

Some Results on Fibred Algebraic Surfaces

JUN LU

Department of Mathematics
East China Normal University

2013. 7

1. Fibred surface

- **Fibration** $f : S \rightarrow C \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ holomorphic & surjective, S smooth surface, C smooth curve of genus b .
- **Fiber** $F_t := f^{-1}(t)$ connected,
- **Genus** of f : $g = g(F_t)$ is a constant for general $t \in C$.
- f **relatively minimal** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ all singular fibers contains no (-1) -curves.

1. Fibred surface

- **Fibration** $f : S \rightarrow C \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ holomorphic & surjective, S smooth surface, C smooth curve of genus b .
- **Fiber** $F_t := f^{-1}(t)$ connected,
- **Genus** of f : $g = g(F_t)$ is a constant for general $t \in C$.
- f **relatively minimal** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ all singular fibers contains no (-1) -curves.

1. Fibred surface

- **Fibration** $f : S \rightarrow C \xLeftrightarrow{\text{def}}$ holomorphic & surjective, S smooth surface, C smooth curve of genus b .
- **Fiber** $F_t := f^{-1}(t)$ connected,
- **Genus** of f : $g = g(F_t)$ is a constant for general $t \in C$.
- f **relatively minimal** $\xLeftrightarrow{\text{def}}$ all singular fibers contains no (-1) -curves.

1. Fibred surface

- **Fibration** $f : S \rightarrow C \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ holomorphic & surjective, S smooth surface, C smooth curve of genus b .
- **Fiber** $F_t := f^{-1}(t)$ connected,
- **Genus** of f : $g = g(F_t)$ is a constant for general $t \in C$.
- f **relatively minimal** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ all singular fibers contains no (-1) -curves.

1. Fibred surface

- **Fibration** $f : S \rightarrow C \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ holomorphic & surjective, S smooth surface, C smooth curve of genus b .
- **Fiber** $F_t := f^{-1}(t)$ connected,
- **Genus** of f : $g = g(F_t)$ is a constant for general $t \in C$.
- f **relatively minimal** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ all singular fibers contains no (-1) -curves.

2. Global Invariants

- Global invariants:

$$c_1^2(S), \quad c_2(S), \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_S), \quad q(S) := h^{1,0}(S), \quad h^{1,1}(S), \dots$$

- Noether Formula: $c_1^2(S) + c_2(S) = 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

- Relative invariants:

$$\begin{cases} K_f^2 &= c_1^2(S) - 8(g-1)(b-1), \\ e_f &= c_2(S) - 4(g-1)(b-1), \\ \chi_f &= \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - (g-1)(b-1) = \deg f_*\omega_{S/C}, \\ q_f &= q(S) - b. \end{cases}$$

- Relative Noether Formula: $K_f^2 + e_f = 12\chi_f$.

2. Global Invariants

- **Global invariants:**

$$c_1^2(S), \quad c_2(S), \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_S), \quad q(S) := h^{1,0}(S), \quad h^{1,1}(S), \dots$$

- **Noether Formula:** $c_1^2(S) + c_2(S) = 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

- **Relative invariants:**

$$\begin{cases} K_f^2 &= c_1^2(S) - 8(g-1)(b-1), \\ e_f &= c_2(S) - 4(g-1)(b-1), \\ \chi_f &= \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - (g-1)(b-1) = \deg f_*\omega_{S/C}, \\ q_f &= q(S) - b. \end{cases}$$

- **Relative Noether Formula:** $K_f^2 + e_f = 12\chi_f$.

2. Global Invariants

- **Global invariants:**

$$c_1^2(S), \quad c_2(S), \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_S), \quad q(S) := h^{1,0}(S), \quad h^{1,1}(S), \dots$$

- **Noether Formula:** $c_1^2(S) + c_2(S) = 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

- **Relative invariants:**

$$\begin{cases} K_f^2 &= c_1^2(S) - 8(g-1)(b-1), \\ e_f &= c_2(S) - 4(g-1)(b-1), \\ \chi_f &= \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - (g-1)(b-1) = \deg f_*\omega_{S/C}, \\ q_f &= q(S) - b. \end{cases}$$

- **Relative Noether Formula:** $K_f^2 + e_f = 12\chi_f$.

2. Global Invariants

- **Global invariants:**

$$c_1^2(S), \quad c_2(S), \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_S), \quad q(S) := h^{1,0}(S), \quad h^{1,1}(S), \dots$$

- **Noether Formula:** $c_1^2(S) + c_2(S) = 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

- **Relative invariants:**

$$\begin{cases} K_f^2 &= c_1^2(S) - 8(g-1)(b-1), \\ e_f &= c_2(S) - 4(g-1)(b-1), \\ \chi_f &= \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - (g-1)(b-1) = \deg f_*\omega_{S/C}, \\ q_f &= q(S) - b. \end{cases}$$

- **Relative Noether Formula:** $K_f^2 + e_f = 12\chi_f$.

2. Global Invariants

- **Global invariants:**

$$c_1^2(S), \quad c_2(S), \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_S), \quad q(S) := h^{1,0}(S), \quad h^{1,1}(S), \dots$$

- **Noether Formula:** $c_1^2(S) + c_2(S) = 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

- **Relative invariants:**

$$\begin{cases} K_f^2 &= c_1^2(S) - 8(g-1)(b-1), \\ e_f &= c_2(S) - 4(g-1)(b-1), \\ \chi_f &= \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - (g-1)(b-1) = \deg f_*\omega_{S/C}, \\ q_f &= q(S) - b. \end{cases}$$

- **Relative Noether Formula:** $K_f^2 + e_f = 12\chi_f$.

2. Global Invariants

- $g = 0$: Ruled surface.

$$K_f^2 = \chi_f = e_f = 0.$$

- $g = 1$: Elliptic fibration.

$$K_f^2 = 0, \quad e_f = 12\chi_f.$$

-

$$e_f = \sum_{F_t} e_{F_t}.$$

e_{F_t} topologic invariant of F_t .

2. Global Invariants

- $g = 0$: Ruled surface.

$$K_f^2 = \chi_f = e_f = 0.$$

- $g = 1$: Elliptic fibration.

$$K_f^2 = 0, \quad e_f = 12\chi_f.$$

-

$$e_f = \sum_{F_t} e_{F_t}.$$

e_{F_t} topologic invariant of F_t .

2. Global Invariants

- $g = 0$: **Ruled surface**.

$$K_f^2 = \chi_f = e_f = 0.$$

- $g = 1$: **Elliptic fibration**.

$$K_f^2 = 0, \quad e_f = 12\chi_f.$$

-

$$e_f = \sum_{F_t} e_{F_t}.$$

e_{F_t} topologic invariant of F_t .

2. Global Invariants

- $g = 0$: Ruled surface.

$$K_f^2 = \chi_f = e_f = 0.$$

- $g = 1$: Elliptic fibration.

$$K_f^2 = 0, \quad e_f = 12\chi_f.$$

-

$$e_f = \sum_{F_t} e_{F_t}.$$

e_{F_t} topologic invariant of F_t .

3. Classical Inequalities

Assume $g > 1$

- **Positivity**(Fujita, Parshin, Arakelov, Beauville):

$$K_f^2 \geq 0, \quad \chi_f \geq 0, \quad " = " \iff f \text{ local trivial}$$

- $e_f \geq 0$ (i.e., $12\chi_f \geq K_f^2$), $" = " \iff$ all fibers smooth
- **Relative Irregularity**: $0 \leq q_f \leq g$.
" $q_f = g$ " \iff f trivial.
- **Cornalba-Harris-Xiao Inequality**:

$$K_f^2 \geq \left(4 - \frac{4}{g}\right) \chi_f.$$

3. Classical Inequalities

Assume $g > 1$

- **Positivity**(Fujita, Parshin, Arakelov, Beauville):

$$K_f^2 \geq 0, \quad \chi_f \geq 0, \quad " = " \iff f \text{ local trivial}$$

- $e_f \geq 0$ (i.e., $12\chi_f \geq K_f^2$), $" = " \iff$ all fibers smooth
- **Relative Irregularity**: $0 \leq q_f \leq g$.
" $q_f = g$ " \iff f trivial.
- **Cornalba-Harris-Xiao Inequality**:

$$K_f^2 \geq \left(4 - \frac{4}{g}\right) \chi_f.$$

3. Classical Inequalities

Assume $g > 1$

- **Positivity**(Fujita, Parshin, Arakelov, Beauville):

$$K_f^2 \geq 0, \quad \chi_f \geq 0, \quad " = " \iff f \text{ local trivial}$$

- $e_f \geq 0$ (i.e., $12\chi_f \geq K_f^2$), $" = " \iff$ all fibers smooth
- **Relative Irregularity**: $0 \leq q_f \leq g$.
" $q_f = g$ " \iff f trivial.
- **Cornalba-Harris-Xiao Inequality**:

$$K_f^2 \geq \left(4 - \frac{4}{g}\right) \chi_f.$$

3. Classical Inequalities

Assume $g > 1$

- **Positivity**(Fujita, Parshin, Arakelov, Beauville):

$$K_f^2 \geq 0, \quad \chi_f \geq 0, \quad " = " \iff f \text{ local trivial}$$

- $e_f \geq 0$ (i.e., $12\chi_f \geq K_f^2$), $" = " \iff$ all fibers smooth

- **Relative Irregularity**: $0 \leq q_f \leq g$.

