

# Factors of certain basic hypergeometric sums

Jian Cao<sup>1</sup>, Victor J. W. Guo<sup>2</sup>, Xiao Yu<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 311121  
People's Republic of China

21caojian@hznu.edu.cn, yx@stu.hznu.edu.cn

<sup>2</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huaiyin Normal University, Huai'an 223300  
People's Republic of China

jwguo@math.ecnu.edu.cn

**Abstract.** We prove that certain truncated basic hypergeometric series contain the factor  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ , where  $\Phi_n(q)$  is the  $n$ -th cyclotomic polynomial. This result may be regarded as a generalization of Theorem 1.1 in [J. Math. Anal. Appl. 476 (2019), 851–859].

*Keywords:* supercongruence; basic hypergeometric series; cyclotomic polynomials;  $q$ -binomial theorem.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications:* 33D15, 11A07, 11B65

## 1. Introduction

Rodriguez-Villegas [11] numerically found a number of interesting supercongruences related to hypergeometric families of Calabi–Yau manifolds. The simplest one of his finds can be stated as follows: for any odd prime  $p$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^2}{k!^2} \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p^2}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $(a)_n = a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)$  denotes the rising factorial. The first proof of this supercongruence was given by Mortenson [9]. The second author and Zeng [7] established the following  $q$ -analogue of (1.1):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(q; q^2)_k^2}{(q^2; q^2)_k^2} q^{2k} \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2} q^{(p^2-1)/4} \pmod{[p]^2} \quad \text{for any odd prime } p. \quad (1.2)$$

Here and in what follows, for  $n \geq 0$ ,  $(a; q)_n = (1-a)(1-aq)\cdots(1-aq^{n-1})$  is the  $q$ -shifted factorial, and  $[n] = (1-q^n)/(1-q)$  is the  $q$ -integer. For convenience, we also adopt the abbreviated notation  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; q)_n = (a_1; q)_n(a_2; q)_n\cdots(a_m; q)_n$ . The second author [4] obtained an extension of (1.1): Let  $d \geq 2$  and  $r \leq d-2$  be integers subject to

---

\*Corresponding author.

$\gcd(r, d) = 1$ . Then, for all positive integers  $n$  with  $n \equiv -r \pmod{d}$  and  $n \geq d - r$ , there holds

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(q^r; q^d)_k^d q^{dk}}{(q^d; q^d)_k^d} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \quad (1.3)$$

Here  $\Phi_n(q)$  denotes the  $n$ -th *cyclotomic polynomial* in  $q$ , which can be factorized as

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \gcd(k, n) = 1}} (q - \zeta^k),$$

where  $\zeta$  is an  $n$ -th primitive root of unity. On the other hand, Deines et al. [2] gave another generalization of (1.1): for any integer  $d > 1$  and prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{d-1}{k}^d}{k!^d} \equiv -\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^d \pmod{p^2}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\Gamma_p(x)$  denotes the  $p$ -adic Gamma function. Wang and Pan [13] further proved that, for  $d \geq 3$ , the supercongruence (1.4) also holds modulo  $p^3$ , confirming a conjecture in [2]. A  $q$ -analogue of (1.4) was given by the second author [5]. For more recent  $q$ -supercongruences, we refer the reader to [3, 6, 8, 10, 14].

The objective of this paper is to give a generalization of (1.3). Our first result is a generalization of (1.3) for  $d = 2$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $r$  be a negative odd integer. Let  $n$  and  $s$  be integers satisfying  $n \equiv -r \pmod{2}$ ,  $n \geq 2 - r$ , and  $0 \leq s \leq (n - 2 + r)/4$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=s}^{n-s-1} \frac{(q^r; q^2)_{k-s} (q^r; q^2)_{k+s} q^{2k}}{(q^2; q^2)_{k-s} (q^2; q^2)_{k+s}} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \quad (1.5)$$

