given by (1.13). Then, modulo $[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^3$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^m [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^4}{(q^4; q^4)_k^4} q^{(2-2s)k} \\ & \equiv [n]_{q^2} q^{1-n} B_s(q) + \frac{(n^2-1)(1-q^2)^2}{24} [n]_{q^2}^3 q^{1-n} B_s(q), \end{aligned}$$

where $m = (n-1)/2$ or $n-1$.

Similarly, we have the following generalization of Theorem 4.3, which is also a complete q -analogue of [16, Conjecture 5.2].

Conjecture 5.3. *Let n and s be positive odd integers with $n \geq (s-1)/2$. Let $D_s(q)$ be given by (4.9). Then, modulo $[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^3$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^M [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^4}{(q^4; q^4)_k^4} q^{(10-2s)k} \\ & \equiv [n]_{q^2} q^{1-n} D_s(q) + \frac{(n^2-1)(1-q^2)^2}{24} [n]_{q^2}^3 q^{1-n} D_s(q), \end{aligned}$$

where $M = (n+1)/2$ or $n-1$.

There is a stronger version of Conjecture 5.3 for $s = 1, 3$. Using the q -WZ method [38], the author and Schlosser [19] have proved that

$$\sum_{k=0}^M [4k-1] \frac{(q^{-1}; q^2)_k^4}{(q^2; q^2)_k^4} q^{4k} \equiv -(1+3q+q^2)[n]^4 \pmod{[n]^4 \Phi_n(q)}.$$

Moreover, the author [13] conjectured that

$$\sum_{k=0}^M [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^2 \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^4}{(q^4; q^4)_k^4} q^{4k} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]_{q^2}^4}.$$

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to the anonymous referee for very helpful comments on a previous version of this paper. This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11771175).

References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, Problems and prospects for basic hypergeometric functions, in: Theory and Application for Basic Hypergeometric Functions, R.A. Askey, ed., Math. Res. Center, Univ. Wisconsin, Publ. No. 35, Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 191–224.
- [2] G. Bauer, Von den coefficienten der Reihen von Kugelfunctionen einer variabeln, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 56 (1859), 101–121.
- [3] J.M. Borwein and P.B. Borwein, Pi and the AGM, volume 4 of Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1998.
- [4] N.J. Calkin, Factors of sums of powers of binomial coefficients, *Acta Arith.* 86 (1998), 17–26.
- [5] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic hypergeometric series, second edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 96, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [6] O. Gorodetsky, q -Congruences, with applications to supercongruences and the cyclic sieving phenomenon, *Int. J. Number Theory* 15 (2019), 1919–1968.
- [7] C.-Y. Gu and V.J.W. Guo, q -Analogues of two supercongruences of Z.-W. Sun, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, to appear.
- [8] C.-Y. Gu and V.J.W. Guo, Proof of two conjectures on supercongruences involving central binomial coefficients, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [9] J. Guillera, WZ pairs and q -analogues of Ramanujan series for $1/\pi$, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 24 (2018), 1871–1879.
- [10] V.J.W. Guo, Some generalizations of a supercongruence of van Hamme, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 28 (2017), 888–899.
- [11] V.J.W. Guo, A q -analogue of a Ramanujan-type supercongruence involving central binomial coefficients, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 458 (2018), 590–600.
- [12] V.J.W. Guo, q -Analogues of the (E.2) and (F.2) supercongruences of Van Hamme, *Ramanujan J.* 49 (2019), 531–544.
- [13] V.J.W. Guo, Common q -analogues of some different supercongruences, *Results Math.* 74 (2019), Art. 131.
- [14] V.J.W. Guo, F. Jouhet, and J. Zeng, Factors of alternating sums of products of binomial and q -binomial coefficients, *Acta Arith.* 127 (2007), 17–31.
- [15] V.J.W. Guo, J.-C. Liu, q -Analogues of two Ramanujan-type formulas for $1/\pi$, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 24 (2018), 1368–1373.
- [16] V.J.W. Guo and J.-C. Liu, Some congruences related to a congruence of Van Hamme, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, in press; <https://doi.org/10.1080/10652469.2019.1685991>
- [17] V.J.W. Guo, H. Pan and Y. Zhang, The Rodriguez-Villegas type congruences for truncated q -hypergeometric functions, *J. Number Theory* 174 (2017), 358–368.
- [18] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Some new q -congruences for truncated basic hypergeometric series, *Symmetry* 11(2) (2019), Art. 268.
- [19] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Proof of a basic hypergeometric supercongruence modulo the fifth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 25 (2019), 921–929.

- [20] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Some new q -congruences for truncated basic hypergeometric series: even powers, *Results Math.* 75 (2020), Art. 1.
- [21] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Some q -supercongruences from transformation formulas for basic hypergeometric series, *Constr. Approx.*, to appear.
- [22] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, A family of q -hypergeometric congruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, *Israel J. Math.*, to appear.
- [23] V.J.W. Guo and S.-D. Wang, Some congruences involving fourth powers of central q -binomial coefficients, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, in press; <https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2018.96>
- [24] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, A q -microscope for supercongruences, *Adv. Math.* 346 (2019), 329–358.
- [25] Q.-H. Hou, Y.-P. Mu, and D. Zeilberger, Polynomial reduction and supercongruences, *J. Symbolic Comput.*, in press; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsc.2019.11.004>
- [26] A. Jana and G. Kalita, Supercongruences for sums involving rising factorial $(\frac{1}{\ell})_k^3$, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 30 (2019), 683–692.
- [27] J.-C. Liu, Semi-automated proof of supercongruences on partial sums of hypergeometric series, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 93 (2019), 221–229.
- [28] L. Long, Hypergeometric evaluation identities and supercongruences, *Pacific J. Math.* 249 (2011), 405–418.
- [29] D. McCarthy and R. Osburn, A p -adic analogue of a formula of Ramanujan, *Arch. Math.* 91 (2008), 492–504.
- [30] E. Mortenson, A p -adic supercongruence conjecture of van Hamme, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 136 (2008), 4321–4328.
- [31] H.-X. Ni and H. Pan, Some symmetric q -congruences modulo the square of a cyclotomic polynomial, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 481 (2020), Art. 123372.
- [32] A. Straub, Supercongruences for polynomial analogs of the Apéry numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 147 (2019), 1023–1036.
- [33] Z.-W. Sun, A refinement of a congruence result by van Hamme and Mortenson, *Illinois J. Math.* 56 (2012), 967–979.
- [34] H. Swisher, On the supercongruence conjectures of van Hamme, *Res. Math. Sci.* (2015) 2:18.
- [35] R. Tauraso, Some q -analogs of congruences for central binomial sums, *Colloq. Math.* 133 (2013), 133–143.
- [36] L. Van Hamme, Some conjectures concerning partial sums of generalized hypergeometric series, in: p -Adic functional analysis (Nijmegen, 1996), *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.* 192, Dekker, New York, 1997, pp. 223–236.
- [37] S.-D. Wang, Some supercongruences involving $\binom{2k}{k}^4$, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 24 (2018), 1375–1383.
- [38] H.S. Wilf and D. Zeilberger, An algorithmic proof theory for hypergeometric (ordinary and “ q ”) multisum/integral identities, *Invent. Math.* 108 (1992), 575–633.
- [39] W. Zudilin, On a combinatorial problem of Asmus Schmidt, *Electron. J. Combin.* 11 (2004), #R22.
- [40] W. Zudilin, Ramanujan-type supercongruences, *J. Number Theory* 129 (2009), 1848–1857.