" $q_f = g$ " \iff f trivial.

- **Cornalba-Harris-Xiao Inequality**:

$$K_f^2 \geq \left(4 - \frac{4}{g}\right) \chi_f.$$

3. Classical Inequalities

Assume $g > 1$

- **Positivity**(Fujita, Parshin, Arakelov, Beauville):

$$K_f^2 \geq 0, \quad \chi_f \geq 0, \quad " = " \iff f \text{ local trivial}$$

- $e_f \geq 0$ (i.e., $12\chi_f \geq K_f^2$), $" = " \iff$ all fibers smooth

- **Relative Irregularity**: $0 \leq q_f \leq g$.

" $q_f = g$ " \iff f trivial.

- **Cornalba-Harris-Xiao Inequality**:

$$K_f^2 \geq \left(4 - \frac{4}{g}\right) \chi_f.$$

3. Classical Inequalities

Assume f non-isotrivial & semistable.

s = the number of singular fibers.

- **Vojta inequality** (Canonical class inequality):

$$K_f^2 \leq (2g - 2)(2b - 2 + s)$$

(S.-L. Tan, K.-F. Liu) " \leq " is strict.

- **Arakelov-Faltings inequality:**

$$\chi_f \leq \frac{g - q_f}{2} (2b - 2 + s) \leq \frac{g}{2} (2b - 2 + s).$$

- **Viehweg-Zuo inequality (2006):** $\mathcal{F} \subseteq f_* \omega_{S/C}^{\otimes \nu}$,

$$\frac{\deg \mathcal{F}}{\text{rk} \mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{\nu}{2} (2b - 2 + s).$$

3. Classical Inequalities

Assume f non-isotrivial & semistable.

s = the number of singular fibers.

- **Vojta inequality** (Canonical class inequality):

$$K_f^2 \leq (2g - 2)(2b - 2 + s)$$

(S.-L. Tan, K.-F. Liu) " \leq " is strict.

- **Arakelov-Faltings inequality**:

$$\chi_f \leq \frac{g - q_f}{2}(2b - 2 + s) \leq \frac{g}{2}(2b - 2 + s).$$

- **Viehweg-Zuo inequality (2006)**: $\mathcal{F} \subseteq f_*\omega_{S/C}^{\otimes \nu}$,

$$\frac{\deg \mathcal{F}}{\text{rk} \mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{\nu}{2}(2b - 2 + s).$$

3. Classical Inequalities

Assume f non-isotrivial & semistable.

s = the number of singular fibers.

- **Vojta inequality** (Canonical class inequality):

$$K_f^2 \leq (2g - 2)(2b - 2 + s)$$

(S.-L. Tan, K.-F. Liu) " \leq " is strict.

- **Arakelov-Faltings inequality:**

$$\chi_f \leq \frac{g - q_f}{2} (2b - 2 + s) \leq \frac{g}{2} (2b - 2 + s).$$

- **Viehweg-Zuo inequality (2006):** $\mathcal{F} \subseteq f_* \omega_{S/C}^{\otimes \nu}$,

$$\frac{\deg \mathcal{F}}{\text{rk} \mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{\nu}{2} (2b - 2 + s).$$

3. Classical Inequalities

Assume f non-isotrivial & semistable.

s = the number of singular fibers.

- **Vojta inequality** (Canonical class inequality):

$$K_f^2 \leq (2g - 2)(2b - 2 + s)$$

(S.-L. Tan, K.-F. Liu) " \leq " is strict.

- **Arakelov-Faltings inequality:**

$$\chi_f \leq \frac{g - q_f}{2} (2b - 2 + s) \leq \frac{g}{2} (2b - 2 + s).$$

- **Viehweg-Zuo inequality (2006):** $\mathcal{F} \subseteq f_* \omega_{S/C}^{\otimes \nu}$,

$$\frac{\deg \mathcal{F}}{\text{rk} \mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{\nu}{2} (2b - 2 + s).$$

4. $h^{1,1}$ Inequality

F_1, \dots, F_s all singular fibers.

- $\ell(F_i)$ = the number of irreducible components in F_i .
- $g(F_i)$ = sum of geometric genus of irreducible components.
- $NS(S)$ Neron-Severi group,

$$\rho(S) := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} NS(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

- (Beauville, 1981) $q_f \leq g(F_i)$.
- (Beauville, 1981)

$$h^{1,1}(S) \geq \rho(S) \geq 2 + \sum_{i=1}^s (\ell(F_i) - 1).$$

4. $h^{1,1}$ Inequality

F_1, \dots, F_s all singular fibers.

- $\ell(F_i)$ = the number of irreducible components in F_i .
- $g(F_i)$ = sum of geometric genus of irreducible components.
- $NS(S)$ Neron-Severi group,

$$\rho(S) := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} NS(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

- (Beauville, 1981) $q_f \leq g(F_i)$.
- (Beauville, 1981)

$$h^{1,1}(S) \geq \rho(S) \geq 2 + \sum_{i=1}^s (\ell(F_i) - 1).$$

4. $h^{1,1}$ Inequality

F_1, \dots, F_s all singular fibers.

- $\ell(F_i)$ = the number of irreducible components in F_i .
- $g(F_i)$ = sum of geometric genus of irreducible components.
- $NS(S)$ Neron-Severi group,

$$\rho(S) := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} NS(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

- (Beauville, 1981) $q_f \leq g(F_i)$.
- (Beauville, 1981)

$$h^{1,1}(S) \geq \rho(S) \geq 2 + \sum_{i=1}^s (\ell(F_i) - 1).$$

4. $h^{1,1}$ Inequality

F_1, \dots, F_s all singular fibers.

- $\ell(F_i)$ = the number of irreducible components in F_i .
- $g(F_i)$ = sum of geometric genus of irreducible components.
- $NS(S)$ **Neron-Severi group**,

$$\rho(S) := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} NS(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

- (Beauville, 1981) $q_f \leq g(F_i)$.
- (Beauville, 1981)

$$h^{1,1}(S) \geq \rho(S) \geq 2 + \sum_{i=1}^s (\ell(F_i) - 1).$$

4. $h^{1,1}$ Inequality

F_1, \dots, F_s all singular fibers.

- $\ell(F_i)$ = the number of irreducible components in F_i .
- $g(F_i)$ = sum of geometric genus of irreducible components.
- $NS(S)$ **Neron-Severi group**,

$$\rho(S) := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} NS(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

- (Beauville, 1981) $q_f \leq g(F_i)$.
- (Beauville, 1981)

$$h^{1,1}(S) \geq \rho(S) \geq 2 + \sum_{i=1}^s (\ell(F_i) - 1).$$

4. $h^{1,1}$ Inequality

F_1, \dots, F_s all singular fibers.

- $\ell(F_i)$ = the number of irreducible components in F_i .
- $g(F_i)$ = sum of geometric genus of irreducible components.
- $NS(S)$ **Neron-Severi group**,

$$\rho(S) := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} NS(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

- (Beauville, 1981) $q_f \leq g(F_i)$.
- (Beauville, 1981)

$$h^{1,1}(S) \geq \rho(S) \geq 2 + \sum_{i=1}^s (\ell(F_i) - 1).$$

4. $h^{1,1}$ Inequality

- $h^{1,1}$ inequality for a fibred surface

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. Yu, K. Zuo, 2013)

$$h^{1,1}(S) \geq 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^s (\ell(F_i) - 1).$$

- **Problem:** When does "=" hold?
- "=" & $b = 0 \implies$ **Mordell-Weil group** is finite

4. $h^{1,1}$ Inequality

- $h^{1,1}$ inequality for a fibred surface

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. Yu, K. Zuo, 2013)

$$h^{1,1}(S) \geq 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^s (\ell(F_i) - 1).$$

- **Problem:** When does "=" hold?
- "=" & $b = 0 \implies$ **Mordell-Weil group** is finite

4. $h^{1,1}$ Inequality

- $h^{1,1}$ inequality for a fibred surface

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. Yu, K. Zuo, 2013)

$$h^{1,1}(S) \geq 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^s (\ell(F_i) - 1).$$

- **Problem:** When does "=" hold?
- "=" & $b = 0 \implies$ Mordell-Weil group is finite

4. $h^{1,1}$ Inequality

- $h^{1,1}$ inequality for a fibred surface

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. Yu, K. Zuo, 2013)

$$h^{1,1}(S) \geq 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^s (\ell(F_i) - 1).$$