*In particular, if  $p$  is a prime satisfying  $p \equiv -r \pmod{2}$ ,  $p \geq 2 - r$  and  $s \leq (p - 2 + r)/4$ , then*

$$\sum_{k=s}^{p-s-1} \frac{\binom{r}{2}_{k-s} \binom{r}{2}_{k+s}}{(k-s)!(k+s)!} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (1.6)$$

Our second result is the following generalization of (1.3) for  $d = 3$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $r \leq 1$  be an integer coprime with 3. Let  $n$  and  $s$  be integers satisfying  $n \equiv -r \pmod{3}$ ,  $n \geq 3 - r$ , and  $0 \leq s \leq (n - 3 + r)/6$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=s}^{n-s-1} \frac{(q^r; q^3)_{k-s} (q^r; q^3)_{k+s} (q^r; q^3)_k q^{3k}}{(q^3; q^3)_{k-s} (q^3; q^3)_{k+s} (q^3; q^3)_k} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \quad (1.7)$$

In particular, if  $p$  is a prime satisfying  $p \equiv -r \pmod{3}$ ,  $p \geq 3 - r$  and  $s \leq (p - 3 + r)/6$ , then

$$\sum_{k=s}^{p-s-1} \frac{\binom{r}{3}_{k-s} \binom{r}{3}_{k+s} \binom{r}{3}_k}{(k-s)!(k+s)!k!} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (1.8)$$

The last result of ours is the following generalization of (1.3) for  $d \geq 4$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $d \geq 4$  be an integer. Let  $r \leq d-2$  be an integer such that  $\gcd(r, d) = 1$ . Then, for all integers  $n$  and  $s$  with  $n \equiv -r \pmod{d}$ ,  $n \geq d - r$  and  $0 \leq s \leq (n - d + r)/d$ ,*

$$\sum_{k=s}^{n-s-1} \frac{(q^r; q^d)_{k-s} (q^r; q^d)_{k+s} (q^r; q^d)_k^{d-2}}{(q^d; q^d)_{k-s} (q^d; q^d)_{k+s} (q^d; q^d)_k^{d-2}} q^{dk} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \quad (1.9)$$

In particular, if  $p$  is a prime satisfying  $p \equiv -r \pmod{d}$ ,  $p \geq d - r$  and  $s \leq (p - d + r)/d$ , then

$$\sum_{k=s}^{p-s-1} \frac{\binom{r}{d}_{k-s} \binom{r}{d}_{k+s} \binom{r}{d}_k^{d-2}}{(k-s)!(k+s)!k!^{d-2}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (1.10)$$

We shall prove Theorems 1.1–1.3 by using the *creative microscoping* method devised by the second author and Zudilin [8]. More precisely, in order to prove the  $q$ -supercongruences (1.5), (1.7) and (1.9), we shall first establish their generalizations with an extra parameter  $a$  so that the generalized  $q$ -congruences hold modulo  $(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)$ , then the desired  $q$ -supercongruences follow by letting  $a = 1$  in these parametric  $q$ -congruences.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first establish the following parametric generalization of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $r$  be a negative odd integer. Let  $n$  and  $s$  be integers satisfying  $n \equiv -r \pmod{2}$ ,  $n \geq 2 - r$ , and  $0 \leq s \leq (n - 2 + r)/4$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=s}^{n-s-1} \frac{(aq^r; q^2)_{k-s} (q^r/a; q^2)_{k+s}}{(q^2; q^2)_{k-s} (q^2; q^2)_{k+s}} q^{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)}. \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* For  $a = q^{-n}$ , the left-hand side of (2.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-2s-1} \frac{(q^{r-n}; q^2)_k (q^{r+n}; q^2)_{k+2s}}{(q^2; q^2)_k (q^2; q^2)_{k+2s}} q^{2k+2s} \\ &= \frac{(q^{r+n}; q^2)_{2s}}{(q^2; q^2)_{2s}} q^{2s} \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/2} \frac{(q^{r-n}; q^2)_k (q^{4s+r+n}; q^2)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k (q^{4s+2}; q^2)_k} q^{2k}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have realized the fact that  $(q^{r-n}; q^2)_k = 0$  for  $k > (n-r)/2$ , and  $0 < (n-r)/2 \leq n - 2s - 1$ . Let

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}}$$

be the  $q$ -binomial coefficient. Then

$$\frac{(q^{r-n}; q^2)_k q^{2k}}{(q^2; q^2)_k} = (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} (n-r)/2 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} q^{2\binom{(n-r)/2-k}{2} - 2\binom{(n-r)/2}{2}}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\frac{(q^{4s+n+r}; q^2)_k}{(q^{4s+2}; q^2)_k} = \frac{(q^{4s+2+2k}; q^2)_{(n+r-2)/2}}{(q^{4s+2}; q^2)_{(n+r-2)/2}}. \quad (2.2)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=s}^{n-s-1} \frac{(q^{r-n}; q^2)_{k-s} (q^{r+n}; q^2)_{k+s}}{(q^2; q^2)_{k-s} (q^2; q^2)_{k+s}} q^{2k} \\ &= \frac{(q^{r+n}; q^2)_{2s}}{(q^2; q^2)_{2s}} q^{2s} \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/2} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} (n-r)/2 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} q^{2\binom{(n-r)/2-k}{2} - 2\binom{(n-r)/2}{2}} \frac{(q^{4s+2+2k}; q^2)_{(n+r-2)/2}}{(q^{4s+2}; q^2)_{(n+r-2)/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Recall that the finite form of the  $q$ -binomial theorem (see, for instance, [1, p. 36]) can be written as

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k}{2}} z^k = (z; q)_n.$$

Taking  $z = q^{-j}$  and replacing  $k$  by  $n - k$  in the above equation, we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{n-k}{2} + jk} = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq n-1. \quad (2.4)$$

Since  $(q^{4s+2+2k}; q^2)_{(n+r-2)/2}$  is a polynomial in  $q^{2k}$  of degree  $(n+r-2)/2 = (n-r)/2 - (1-r) \leq (n-r)/2 - 1$ , we conclude that the right-hand side of (2.3) vanishes. This proves that the  $q$ -congruence (2.1) holds modulo  $1 - aq^n$ .

For  $a = q^n$ , the left-hand side of (2.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-2s-1} \frac{(q^{r+n}; q^2)_k (q^{r-n}; q^2)_{k+2s}}{(q^2; q^2)_k (q^2; q^2)_{k+2s}} q^{2k+2s} \\ &= \frac{(q^{r-n}; q^2)_{2s}}{(q^2; q^2)_{2s}} q^{2s} \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/2-2s} \frac{(q^{r+n}; q^2)_k (q^{4s+r-n}; q^2)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k (q^{4s+2}; q^2)_k} q^{2k}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $(q^{4s+r-n}; q^2)_k = 0$  for  $k > (n-r)/2 - 2s$ , and  $0 < (n-r)/2 - 2s \leq n - 2s - 1$ . Noticing that

$$\frac{(q^{4s+r-n}; q^2)_k q^{2k}}{(q^2; q^2)_k} = (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} (n-r)/2 - 2s \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} q^{2\binom{(n-r)/2 - 2s - k}{2} - 2\binom{(n-r)/2 - 2s}{2}},$$

and

$$\frac{(q^{r+n}; q^2)_k}{(q^{4s+2}; q^2)_k} = \frac{(q^{4s+2+2k}; q^2)_{(n+r-2)/2-2s}}{(q^{4s+2}; q^2)_{(n+r-2)/2-2s}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=s}^{n-s-1} \frac{(q^{r+n}; q^2)_{k-s} (q^{r-n}; q^2)_{k+s}}{(q^2; q^2)_{k-s} (q^2; q^2)_{k+s}} q^{2k} \\ &= \frac{(q^{r-n}; q^2)_{2s}}{(q^2; q^2)_{2s}} q^{2s} \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/2-2s} \frac{(q^{4s+2+2k}; q^2)_{(n+r-2)/2-2s}}{(q^{4s+2}; q^2)_{(n+r-2)/2-2s}} \\ & \quad \times (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} (n-r)/2 - 2s \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} q^{2\binom{(n-r)/2 - 2s - k}{2} - 2\binom{(n-r)/2 - 2s}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Since  $(q^{4s+2+2k}; q^2)_{(n+r-2)/2-2s}$  is a polynomial in  $q^{2k}$  of degree  $(n+r-2)/2 - 2s \leq (n-r)/2 - 2s - 1$ , by (2.4), we deduce that the right-hand side of (2.5) vanishes. This proves that (2.1) holds modulo  $a - q^n$ .