- **Problem:** When does "=" hold?
- "=" & $b = 0 \implies$ **Mordell-Weil group** is finite

4. $h^{1,1}$ Inequality

- What happens if S **does not admit** any fibration over a curve of genus $b \geq 1$?
- $h^{1,1}(S) \geq 2q(S) - 1$ (if $b \geq 2$)
- (R.Lazarsfeld, M.Popa, 2010)

$$h^{1,1}(S) \geq \begin{cases} 3q(S) - 2, & \text{if } q(S) \text{ is even,} \\ 3q(S) - 1, & \text{if } q(S) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

4. $h^{1,1}$ Inequality

- What happens if S **does not admit** any fibration over a curve of genus $b \geq 1$?
- $h^{1,1}(S) \geq 2q(S) - 1$ (if $b \geq 2$)
- (R.Lazarsfeld, M.Popa, 2010)

$$h^{1,1}(S) \geq \begin{cases} 3q(S) - 2, & \text{if } q(S) \text{ is even,} \\ 3q(S) - 1, & \text{if } q(S) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

4. $h^{1,1}$ Inequality

- What happens if S **does not admit** any fibration over a curve of genus $b \geq 1$?
- $h^{1,1}(S) \geq 2q(S) - 1$ (if $b \geq 2$)
- (R.Lazarsfeld, M.Popa, 2010)

$$h^{1,1}(S) \geq \begin{cases} 3q(S) - 2, & \text{if } q(S) \text{ is even,} \\ 3q(S) - 1, & \text{if } q(S) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

4. $h^{1,1}$ Inequality

- What happens if S **does not admit** any fibration over a curve of genus $b \geq 1$?
- $h^{1,1}(S) \geq 2q(S) - 1$ (if $b \geq 2$)
- (R.Lazarsfeld, M.Popa, 2010)

$$h^{1,1}(S) \geq \begin{cases} 3q(S) - 2, & \text{if } q(S) \text{ is even,} \\ 3q(S) - 1, & \text{if } q(S) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

5. χ_f formula (semistable)

- \tilde{s} = the number of singular fibers satisfying $g(F_i) < g$.
- $\tilde{s} \leq s$.
- f **semistable** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ all singular fibers are reduced nodal curves.
- f semistable \implies

\tilde{s} = the number of singular fibers with **non-compact jacobian**.

- **Viehweg-Zuo inequality**(semistable):

$$\chi_f \leq \frac{g - q_f}{2}(2b - 2 + \tilde{s}).$$

5. χ_f formula (semistale)

- \tilde{s} = the number of singular fibers satisfying $g(F_i) < g$.
- $\tilde{s} \leq s$.
- f semistable $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ all singular fibers are reduced nodal curves.
- f semistable \implies

\tilde{s} = the number of singular fibers with non-compact jacobian.

- Viehweg-Zuo inequality (semistable):

$$\chi_f \leq \frac{g - q_f}{2} (2b - 2 + \tilde{s}).$$

5. χ_f formula (semistale)

- \tilde{s} = the number of singular fibers satisfying $g(F_i) < g$.
- $\tilde{s} \leq s$.
- f semistable $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ all singular fibers are reduced nodal curves.
- f semistable \implies

\tilde{s} = the number of singular fibers with non-compact jacobian.

- Viehweg-Zuo inequality (semistable):

$$\chi_f \leq \frac{g - q_f}{2} (2b - 2 + \tilde{s}).$$

5. χ_f formula (semistale)

- \tilde{s} = the number of singular fibers satisfying $g(F_i) < g$.
- $\tilde{s} \leq s$.
- f **semistable** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ all singular fibers are reduced nodal curves.
- f semistable \implies

\tilde{s} = the number of singular fibers with **non-compact jacobian**.

- **Viehweg-Zuo inequality**(semistable):

$$\chi_f \leq \frac{g - q_f}{2}(2b - 2 + \tilde{s}).$$

5. χ_f formula (semistale)

- \tilde{s} = the number of singular fibers satisfying $g(F_i) < g$.
- $\tilde{s} \leq s$.
- f **semistable** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ all singular fibers are reduced nodal curves.
- f semistable \implies

\tilde{s} = the number of singular fibers with **non-compact jacobian**.

- Viehweg-Zuo inequality (semistable):

$$\chi_f \leq \frac{g - q_f}{2} (2b - 2 + \tilde{s}).$$

5. χ_f formula (semistable)

- \tilde{s} = the number of singular fibers satisfying $g(F_i) < g$.
- $\tilde{s} \leq s$.
- f **semistable** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ all singular fibers are reduced nodal curves.
- f semistable \implies

\tilde{s} = the number of singular fibers with **non-compact jacobian**.

- **Viehweg-Zuo inequality**(semistable):

$$\chi_f \leq \frac{g - q_f}{2} (2b - 2 + \tilde{s}).$$

5. χ_f formula (semistable)

- χ_f formula (semistable)

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. Yu, K. Zuo, 2013)

$$2\chi_f = (g - q_f)(2b - 2 + \tilde{s}) - A - B.$$

- $A := \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (g(F_i) - q_f) \geq 0$ (Beauville)
- $B = h^{1,1}(S) - 2q_f b - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^s (\ell(F_i) - 1) \geq 0$ ($h^{1,1}$ inequality).

5. χ_f formula (semistable)

- χ_f formula (semistable)

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. Yu, K. Zuo, 2013)

$$2\chi_f = (g - q_f)(2b - 2 + \tilde{s}) - A - B.$$

- $A := \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (g(F_i) - q_f) \geq 0$ (Beauville)
- $B = h^{1,1}(S) - 2q_f b - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^s (\ell(F_i) - 1) \geq 0$ ($h^{1,1}$ inequality).

5. χ_f formula (semistable)

- χ_f formula (semistable)

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. Yu, K. Zuo, 2013)

$$2\chi_f = (g - q_f)(2b - 2 + \tilde{s}) - A - B.$$

- $A := \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (g(F_i) - q_f) \geq 0$ (Beauville)
- $B = h^{1,1}(S) - 2q_f b - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^s (\ell(F_i) - 1) \geq 0$ ($h^{1,1}$ inequality).

5. χ_f formula (semistable)

- χ_f formula (semistable)

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. Yu, K. Zuo, 2013)

$$2\chi_f = (g - q_f)(2b - 2 + \tilde{s}) - A - B.$$

- $A := \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (g(F_i) - q_f) \geq 0$ (Beauville)
- $B = h^{1,1}(S) - 2q_f b - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^s (\ell(F_i) - 1) \geq 0$ ($h^{1,1}$ inequality).

5. χ_f formula (semistable)

- χ_f formula (semistable)

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. Yu, K. Zuo, 2013)

$$2\chi_f = (g - q_f)(2b - 2 + \tilde{s}) - A - B.$$

- $A := \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (g(F_i) - q_f) \geq 0$ (Beauville)
- $B = h^{1,1}(S) - 2q_f b - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^s (\ell(F_i) - 1) \geq 0$ ($h^{1,1}$ inequality).

6. Relations between Classical Inequalities

- χ_f formula & $h^{1,1}$ inequality
 \implies Viehweg-Zuo inequality
 \implies Arakelov-Faltings inequality.
- Viehweg-Zuo inequality (for subsheaf of $f_*\omega_{S/C}^{\otimes \nu}$)
 \implies Vojta inequality
 \iff Miyaoka-Yau inequality (for open surface)
- Vojta inequality & Cornalba-Harris-Xiao inequality
 \implies weaker Arakelov inequality

$$\chi_f < \frac{g}{2}(2b - 2 + s).$$

6. Relations between Classical Inequalities

- χ_f formula & $h^{1,1}$ inequality
 \implies Viehweg-Zuo inequality
 \implies Arakelov-Faltings inequality.
- Viehweg-Zuo inequality (for subsheaf of $f_*\omega_{S/C}^{\otimes \nu}$)
 \implies Vojta inequality
 \iff Miyaoka-Yau inequality (for open surface)
- Vojta inequality & Cornalba-Harris-Xiao inequality
 \implies weaker Arakelov inequality

$$\chi_f < \frac{g}{2}(2b - 2 + s).$$

6. Relations between Classical Inequalities

- χ_f formula & $h^{1,1}$ inequality
 \implies Viehweg-Zuo inequality
 \implies Arakelov-Faltings inequality.
- Viehweg-Zuo inequality (for subsheaf of $f_*\omega_{S/C}^{\otimes \nu}$)
 \implies Vojta inequality
 \iff Miyaoka-Yau inequality (for open surface)
- Vojta inequality & Cornalba-Harris-Xiao inequality
 \implies weaker Arakelov inequality