Noticing that  $1 - aq^n$  and  $a - q^n$  are coprime polynomials in  $q$ , we complete the proof of (2.1).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* When  $a = 1$ , the polynomial  $(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n) = (1 - q^n)^2$  has the factor  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ . Furthermore, the denominators of the left-hand side of (2.1) are all coprime with  $\Phi_n(q)$ . The proof of (1.5) then follows from taking  $a = 1$  in (2.1). Finally, letting  $n = p$  be a prime, taking the limits as  $q \rightarrow 1$  in both sides of (1.5), we arrive at (1.6).  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Similarly, we first give a parametric generalization of Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $r \leq 1$  be an integer coprime with 3. Let  $n$  and  $s$  be integers satisfying  $n \equiv -r \pmod{3}$ ,  $n \geq 3 - r$ , and  $0 \leq s \leq (n - 3 + r)/6$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=s}^{n-s-1} \frac{(a^2 q^r; q^3)_{k-s} (q^r; q^3)_{k+s} (q^r/a^2; q^3)_k}{(q^3; q^3)_{k-s} (aq^3; q^3)_{k+s} (q^3/a; q^3)_k} q^{3k} \equiv 0 \pmod{(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)}. \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* For  $a = q^{-n}$ , the left-hand side of (3.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-2s-1} \frac{(q^{r-2n}; q^3)_k (q^r; q^3)_{k+2s} (q^{r+2n}; q^3)_{k+s}}{(q^3; q^3)_k (q^{3-n}; q^3)_{k+2s} (q^{3+n}; q^3)_{k+s}} q^{3k+3s} \\ &= \frac{(q^r; q^3)_{2s} (q^{r+2n}; q^3)_s}{(q^{3-n}; q^3)_{2s} (q^{3+n}; q^3)_s} q^{3s} \sum_{k=0}^{(2n-r)/3} \frac{(q^{r-2n}; q^3)_k (q^{6s+r}; q^3)_k (q^{3s+r+2n}; q^3)_k}{(q^3; q^3)_k (q^{6s+3-n}; q^3)_k (q^{3s+3+n}; q^3)_k} q^{3k}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $(q^{r-2n}; q^3)_k = 0$  for  $k > (2n-r)/3$ , and  $0 < (2n-r)/3 \leq n-2s-1$ . Using

$$\frac{(q^{r-2n}; q^3)_k q^{3k}}{(q^3; q^3)_k} = (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} (2n-r)/3 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^3} q^{3 \binom{(2n-r)/3-k}{2} - 3 \binom{(2n-r)/3}{2}},$$

and relations similar to (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=s}^{n-s-1} \frac{(q^{r-2n}; q^3)_{k-s} (q^r; q^3)_{k+s} (q^{r+2n}; q^3)_k}{(q^3; q^3)_{k-s} (q^{3-n}; q^3)_{k+s} (q^{3+n}; q^3)_k} q^{3k} \\ &= \frac{(q^r; q^3)_{2s} (q^{r+2n}; q^3)_s}{(q^{3-n}; q^3)_{2s} (q^{3+n}; q^3)_s} q^{3s} \sum_{k=0}^{(2n-r)/3} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} (2n-r)/3 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^3} q^{3 \binom{(2n-r)/3-k}{2} - 3 \binom{(2n-r)/3}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^{3s+3+n+3k}; q^3)_{(n+r-3)/3} (q^{6s+3-n+3k}; q^3)_{(n+r-3)/3}}{(q^{3s+3+n}; q^3)_{(n+r-3)/3} (q^{6s+3-n}; q^3)_{(n+r-3)/3}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Since  $(q^{3s+3+n+3k}; q^3)_{(n+r-3)/3} (q^{6s+3-n+3k}; q^3)_{(n+r-3)/3}$  is a polynomial in  $q^{3k}$  of degree  $2(n+r-3)/3 \leq (2n-r)/3 - 1$ . In view of (2.4), the right-hand side of (3.2) vanishes. This proves that the  $q$ -congruence (3.1) holds modulo  $1 - aq^n$ .

Similarly, for  $a = q^n$ , the left-hand side of (3.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-2s-1} \frac{(q^{r+2n}; q^3)_k (q^r; q^3)_{k+2s} (q^{r-2n}; q^3)_{k+s}}{(q^3; q^3)_k (q^{3+n}; q^3)_{k+2s} (q^{3-n}; q^3)_{k+s}} q^{3k+3s} \\ &= \frac{(q^r; q^3)_{2s} (q^{r-2n}; q^3)_s}{(q^{3+n}; q^3)_{2s} (q^{3-n}; q^3)_s} q^{3s} \sum_{k=0}^{(2n-r)/3-s} \frac{(q^{r+2n}; q^3)_k (q^{6s+r}; q^3)_k (q^{3s+r-2n}; q^3)_k}{(q^3; q^3)_k (q^{6s+3+n}; q^3)_k (q^{3s+3-n}; q^3)_k} q^{3k}, \\ &= \frac{(q^r; q^3)_{2s} (q^{r-2n}; q^3)_s}{(q^{3+n}; q^3)_{2s} (q^{3-n}; q^3)_s} q^{3s} \sum_{k=0}^{(2n-r)/3-s} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} (2n-r)/3-s \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^3} q^{3 \binom{(2n-r)/3-s-k}{2} - 3 \binom{(2n-r)/3-s}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^{6s+3+n+3k}; q^3)_{(n+r-3)/3-2s} (q^{3s+3-n+3k}; q^3)_{(n+r-3)/3+s}}{(q^{6s+3+n}; q^3)_{(n+r-3)/3-2s} (q^{3s+3-n}; q^3)_{(n+r-3)/3+s}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Note that  $(q^{6s+3+n+3k}; q^3)_{(n+r-3)/3-2s} (q^{3s+3-n+3k}; q^3)_{(n+r-3)/3+s}$  is a polynomial in  $q^{3k}$  of degree  $2(n+r-3)/3 - s \leq (2n-r)/3 - s - 1$ . In view of (2.4), the right-hand side of (3.3) vanishes. This proves that the  $q$ -congruence (3.1) holds modulo  $1 - aq^n$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Letting  $a = 1$  in (3.1), we immediately obtain (1.7). Moreover, for  $n = p$  a prime, taking the limits as  $q \rightarrow 1$  in both sides of (1.7), we are led to (1.8).  $\square$

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Like before, we need to establish a parametric generalization of Theorem 1.3. However, this time the parametric form is more complicated.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $d, r, n$  be given as in the conditions of Theorem 1.3. Then, modulo  $(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)$ ,*

$$\sum_{k=s}^{n-s-1} \frac{(q^r; q^d)_{k-s} (a^{d-1}q^r; q^d)_{k+s} (a^{d-3}q^r, \dots, a^2q^r; q^d)_k (a^{1-d}q^r, a^{3-d}q^r, \dots, a^{-2}q^r; q^d)_k}{(q^d; q^d)_{k-s} (a^{d-2}q^d; q^d)_{k+s} (a^{d-4}q^d, \dots, aq^d; q^d)_k (a^{2-d}q^d, a^{4-d}q^d, \dots, a^{-1}q^d; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \equiv 0, \quad (4.1)$$

if  $d$  is odd, and

$$\sum_{k=s}^{n-s-1} \frac{(aq^r; q^d)_{k-s} (a^3q^r; q^d)_{k+s} (a^5q^r, \dots, a^{d-1}q^r; q^d)_k (a^{1-d}q^r, a^{3-d}q^r, \dots, a^{-1}q^r; q^d)_k}{(q^d; q^d)_{k-s} (a^{-2}q^d; q^d)_{k+s} (a^{2-d}q^d, \dots, a^{-4}q^d; q^d)_k (a^{d-2}q^d, a^{d-4}q^d, \dots, q^d; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \equiv 0, \quad (4.2)$$

if  $d$  is even.