$$\chi_f < \frac{g}{2}(2b - 2 + s).$$

6. Relations between Classical Inequalities

- χ_f formula & $h^{1,1}$ inequality
 \implies Viehweg-Zuo inequality
 \implies Arakelov-Faltings inequality.
- Viehweg-Zuo inequality (for subsheaf of $f_*\omega_{S/C}^{\otimes \nu}$)
 \implies Vojta inequality
 \iff Miyaoka-Yau inequality (for open surface)
- Vojta inequality & Cornalba-Harris-Xiao inequality
 \implies weaker Arakelov inequality

$$\chi_f < \frac{g}{2}(2b - 2 + s).$$

6. Relations between Classical Inequalities

- χ_f formula & $h^{1,1}$ inequality
 \implies Viehweg-Zuo inequality
 \implies Arakelov-Faltings inequality.
- Viehweg-Zuo inequality (for subsheaf of $f_*\omega_{S/C}^{\otimes \nu}$)
 \implies Vojta inequality
 \iff Miyaoka-Yau inequality (for open surface)
- Vojta inequality & Cornalba-Harris-Xiao inequality
 \implies weaker Arakelov inequality

$$\chi_f < \frac{g}{2}(2b - 2 + s).$$

6. Relations between Classical Inequalities

- χ_f formula & $h^{1,1}$ inequality
 \implies Viehweg-Zuo inequality
 \implies Arakelov-Faltings inequality.
- Viehweg-Zuo inequality (for subsheaf of $f_*\omega_{S/C}^{\otimes \nu}$)
 \implies Vojta inequality
 \iff Miyaoka-Yau inequality (for open surface)
- Vojta inequality & Cornalba-Harris-Xiao inequality
 \implies weaker Arakelov inequality

$$\chi_f < \frac{g}{2}(2b - 2 + s).$$

6. Relations between Classical Inequalities

- χ_f formula & $h^{1,1}$ inequality
 \implies Viehweg-Zuo inequality
 \implies Arakelov-Faltings inequality.
- Viehweg-Zuo inequality (for subsheaf of $f_*\omega_{S/C}^{\otimes \nu}$)
 \implies Vojta inequality
 \iff Miyaoka-Yau inequality (for open surface)
- Vojta inequality & Cornalba-Harris-Xiao inequality
 \implies weaker Arakelov inequality

$$\chi_f < \frac{g}{2}(2b - 2 + s).$$

6. Relations between Classical Inequalities

- χ_f formula & $h^{1,1}$ inequality
 \implies Viehweg-Zuo inequality
 \implies Arakelov-Faltings inequality.
- Viehweg-Zuo inequality (for subsheaf of $f_*\omega_{S/C}^{\otimes \nu}$)
 \implies Vojta inequality
 \iff Miyaoka-Yau inequality (for open surface)
- Vojta inequality & Cornalba-Harris-Xiao inequality
 \implies weaker Arakelov inequality

$$\chi_f < \frac{g}{2}(2b - 2 + s).$$

6. Relations between Classical Inequalities

- χ_f formula & $h^{1,1}$ inequality
 \implies Viehweg-Zuo inequality
 \implies Arakelov-Faltings inequality.
- Viehweg-Zuo inequality (for subsheaf of $f_*\omega_{S/C}^{\otimes \nu}$)
 \implies Vojta inequality
 \iff Miyaoka-Yau inequality (for open surface)
- Vojta inequality & Cornalba-Harris-Xiao inequality
 \implies weaker Arakelov inequality

$$\chi_f < \frac{g}{2}(2b - 2 + s).$$

7. When does the equality in Viehweg-Zuo inequality hold?

- f semistable

Corollary

$$\chi_f = \frac{g - q_f}{2} (2b - 2 + \tilde{s}) \text{ iff}$$

$$(1) \quad g(F_i) = q_f, \quad i = 1, \dots, \tilde{s},$$

$$(2) \quad h^{1,1}(S) = 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (\ell(F_i) - 1).$$

$\implies f$ is "*Shimura*"

- $\chi_f = \frac{g - q_f}{2} (2b - 2 + s)$

$\implies f$ is "*Teichmüller*" (M. Möller, McMullen)

7. When does the equality in Viehweg-Zuo inequality hold?

- f semistable

Corollary

$$\chi_f = \frac{g - q_f}{2} (2b - 2 + \tilde{s}) \text{ iff}$$

$$(1) \quad g(F_i) = q_f, \quad i = 1, \dots, \tilde{s},$$

$$(2) \quad h^{1,1}(S) = 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (\ell(F_i) - 1).$$

$\implies f$ is "Shimura"

- $\chi_f = \frac{g - q_f}{2} (2b - 2 + s)$

$\implies f$ is "Teichmüller" (M. Möller, McMullen)

7. When does the equality in Viehweg-Zuo inequality hold?

- f semistable

Corollary

$$\chi_f = \frac{g - q_f}{2} (2b - 2 + \tilde{s}) \text{ iff}$$

$$(1) \quad g(F_i) = q_f, \quad i = 1, \dots, \tilde{s},$$

$$(2) \quad h^{1,1}(S) = 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (\ell(F_i) - 1).$$

$\implies f$ is "*Shimura*"

- $\chi_f = \frac{g - q_f}{2} (2b - 2 + s)$

$\implies f$ is "*Teichmüller*" (M. Möller, McMullen)

7. When does the equality in Viehweg-Zuo inequality hold?

- f semistable

Corollary

$$\chi_f = \frac{g - q_f}{2} (2b - 2 + \tilde{s}) \text{ iff}$$

$$(1) \quad g(F_i) = q_f, \quad i = 1, \dots, \tilde{s},$$

$$(2) \quad h^{1,1}(S) = 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (\ell(F_i) - 1).$$

$\implies f$ is "*Shimura*"

- $\chi_f = \frac{g - q_f}{2} (2b - 2 + s)$
 $\implies f$ is "*Teichmüller*" (M. Möller, McMullen)

8. χ_f formula (non-semistable)

- χ_f formula (non-semistable)

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. Yu, K. Zuo, 2013)

$$2\chi_f = (g - q_f)(2b - 2 + \tilde{s}) - A - B + C.$$

- $C := \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} N_{\bar{F}_i}$, $0 \leq N_{\bar{F}_i} = g - p_a(\bar{F}_{i,red}) \leq g$.
- \bar{F}_i : normal-crossing model of F_i obtained by blowing ups.

8. χ_f formula (non-semistable)

- χ_f formula (non-semistable)

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. Yu, K. Zuo, 2013)

$$2\chi_f = (g - q_f)(2b - 2 + \tilde{s}) - A - B + C.$$

- $C := \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} N_{\bar{F}_i}$, $0 \leq N_{\bar{F}_i} = g - p_a(\bar{F}_{i,red}) \leq g$.
- \bar{F}_i : normal-crossing model of F_i obtained by blowing ups.

8. χ_f formula (non-semistable)

- χ_f formula (non-semistable)

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. Yu, K. Zuo, 2013)

$$2\chi_f = (g - q_f)(2b - 2 + \check{s}) - A - B + C.$$

- $C := \sum_{i=1}^{\check{s}} N_{\bar{F}_i}$, $0 \leq N_{\bar{F}_i} = g - p_a(\bar{F}_{i,\text{red}}) \leq g$.
- \bar{F}_i : normal-crossing model of F_i obtained by blowing ups.

8. χ_f formula (non-semistable)

- χ_f formula (non-semistable)

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. Yu, K. Zuo, 2013)

$$2\chi_f = (g - q_f)(2b - 2 + \check{s}) - A - B + C.$$

- $C := \sum_{i=1}^{\check{s}} N_{\bar{F}_i}$, $0 \leq N_{\bar{F}_i} = g - p_a(\bar{F}_{i,red}) \leq g$.
- \bar{F}_i : normal-crossing model of F_i obtained by blowing ups.

8. χ_f formula (non-semistable)

- χ_f formula (non-semistable)

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. Yu, K. Zuo, 2013)

$$2\chi_f = (g - q_f)(2b - 2 + \check{s}) - A - B + C.$$

- $C := \sum_{i=1}^{\check{s}} N_{\bar{F}_i}$, $0 \leq N_{\bar{F}_i} = g - p_a(\bar{F}_{i,red}) \leq g$.
- \bar{F}_i : normal-crossing model of F_i obtained by blowing ups.

8. χ_f formula (non-semistable)

- f non-semistable

Corollary

$$\chi_f \leq (g - q_f)(b - 1 + \tilde{s})$$

- "=" iff
 - (1) $g(F_i) = q_f, i = 1, \dots, \tilde{s},$
 - (2) $h^{1,1}(S) = 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (\ell(F_i) - 1).$
 - (3) Each normal-crossing model \bar{F}_i is a tree of smooth curves.

8. χ_f formula (non-semistable)

- f non-semistable

Corollary

$$\chi_f \leq (g - q_f)(b - 1 + \tilde{s})$$

- "=" iff
 - (1) $g(F_i) = q_f, i = 1, \dots, \tilde{s},$
 - (2) $h^{1,1}(S) = 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (\ell(F_i) - 1).$
 - (3) Each normal-crossing model \bar{F}_i is a tree of smooth curves.