*Proof.* Since  $\gcd(r, d) = 1$  and  $n \equiv -r \pmod{d}$ , we know that  $\gcd(d, n) = 1$ . Thus, none of the numbers  $d, 2d, \dots, (n-1)d$  are divisible by  $n$ , and so the denominators of the left-hand sides of (4.1) and (4.2) do not have the factor  $1 - aq^n$  nor  $1 - a^{-1}q^n$ . Hence, for  $a = q^{-n}$ , the left-hand side of (4.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(q^{r-(d-1)n}; q^d)_{2s} (q^{r-(d-3)n}, \dots, q^{r-2n}; q^d)_s (q^{r-(1-d)n}, q^{r-(3-d)n}, \dots, q^{r+2n}; q^d)_s}{(q^{d-(d-2)n}; q^d)_{2s} (q^{d-(d-4)n}, \dots, q^{d-n}; q^d)_s (q^{d-(2-d)n}, q^{d-(4-d)n}, \dots, q^{d+n}; q^d)_s} q^{ds} \\ & \times \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{dn-n-r}{d}-2s} \frac{(q^r; q^d)_k (q^{2ds+r-(d-1)n}; q^d)_k (q^{ds+r-(d-3)n}, \dots, q^{ds+r-2n}; q^d)_k}{(q^d; q^d)_k (q^{2ds+d-(d-2)n}; q^d)_k (q^{ds+d-(d-4)n}, \dots, q^{ds+d-n}; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \\ & \times \frac{(q^{ds+r-(1-d)n}, q^{ds+r-(3-d)n}, \dots, q^{ds+r+2n}; q^d)_k}{(q^{ds+d-(2-d)n}, q^{ds+d-(4-d)n}, \dots, q^{ds+d+n}; q^d)_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where we have used the fact that  $(q^{2ds+r-(d-1)n}; q^d)_k = 0$  for  $k > (dn - n - r)/d - 2s$ , and  $0 < (dn - n - r)/d - 2s \leq n - 1 - 2s$ . It is easy to see that

$$\frac{(q^{2ds+r-(d-1)n}; q^d)_k q^{dk}}{(q^d; q^d)_k} = (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} (dn - n - r)/d - 2s \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^d} q^{d \binom{k}{2} + (2ds+n+r-dn+d)k}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\frac{(q^{ds+r-(d-2j-1)n}; q^d)_k}{(q^{ds+d-(d-2j)n}; q^d)_k} = \frac{(q^{ds+d-(d-2j)n+dk}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d}}{(q^{ds+d-(d-2j)n}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d}} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq \frac{d-3}{2}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\frac{(q^{ds+(d-2j+1)n+r}; q^d)_k}{(q^{ds+(d-2j)n+d}; q^d)_k} = \frac{(q^{ds+(d-2j)n+dk+d}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d}}{(q^{ds+(d-2j)n+d}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq \frac{d-1}{2}, \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\frac{(q^{ds+r-(d-3)n}; q^d)_k}{(q^{2ds+d-(d-2)n}; q^d)_k} = \frac{(q^{2ds+d-(d-2)n+dk}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d-s}}{(q^{2ds+d-(d-2)n}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d-s}}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\frac{(q^r; q^d)_k}{(q^{ds+d-n}; q^d)_k} = \frac{(q^{ds+d-n+dk}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d-s}}{(q^{ds+d-n}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d-s}}, \quad (4.8)$$

Note that the right-hand sides of (4.5) and (4.6) are polynomials in  $q^{dk}$  of degree  $(n+r-d)/d$ , and the right-hand sides of (4.7) and (4.8) are polynomials in  $q^{dk}$  of degree  $(n+r-d)/d-s$ , and

$$d \binom{k}{2} + (2ds+n+r-dn+d)k = d \binom{(dn-n-r)/d-2s-k}{2} - d \binom{(dn-n-r)/d-2s}{2}.$$