8. χ_f formula (non-semistable)

- f non-semistable

Corollary

$$\chi_f \leq (g - q_f)(b - 1 + \check{s})$$

- "=" iff
 - (1) $g(F_i) = q_f, i = 1, \dots, \check{s},$
 - (2) $h^{1,1}(S) = 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\check{s}} (\ell(F_i) - 1).$
 - (3) Each normal-crossing model \bar{F}_i is a tree of smooth curves.

8. χ_f formula (non-semistable)

- f non-semistable

Corollary

$$\chi_f \leq (g - q_f)(b - 1 + \tilde{s})$$

- "=" iff
 - (1) $g(F_i) = q_f, i = 1, \dots, \tilde{s},$
 - (2) $h^{1,1}(S) = 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (\ell(F_i) - 1).$
 - (3) Each normal-crossing model \bar{F}_i is a tree of smooth curves.

1. Lower bound of s (semistale)

Assume f non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 1$.

- f Kodaira fibration $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} s = 0$.
- f Kodaira fibration $\implies b > 0$ & F_t non-hyperelliptic (for general $t \in C$).

Assume $b = 0$ (i.e., $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$)

- (Beauville, 1979) $s \geq 4$.
 $s = 4 \implies g = 1$, 6 modular families.
- (Tan, 1994) $g \geq 2 \implies s \geq 5$.

1. Lower bound of s (semistale)

Assume f non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 1$.

- f Kodaira fibration $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} s = 0$.
- f Kodaira fibration $\implies b > 0$ & F_t non-hyperelliptic (for general $t \in C$).
Assume $b = 0$ (i.e., $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$)
- (Beauville, 1979) $s \geq 4$.
 $s = 4 \implies g = 1$, 6 modular families.
- (Tan, 1994) $g \geq 2 \implies s \geq 5$.

1. Lower bound of s (semistable)

Assume f non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 1$.

- f Kodaira fibration $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} s = 0$.
- f Kodaira fibration $\implies b > 0$ & F_t non-hyperelliptic (for general $t \in C$).

Assume $b = 0$ (i.e., $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$)

- (Beauville, 1979) $s \geq 4$.
 $s = 4 \implies g = 1$, 6 modular families.
- (Tan, 1994) $g \geq 2 \implies s \geq 5$.

1. Lower bound of s (semistable)

Assume f non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 1$.

- f Kodaira fibration $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} s = 0$.
- f Kodaira fibration $\implies b > 0$ & F_t non-hyperelliptic (for general $t \in C$).

Assume $b = 0$ (i.e., $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$)

- (Beauville, 1979) $s \geq 4$.
 $s = 4 \implies g = 1$, 6 modular families.
- (Tan, 1994) $g \geq 2 \implies s \geq 5$.

1. Lower bound of s (semistale)

Assume f non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 1$.

- f Kodaira fibration $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} s = 0$.
- f Kodaira fibration $\implies b > 0$ & F_t non-hyperelliptic (for general $t \in C$).

Assume $b = 0$ (i.e., $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$)

- (Beauville, 1979) $s \geq 4$.
 $s = 4 \implies g = 1$, 6 modular families.
- (Tan, 1994) $g \geq 2 \implies s \geq 5$.

1. Lower bound of s (semistale)

Assume f non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 1$.

- f Kodaira fibration $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} s = 0$.
- f Kodaira fibration $\implies b > 0$ & F_t non-hyperelliptic (for general $t \in C$).

Assume $b = 0$ (i.e., $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$)

- (Beauville, 1979) $s \geq 4$.
 $s = 4 \implies g = 1$, 6 modular families.
- (Tan, 1994) $g \geq 2 \implies s \geq 5$.

1. Lower bound of s (semistable)

Assume f non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 1$.

- f Kodaira fibration $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} s = 0$.
- f Kodaira fibration $\implies b > 0$ & F_t non-hyperelliptic (for general $t \in C$).

Assume $b = 0$ (i.e., $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$)

- (Beauville, 1979) $s \geq 4$.
 $s = 4 \implies g = 1$, 6 modular families.
- (Tan, 1994) $g \geq 2 \implies s \geq 5$.

1. Lower bound of s (semistale)

$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 2$.

- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, G. Zamora, 2006) X minimal model of S .

$$K_f^2 \geq \begin{cases} 4g - 4, & \text{kod}(S) = -\infty, \\ 6g - 6, & \text{kod}(S) = 0, \\ 6g - 5, & \text{kod}(S) = 1, \\ 6g - 6 + \frac{1}{2}(K_X^2 + \sqrt{K_X^2} \sqrt{K_X^2 + 8g - 8}), & \text{kod}(S) = 2. \end{cases}$$

Vojta inequality &

- $K_f^2 \geq 4g - 4 \implies s \geq 5$
- $K_f^2 \geq 6g - 6 \implies s \geq 6$

1. Lower bound of s (semistale)

$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 2$.

- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, G. Zamora, 2006) X minimal model of S .

$$K_f^2 \geq \begin{cases} 4g - 4, & \text{kod}(S) = -\infty, \\ 6g - 6, & \text{kod}(S) = 0, \\ 6g - 5, & \text{kod}(S) = 1, \\ 6g - 6 + \frac{1}{2}(K_X^2 + \sqrt{K_X^2} \sqrt{K_X^2 + 8g - 8}), & \text{kod}(S) = 2. \end{cases}$$

Vojta inequality &

- $K_f^2 \geq 4g - 4 \implies s \geq 5$
- $K_f^2 \geq 6g - 6 \implies s \geq 6$

1. Lower bound of s (semistale)

$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 2$.

- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, G. Zamora, 2006) \times minimal model of S .

$$K_f^2 \geq \begin{cases} 4g - 4, & \text{kod}(S) = -\infty, \\ 6g - 6, & \text{kod}(S) = 0, \\ 6g - 5, & \text{kod}(S) = 1, \\ 6g - 6 + \frac{1}{2}(K_X^2 + \sqrt{K_X^2} \sqrt{K_X^2 + 8g - 8}), & \text{kod}(S) = 2. \end{cases}$$

Vojta inequality &

- $K_f^2 \geq 4g - 4 \implies s \geq 5$
- $K_f^2 \geq 6g - 6 \implies s \geq 6$

1. Lower bound of s (semistale)

$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 2$.

- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, G. Zamora, 2006) \times minimal model of S .

$$K_f^2 \geq \begin{cases} 4g - 4, & \text{kod}(S) = -\infty, \\ 6g - 6, & \text{kod}(S) = 0, \\ 6g - 5, & \text{kod}(S) = 1, \\ 6g - 6 + \frac{1}{2}(K_X^2 + \sqrt{K_X^2} \sqrt{K_X^2 + 8g - 8}), & \text{kod}(S) = 2. \end{cases}$$

Vojta inequality &

- $K_f^2 \geq 4g - 4 \implies s \geq 5$
- $K_f^2 \geq 6g - 6 \implies s \geq 6$

1. Lower bound of s (semistale)

$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 2$.

- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, G. Zamora, 2006) \times minimal model of S .

$$K_f^2 \geq \begin{cases} 4g - 4, & \text{kod}(S) = -\infty, \\ 6g - 6, & \text{kod}(S) = 0, \\ 6g - 5, & \text{kod}(S) = 1, \\ 6g - 6 + \frac{1}{2}(K_X^2 + \sqrt{K_X^2} \sqrt{K_X^2 + 8g - 8}), & \text{kod}(S) = 2. \end{cases}$$

Vojta inequality &

- $K_f^2 \geq 4g - 4 \implies s \geq 5$
- $K_f^2 \geq 6g - 6 \implies s \geq 6$

1. Lower bound of s (semistale)

- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, G. Zamora, 2006)
 $kod(S) \geq 0 \implies s \geq 6$
 $kod(S) = 2 \ \& \ 2 \leq g \leq 4 \implies s \geq 7$
- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, F. Yu, 2008)
 $K_f^2 \neq 4g - 4 \ \& \ g \geq 18$ (or $q(S) > 0$) $\implies s \geq 6$.
- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, F. Yu, 2008)
 $kod(S) = 2 \ \& \ g \geq 51$ (or $q(S) > 0$) $\implies s \geq 7$.
- **Conjecture:** $kod(S) = 2 \implies s \geq 7$.

1. Lower bound of s (semistale)

- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, G. Zamora, 2006)

$$\text{kod}(S) \geq 0 \implies s \geq 6$$

$$\text{kod}(S) = 2 \ \& \ 2 \leq g \leq 4 \implies s \geq 7$$

- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, F. Yu, 2008)

$$K_f^2 \neq 4g - 4 \ \& \ g \geq 18 \ (\text{or } q(S) > 0) \implies s \geq 6.$$

- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, F. Yu, 2008)

$$\text{kod}(S) = 2 \ \& \ g \geq 51 \ (\text{or } q(S) > 0) \implies s \geq 7.$$

- Conjecture: $\text{kod}(S) = 2 \implies s \geq 7.$

1. Lower bound of s (semistale)

- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, G. Zamora, 2006)

$$\text{kod}(S) \geq 0 \implies s \geq 6$$

$$\text{kod}(S) = 2 \ \& \ 2 \leq g \leq 4 \implies s \geq 7$$

- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, F. Yu, 2008)

$$K_f^2 \neq 4g - 4 \ \& \ g \geq 18 \ (\text{or } q(S) > 0) \implies s \geq 6.$$

- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, F. Yu, 2008)

$$\text{kod}(S) = 2 \ \& \ g \geq 51 \ (\text{or } q(S) > 0) \implies s \geq 7.$$

- Conjecture: $\text{kod}(S) = 2 \implies s \geq 7.$

1. Lower bound of s (semistable)

- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, G. Zamora, 2006)
 $kod(S) \geq 0 \implies s \geq 6$
 $kod(S) = 2 \ \& \ 2 \leq g \leq 4 \implies s \geq 7$
- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, F. Yu, 2008)
 $K_f^2 \neq 4g - 4 \ \& \ g \geq 18 \ (\text{or } q(S) > 0) \implies s \geq 6.$
- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, F. Yu, 2008)
 $kod(S) = 2 \ \& \ g \geq 51 \ (\text{or } q(S) > 0) \implies s \geq 7.$
- Conjecture: $kod(S) = 2 \implies s \geq 7.$

1. Lower bound of s (semistable)

- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, G. Zamora, 2006)
 $kod(S) \geq 0 \implies s \geq 6$
 $kod(S) = 2 \ \& \ 2 \leq g \leq 4 \implies s \geq 7$
- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, F. Yu, 2008)
 $K_f^2 \neq 4g - 4 \ \& \ g \geq 18 \ (\text{or } q(S) > 0) \implies s \geq 6.$
- (S.-L. Tan, Y.-P. Tu, F. Yu, 2008)
 $kod(S) = 2 \ \& \ g \geq 51 \ (\text{or } q(S) > 0) \implies s \geq 7.$
- **Conjecture:** $kod(S) = 2 \implies s \geq 7.$

2. Lower bound of \tilde{s} (semistale)

$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 2$.

- χ_f formula \implies

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. YU, K. Zuo)

$$p_g(S) \leq \frac{g - q_f}{2}(\tilde{s} - 4).$$

Hence $\tilde{s} \geq 4$. "=" iff

- (1) $g(F_i) = q_f$, $i = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$,
- (2) $h^{1,1}(S) = 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (\ell(F_i) - 1)$,
- (3) $p_g(S) = 0$ & $q(S) \leq 1$.

- Conjecture: $g \geq 4 \implies \tilde{s} \geq 5$.

2. Lower bound of \tilde{s} (semistale)

$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 2$.

- χ_f formula \implies

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. YU, K. Zuo)

$$p_g(S) \leq \frac{g - q_f}{2}(\tilde{s} - 4).$$

Hence $\tilde{s} \geq 4$. "=" iff

- (1) $g(F_i) = q_f$, $i = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$,
- (2) $h^{1,1}(S) = 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (\ell(F_i) - 1)$,
- (3) $p_g(S) = 0$ & $q(S) \leq 1$.

- Conjecture: $g \geq 4 \implies \tilde{s} \geq 5$.

2. Lower bound of \tilde{s} (semistale)

$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 2$.

- χ_f formula \implies

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. YU, K. Zuo)

$$p_g(S) \leq \frac{g - q_f}{2} (\tilde{s} - 4).$$

Hence $\tilde{s} \geq 4$. "=" iff

- (1) $g(F_i) = q_f$, $i = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$,
- (2) $h^{1,1}(S) = 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (\ell(F_i) - 1)$,
- (3) $p_g(S) = 0$ & $q(S) \leq 1$.

- Conjecture: $g \geq 4 \implies \tilde{s} \geq 5$.

2. Lower bound of \tilde{s} (semistale)

$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ non-trivial, semistable, $g \geq 2$.

- χ_f formula \implies

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. YU, K. Zuo)

$$p_g(S) \leq \frac{g - q_f}{2}(\tilde{s} - 4).$$

Hence $\tilde{s} \geq 4$. "=" iff

- (1) $g(F_i) = q_f, i = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$,
- (2) $h^{1,1}(S) = 2q_f b + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{s}} (\ell(F_i) - 1)$,
- (3) $p_g(S) = 0$ & $q(S) \leq 1$.

- **Conjecture:** $g \geq 4 \implies \tilde{s} \geq 5$.

2. Lower bound of \tilde{s} (semistale)

- **Example** (Xiao) $g = 2, \tilde{s} = 4, s = 7, q = 1, h^{1,1} = 5$.
- **Example** (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. YU, K. Zuo)
 $g = 2, \tilde{s} = 4, s = 6, q = 1, h^{1,1} = 6$.
- **Problem**: Is there f such that $\tilde{s} = 4$ and $q(S) = 0$?
- **Conjecture**: $g \gg 0 \implies \tilde{s} < s$.
- (F. Yu, 2010) $\tilde{s} = 4, s = 5 \implies g = 2$.

2. Lower bound of \tilde{s} (semistale)

- **Example** (Xiao) $g = 2, \tilde{s} = 4, s = 7, q = 1, h^{1,1} = 5$.
- **Example** (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. YU, K. Zuo)
 $g = 2, \tilde{s} = 4, s = 6, q = 1, h^{1,1} = 6$.
- **Problem**: Is there f such that $\tilde{s} = 4$ and $q(S) = 0$?
- **Conjecture**: $g \gg 0 \implies \tilde{s} < s$.
- (F. Yu, 2010) $\tilde{s} = 4, s = 5 \implies g = 2$.

2. Lower bound of \tilde{s} (semistale)

- **Example** (Xiao) $g = 2, \tilde{s} = 4, s = 7, q = 1, h^{1,1} = 5$.
- **Example** (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. YU, K. Zuo)
 $g = 2, \tilde{s} = 4, s = 6, q = 1, h^{1,1} = 6$.
- **Problem:** Is there f such that $\tilde{s} = 4$ and $q(S) = 0$?
- **Conjecture:** $g \gg 0 \implies \tilde{s} < s$.
- (F. Yu, 2010) $\tilde{s} = 4, s = 5 \implies g = 2$.

2. Lower bound of \tilde{s} (semistale)

- **Example** (Xiao) $g = 2, \tilde{s} = 4, s = 7, q = 1, h^{1,1} = 5$.
- **Example** (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. YU, K. Zuo)
 $g = 2, \tilde{s} = 4, s = 6, q = 1, h^{1,1} = 6$.
- **Problem:** Is there f such that $\tilde{s} = 4$ and $q(S) = 0$?
- **Conjecture:** $g \gg 0 \implies \tilde{s} < s$.
- (F. Yu, 2010) $\tilde{s} = 4, s = 5 \implies g = 2$.

2. Lower bound of \tilde{s} (semistale)

- **Example** (Xiao) $g = 2, \tilde{s} = 4, s = 7, q = 1, h^{1,1} = 5$.
- **Example** (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. YU, K. Zuo)
 $g = 2, \tilde{s} = 4, s = 6, q = 1, h^{1,1} = 6$.
- **Problem**: Is there f such that $\tilde{s} = 4$ and $q(S) = 0$?
- **Conjecture**: $g \gg 0 \implies \tilde{s} < s$.
- (F. Yu, 2010) $\tilde{s} = 4, s = 5 \implies g = 2$.

2. Lower bound of \tilde{s} (semistale)

- **Example** (Xiao) $g = 2, \tilde{s} = 4, s = 7, q = 1, h^{1,1} = 5$.
- **Example** (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, F. YU, K. Zuo)
 $g = 2, \tilde{s} = 4, s = 6, q = 1, h^{1,1} = 6$.
- **Problem**: Is there f such that $\tilde{s} = 4$ and $q(S) = 0$?
- **Conjecture**: $g \gg 0 \implies \tilde{s} < s$.
- (F. Yu, 2010) $\tilde{s} = 4, s = 5 \implies g = 2$.

3. Lower bound of s (non-semistable)

$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ non-semistable, $g \geq 2$.

- $s \geq 2$
- (Beauville) f non-isotrivial $\implies s \geq 3$.
- Example (Beauville) $s = 3$, $\text{kod}(S) = -\infty$, for any g .
- Example (C. Gong, X. Lü, S.-L. Tan, 2013):
 $s = 3$, $\text{kod}(S) = 0, 1, 2$, $g = 2, 3, \dots$.

3. Lower bound of s (non-semistable)

$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ non-semistable, $g \geq 2$.

- $s \geq 2$
- (Beauville) f non-isotrivial $\implies s \geq 3$.
- Example (Beauville) $s = 3$, $\text{kod}(S) = -\infty$, for any g .
- Example (C. Gong, X. Lü, S.-L. Tan, 2013):
 $s = 3$, $\text{kod}(S) = 0, 1, 2$, $g = 2, 3, \dots$.