We can write the summation in (4.3) as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(dn-n-r)/d-2s} (-1)^k q^{d \binom{(dn-n-r)/d-2s-k}{2} - d \binom{(dn-n-r)/d-2s}{2}} \left[ \binom{(dn-n-r)/d-2s}{k} \right]_{q^d} P(q^{dk}), \quad (4.9)$$

where  $P(q^{dk})$  is a polynomial in  $q^{dk}$  of degree  $(n+r-d)(d-3)/d + 2(n+r-d-ds)/d = (dn-n-r)/d-2s - (d-r-1) \leq (dn-n-r)/d-2s-1$ . In light of (2.4), we conclude that (4.9) is equal to 0 and so is (4.3). This means that (4.1) is true modulo  $1-aq^n$ .

For  $a = q^n$ , the left-hand side of (4.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q^{ds}(q^{r+(d-1)n}; q^d)_{2s} (q^{r+(d-3)n}, \dots, q^{r+2n}; q^d)_s (q^{r+(1-d)n}, q^{r+(3-d)n}, \dots, q^{r-2n}; q^d)_s}{(q^{d+(d-2)n}; q^d)_{2s} (q^{d+(d-4)n}, \dots, q^{d+n}; q^d)_s (q^{d+(2-d)n}, q^{d+(4-d)n}, \dots, q^{d-n}; q^d)_s} \\ & \times \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{dn-n-r}{d}-s} \frac{q^{dk} (q^r; q^d)_k (q^{2ds+r+(d-1)n}; q^d)_k (q^{ds+r+(d-3)n}, \dots, q^{ds+r+2n}; q^d)_k}{(q^d; q^d)_k (q^{2ds+d+(d-2)n}; q^d)_k (q^{ds+d+(d-4)n}, \dots, q^{ds+d+n}; q^d)_k} \\ & \times \frac{q^{ds+r+(1-d)n}, q^{ds+r+(3-d)n}, \dots, q^{ds+r-2n}; q^d)_k}{(q^{ds+d+(2-d)n}, q^{ds+d+(4-d)n}, \dots, q^{ds+d-n}; q^d)_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where we have used  $(q^{ds+r+(1-d)n}; q^d)_k = 0$  for  $k > (dn-n-r)/d-s$ , and  $0 < (dn-n-r)/d-s \leq n-1-2s$ . Similarly as before, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(q^{ds+r+(1-d)n}; q^d)_k q^{dk}}{(q^d; q^d)_k} = (-1)^k \left[ \binom{(dn-n-r)/d-s}{k} \right]_{q^d} q^{d \binom{k}{2} + (ds+n+r-dn+d)k}, \\ & \frac{(q^{ds+r-(d-2j-1)n}; q^d)_k}{(q^{ds+d-(d-2j)n}; q^d)_k} = \frac{(q^{ds+d-(d-2j)n+dk}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d}}{(q^{ds+d-(d-2j)n}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq \frac{d-3}{2}, \end{aligned}$$



$$\frac{(q^{ds+r+(d-2j+1)n}; q^d)_k}{(q^{ds+d+(d-2j)n}; q^d)_k} = \frac{(q^{ds+d+(d-2j)n+dk}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d}}{(q^{ds+d+(d-2j)n}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d}} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq \frac{d-1}{2},$$

and

$$\frac{(q^{2ds+r+(d-1)n}; q^d)_k}{(q^{2ds+d+(d-2)n}; q^d)_k} = \frac{(q^{2ds+d+(d-2)n+dk}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d}}{(q^{2ds+d+(d-2)n}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d}},$$

$$\frac{(q^r; q^d)_k}{(q^{ds+d-n}; q^d)_k} = \frac{(q^{ds+d-n+dk}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d-s}}{(q^{ds+d-n}; q^d)_{(n+r-d)/d-s}},$$

$$d \binom{k}{2} + (ds + n + r - dn + d)k = d \binom{(dn - n - r)/d - s - k}{2} - d \binom{(dn - n - r)/d - s}{2}.$$