3. Lower bound of s (non-semistable)

$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ non-semistable, $g \geq 2$.

- $s \geq 2$
- (Beauville) f non-isotrivial $\implies s \geq 3$.
- Example (Beauville) $s = 3$, $\text{kod}(S) = -\infty$, for any g .
- Example (C. Gong, X. Lü, S.-L. Tan, 2013):
 $s = 3$, $\text{kod}(S) = 0, 1, 2$, $g = 2, 3, \dots$.

3. Lower bound of s (non-semistable)

$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ non-semistable, $g \geq 2$.

- $s \geq 2$
- (Beauville) f non-isotrivial $\implies s \geq 3$.
- **Example** (Beauville) $s = 3$, $\text{kod}(S) = -\infty$, for any g .
- **Example** (C. Gong, X. Lü, S.-L. Tan, 2013):
 $s = 3$, $\text{kod}(S) = 0, 1, 2$, $g = 2, 3, \dots$.

3. Lower bound of s (non-semistable)

$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ non-semistable, $g \geq 2$.

- $s \geq 2$
- (Beauville) f non-isotrivial $\implies s \geq 3$.
- **Example** (Beauville) $s = 3$, $\text{kod}(S) = -\infty$, for any g .
- **Example** (C. Gong, X. Lü, S.-L. Tan, 2013):
 $s = 3$, $\text{kod}(S) = 0, 1, 2$, $g = 2, 3, \dots$.

3. Lower bound of s (non-semistable)

- **Example** (X.-L. Liu) $s = 3$ and f hyperelliptic

$\xRightarrow{\text{base change}}$ a semistable fibration $\tilde{f} : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with maximal slop

$$\lambda_f := \frac{K_f^2}{\chi_f} = 12 - \frac{4g + 2}{\left[\frac{g^2}{2}\right]}.$$

- Suppose f hyperelliptic.
 $s = 3 \iff$ Belyi's theorem

Theorem (Belyi, 1980)

A smooth curve C can be defined over algebraic number field $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ iff there is a cover $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with three branching points $0, 1, \infty$.

3. Lower bound of s (non-semistable)

- **Example** (X.-L. Liu) $s = 3$ and f hyperelliptic

$\xRightarrow{\text{base change}}$ a semistable fibration $\tilde{f} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with maximal slop

$$\lambda_f := \frac{K_f^2}{\chi_f} = 12 - \frac{4g + 2}{\left[\frac{g^2}{2}\right]}.$$

- Suppose f hyperelliptic.
 $s = 3 \iff$ Belyi's theorem

Theorem (Belyi, 1980)

A smooth curve C can be defined over algebraic number field $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ iff there is a cover $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with three branching points $0, 1, \infty$.

3. Lower bound of s (non-semistable)

- **Example** (X.-L. Liu) $s = 3$ and f hyperelliptic

$\xrightarrow{\text{base change}}$ a semistable fibration $\tilde{f} : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with maximal slop

$$\lambda_f := \frac{K_f^2}{\chi_f} = 12 - \frac{4g + 2}{\left[\frac{g^2}{2}\right]}.$$

- Suppose f hyperelliptic.
 $s = 3 \iff$ Belyi's theorem

Theorem (Belyi, 1980)

A smooth curve C can be defined over algebraic number field $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ iff there is a cover $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with three branching points $0, 1, \infty$.

3. Lower bound of s (non-semistable)

- **Example** (X.-L. Liu) $s = 3$ and f hyperelliptic

$\xrightarrow{\text{base change}}$ a semistable fibration $\tilde{f} : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with maximal slope

$$\lambda_f := \frac{K_f^2}{\chi_f} = 12 - \frac{4g + 2}{\left[\frac{g^2}{2}\right]}.$$

- Suppose f hyperelliptic.
 $s = 3 \iff$ Belyi's theorem

Theorem (Belyi, 1980)

A smooth curve C can be defined over algebraic number field $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ iff there is a cover $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with three branching points $0, 1, \infty$.

3. $s = 2$

Assume $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $s = 2$.

- $\implies f$ isotrivial.

Theorem (C. Gong, J. Lu, S.-L. Tan)

Let F_1, F_2 be singular fibers.

- (1) S is a *ruled surface*.
- (2) F_1 is the *dual model* of F_2 , $g(F_1) = g(F_2) = q(S)$.
- (3) *Mordell-Weil group* of f is finite.
- (4)

$$c_1^2(S) \leq \begin{cases} -2, & g = 2, \\ -4, & g \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

3. $s = 2$

Assume $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $s = 2$.

- $\implies f$ isotrivial.

Theorem (C. Gong, J. Lu, S.-L. Tan)

Let F_1, F_2 be singular fibers.

- (1) S is a *ruled surface*.
- (2) F_1 is the *dual model* of F_2 , $g(F_1) = g(F_2) = q(S)$.
- (3) *Mordell-Weil group* of f is finite.
- (4)

$$c_1^2(S) \leq \begin{cases} -2, & g = 2, \\ -4, & g \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

3. $s = 2$

Assume $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $s = 2$.

- $\implies f$ isotrivial.

Theorem (C. Gong, J. Lu, S.-L. Tan)

Let F_1, F_2 be singular fibers.

- (1) S is a *ruled surface*.
- (2) F_1 is the *dual model* of F_2 , $g(F_1) = g(F_2) = q(S)$.
- (3) *Mordell-Weil group* of f is finite.
- (4)

$$c_1^2(S) \leq \begin{cases} -2, & g = 2, \\ -4, & g \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

3. $s = 2$

Assume $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $s = 2$.

- (U. Schmickler Hirzebruch) Classify all such **elliptic fibration**.

Theorem (C. Gong, J. Lu, S.-L. Tan)

*Classify all fibrations of **genus 2** and Compute **Mordell-Weil group**.*

- **Problem**: Classify all hyperelliptic fibrations with $s = 2$ and compute their Mordell-Weil group.

3. $s = 2$

Assume $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $s = 2$.

- (U. Schickler Hirzebruch) Classify all such **elliptic fibration**.

Theorem (C. Gong, J. Lu, S.-L. Tan)

*Classify all fibrations of **genus 2** and Compute **Mordell-Weil group**.*

- **Problem**: Classify all hyperelliptic fibrations with $s = 2$ and compute their Mordell-Weil group.

3. $s = 2$

Assume $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $s = 2$.

- (U. Schickler Hirzebruch) Classify all such **elliptic fibration**.

Theorem (C. Gong, J. Lu, S.-L. Tan)

*Classify all fibrations of **genus 2** and Compute **Mordell-Weil group**.*

- **Problem**: Classify all hyperelliptic fibrations with $s = 2$ and compute their Mordell-Weil group.

3. $s = 2$

Assume $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $s = 2$.

- (U. Schmickler Hirzebruch) Classify all such **elliptic fibration**.

Theorem (C. Gong, J. Lu, S.-L. Tan)

*Classify all fibrations of **genus 2** and Compute **Mordell-Weil group**.*

- **Problem:** Classify all hyperelliptic fibrations with $s = 2$ and compute their Mordell-Weil group.

1. volume of line bundle

$f : X \rightarrow C$, semistable, $n = \dim F$, $b = g(C)$. $\mathcal{F} \subseteq f_*\omega_{X/C}^{\otimes \nu}$

- Viehweg-Zuo: $\frac{\deg \mathcal{F}}{\text{rk} \mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{n\nu}{2}(2b - 2 + s)$.
- L line bundle, **volume** $v(L)$ of L :

$$v(L) = \limsup \frac{\dim(X)! \cdot \dim(H^0(X, L^\nu))}{\nu^{\dim(X)}}.$$

- L nef $\implies v(L) = c_1(L)^{\dim X}$.

1. volume of line bundle

$f : X \rightarrow C$, semistable, $n = \dim F$, $b = g(C)$. $\mathcal{F} \subseteq f_*\omega_{X/C}^{\otimes \nu}$

- Viehweg-Zuo: $\frac{\deg \mathcal{F}}{rk \mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{n\nu}{2}(2b - 2 + s)$.
- L line bundle, volume $v(L)$ of L :

$$v(L) = \limsup \frac{\dim(X)! \cdot \dim(H^0(X, L^\nu))}{\nu^{\dim(X)}}.$$

- L nef $\implies v(L) = c_1(L)^{\dim X}$.

1. volume of line bundle

$f : X \rightarrow C$, semistable, $n = \dim F$, $b = g(C)$. $\mathcal{F} \subseteq f_*\omega_{X/C}^{\otimes \nu}$

- Viehweg-Zuo: $\frac{\deg \mathcal{F}}{\text{rk} \mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{n\nu}{2}(2b - 2 + s)$.
- L line bundle, **volume** $v(L)$ of L :

$$v(L) = \limsup \frac{\dim(X)! \cdot \dim(H^0(X, L^\nu))}{\nu^{\dim(X)}}.$$

- L nef $\implies v(L) = c_1(L)^{\dim X}$.