Therefore, the summation in (4.10) can be written as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(dn-n-r)/d-s} (-1)^k q^{d \binom{(dn-n-r)/d-s-k}{2} - d \binom{(dn-n-r)/d-s}{2}} \left[ \begin{matrix} (dn-n-r)/d-s \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_{q^d} P(q^{dk}), \quad (4.11)$$

where  $P(q^{dk})$  is a polynomial in  $q^{dk}$  of degree  $(n+r-d)(d-2)/d + (n+r-ds-d)/d = (dn-n-r)/d - s - (d-r-1) \leq (dn-n-r)/d - s - 1$ . By (2.4), we conclude that (4.11) is equal to 0 and so is (4.10). This means that (4.1) is true modulo  $a - q^n$ .

In the same way, we can establish the  $q$ -congruence (4.2).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* It is well known that  $\Phi_n(q)$  is a factor of  $1 - q^m$  if and only if  $n$  divides  $m$ . Thus, when  $a = 1$  the denominators of (4.1) and (4.2) are all coprime with  $\Phi_n(q)$ . On the other hand, when  $a = 1$ , the polynomial  $(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n) = (1 - q^n)^2$  contains the factor  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ . Therefore, the  $q$ -supercongruence (1.9) follows by taking  $a = 1$  in (4.1) and (4.2). Finally, assume that  $n = p$  is a prime, taking the limits as  $q \rightarrow 1$  in both sides of (1.9), we get (1.10).  $\square$

**Data Availability Statements.** Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

## References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, The Theory of Partitions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [2] A. Deines, J.G. Fuselier, L. Long, H. Swisher, F.-T. Tu, Hypergeometric series, truncated hypergeometric series, and Gaussian hypergeometric functions, Directions in Number Theory, vol. 3, Assoc. Women Math. Ser., Springer, New York, 2016, pp. 125–159.
- [3] M. El Bachraoui, On supercongruences for truncated sums of squares of basic hypergeometric series, Ramanujan J. 54 (2021), 415–426.

- [4] V.J.W. Guo, Factors of some truncated basic hypergeometric series, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 476 (2019), 851–859.
- [5] V.J.W. Guo, Some  $q$ -supercongruences from Gasper’s Karlsson–Minton type summation, *Ramanujan J.* 60 (2023), 825–835.
- [6] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Some  $q$ -supercongruences from transformation formulas for basic hypergeometric series, *Constr. Approx.* 53 (2021), 155–200.
- [7] V.J.W. Guo, J. Zeng, Some  $q$ -analogues of supercongruences of Rodriguez-Villegas, *J. Number Theory* 145 (2014), 301–316.
- [8] V.J.W. Guo, W. Zudilin, A  $q$ -microscope for supercongruences, *Adv. Math.* 346 (2019), 329–358.
- [9] E. Mortenson, A supercongruence conjecture of Rodriguez-Villegas for a certain truncated hypergeometric function, *J. Number Theory* 99 (2003), 139–147.
- [10] H.-X. Ni and H. Pan, Some symmetric  $q$ -congruences modulo the square of a cyclotomic polynomial, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 481 (2020), Art. 123372.
- [11] F. Rodriguez-Villegas, Hypergeometric families of Calabi–Yau manifolds, in: *Calabi-Yau Varieties and Mirror Symmetry* (Toronto, ON, 2001), Fields Inst. Commun., 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 223–231.
- [12] Z.-W. Sun, On sums of Apéry polynomials and related congruences, *J. Number Theory* 132 (2012), 2673–2690.
- [13] C. Wang and H. Pan, Supercongruences concerning truncated hypergeometric series, *Math. Z.* 300 (2022), 161–177.
- [14] C. Wei, A  $q$ -supercongruence from a  $q$ -analogue of Whipple’s  ${}_3F_2$  summation formula, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 194 (2023), Art. 105705.