1. volume of line bundle

$f : X \rightarrow C$, semistable, $n = \dim F$, $b = g(C)$. $\mathcal{F} \subseteq f_*\omega_{X/C}^{\otimes \nu}$

- Viehweg-Zuo: $\frac{\deg \mathcal{F}}{\text{rk} \mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{n\nu}{2}(2b - 2 + s)$.
- L line bundle, **volume** $v(L)$ of L :

$$v(L) = \limsup \frac{\dim(X)! \cdot \dim(H^0(X, L^\nu))}{\nu^{\dim(X)}}.$$

- L nef $\implies v(L) = c_1(L)^{\dim X}$.

2. Canonical class inequality

- $f : X \rightarrow C$, semistable, non-isotrivial, minimal, $n = \dim F$, $b = g(C)$.

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, K. Zuo)

$$v(\omega_{X/Y}) \leq \frac{(n+1)n}{2} \cdot v(\omega_F) \cdot (2b - 2 + s).$$

- $K_{X/C}^{n+1} \leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \cdot K_F^n \cdot (2b - 2 + s).$

2. Canonical class inequality

- $f : X \rightarrow C$, semistable, non-isotrivial, minimal, $n = \dim F$, $b = g(C)$.

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, K. Zuo)

$$v(\omega_{X/Y}) \leq \frac{(n+1)n}{2} \cdot v(\omega_F) \cdot (2b - 2 + s).$$

- $K_{X/C}^{n+1} \leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \cdot K_F^n \cdot (2b - 2 + s).$

2. Canonical class inequality

- $f : X \rightarrow C$, semistable, non-isotrivial, minimal, $n = \dim F$, $b = g(C)$.

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, K. Zuo)

$$v(\omega_{X/Y}) \leq \frac{(n+1)n}{2} \cdot v(\omega_F) \cdot (2b - 2 + s).$$

- $K_{X/C}^{n+1} \leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \cdot K_F^n \cdot (2b - 2 + s).$

2. Canonical class inequality

- $f : X \rightarrow C$, semistable, non-isotrivial, minimal, $n = \dim F$, $b = g(C)$.

Theorem (J. Lu, S.-L. Tan, K. Zuo)

$$v(\omega_{X/Y}) \leq \frac{(n+1)n}{2} \cdot v(\omega_F) \cdot (2b - 2 + s).$$

- $K_{X/C}^{n+1} \leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \cdot K_F^n \cdot (2b - 2 + s).$

2. Canonical class inequality

- $f : X \rightarrow C$ non-isotrivial smooth family of minimal surfaces of general type over a curve C of genus b

Corollary

$$c_1^3(X) < 18c_3(X).$$

2. Canonical class inequality

- $f : X \rightarrow C$ non-isotrivial smooth family of minimal surfaces of general type over a curve C of genus b

Corollary

$$c_1^3(X) < 18c_3(X).$$

2. Canonical class inequality

- $f : X \rightarrow C$ non-isotrivial smooth family of minimal surfaces of general type over a curve C of genus b

Corollary

$$c_1^3(X) < 18c_3(X).$$

1. Local invariants

$f : S \rightarrow C$.

- global invariants
= moduli invariants + monodromy invariants
- Compute moduli invariants: semistable reduction (Deligne-Mumford)

$$\kappa(f) = \deg j^* \kappa, \quad \lambda(f) = \deg j^* \lambda, \quad \delta(f) = \deg j^* \delta.$$

$j : C \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, κ, λ, δ classes in moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$.

- Compute monodromy invariants:

Method 1. Matsumoto-Montesinos's theory (topologic monodromies).

Method 2. Chern numbers of singular fiber
 $c_1^2(F)$, $c_2(F)$, χ_F .

1. Local invariants

$f : S \rightarrow C$.

- global invariants
= moduli invariants + monodromy invariants
- Compute moduli invariants: semistable reduction (Deligne-Mumford)

$$\kappa(f) = \deg j^* \kappa, \quad \lambda(f) = \deg j^* \lambda, \quad \delta(f) = \deg j^* \delta.$$

$j : C \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, κ, λ, δ classes in moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$.

- Compute monodromy invariants:

Method 1. Matsumoto-Montesinos's theory (topologic monodromies).

Method 2. Chern numbers of singular fiber
 $c_1^2(F)$, $c_2(F)$, χ_F .

1. Local invariants

$f : S \rightarrow C$.

- global invariants
= moduli invariants + monodromy invariants
- Compute moduli invariants: semistable reduction (Deligne-Mumford)

$$\kappa(f) = \deg j^* \kappa, \quad \lambda(f) = \deg j^* \lambda, \quad \delta(f) = \deg j^* \delta.$$

$j : C \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, κ, λ, δ classes in moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$.

- Compute monodromy invariants:

Method 1. Matsumoto-Montesinos's theory (topologic monodromies).

Method 2. Chern numbers of singular fiber
 $c_1^2(F)$, $c_2(F)$, χ_F .

1. Local invariants

$f : S \rightarrow C$.

- global invariants
= moduli invariants + monodromy invariants
- Compute moduli invariants: semistable reduction (Deligne-Mumford)

$$\kappa(f) = \deg j^* \kappa, \quad \lambda(f) = \deg j^* \lambda, \quad \delta(f) = \deg j^* \delta.$$

$j : C \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, κ, λ, δ classes in moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$.

- Compute monodromy invariants:

Method 1. Matsumoto-Montesinos's theory (topologic monodromies).

Method 2. Chern numbers of singular fiber
 $c_1^2(F)$, $c_2(F)$, χ_F .

1. Local invariants

$f : S \rightarrow C$.

- global invariants
= moduli invariants + monodromy invariants
- Compute moduli invariants: semistable reduction (Deligne-Mumford)

$$\kappa(f) = \deg j^* \kappa, \quad \lambda(f) = \deg j^* \lambda, \quad \delta(f) = \deg j^* \delta.$$

$j : C \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, κ, λ, δ classes in moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$.

- Compute monodromy invariants:

Method 1. Matsumoto-Montesinos's theory (topologic monodromies).

Method 2. Chern numbers of singular fiber
 $c_1^2(F)$, $c_2(F)$, χ_F .

1. Local invariants

•

$$K_f^2 = \kappa(f) + \sum_{F_i} c_1^2(F_i), \quad \chi_f = \lambda(f) + \sum_{F_i} \chi_{F_i}, \quad e_f = \delta(f) + \sum_{F_i} c_2(F_i)$$

• Noether formula

$$\kappa(f) + \delta(f) = 12\lambda(f).$$

• Noether formula

$$c_1^2(F) + c_2(F) = 12\chi_F.$$

1. Local invariants



$$K_f^2 = \kappa(f) + \sum_{F_i} c_1^2(F_i), \quad \chi_f = \lambda(f) + \sum_{F_i} \chi_{F_i}, \quad e_f = \delta(f) + \sum_{F_i} c_2(F_i)$$

- Noether formula

$$\kappa(f) + \delta(f) = 12\lambda(f).$$

- Noether formula

$$c_1^2(F) + c_2(F) = 12\chi_F.$$

1. Local invariants

-

$$K_f^2 = \kappa(f) + \sum_{F_i} c_1^2(F_i), \quad \chi_f = \lambda(f) + \sum_{F_i} \chi_{F_i}, \quad e_f = \delta(f) + \sum_{F_i} c_2(F_i)$$

- Noether formula

$$\kappa(f) + \delta(f) = 12\lambda(f).$$

- Noether formula

$$c_1^2(F) + c_2(F) = 12\chi_F.$$

1. Local invariants

-

$$K_f^2 = \kappa(f) + \sum_{F_i} c_1^2(F_i), \quad \chi_f = \lambda(f) + \sum_{F_i} \chi_{F_i}, \quad e_f = \delta(f) + \sum_{F_i} c_2(F_i)$$

- Noether formula

$$\kappa(f) + \delta(f) = 12\lambda(f).$$

- Noether formula

$$c_1^2(F) + c_2(F) = 12\chi_F.$$

2. Chern numbers of singular fiber

- **Positivity** (S.-L. Tan, 1996): $c_1^2(F) \geq 0$, $c_2(F) \geq 0$, $\chi_F \geq 0$.
One of "=" holds iff F is semistable.
- **Local canonical class inequality**(Tan, 1996)

$$c_1^2(F) < 4g - 4.$$

(J.Lu, S.-L. Tan): There are exactly 22 kinds of singular fibers such that $c_1^2(F) \geq 4g - \frac{11}{2}$.

2. Chern numbers of singular fiber

- **Positivity** (S.-L. Tan, 1996): $c_1^2(F) \geq 0$, $c_2(F) \geq 0$, $\chi_F \geq 0$.
One of "=" holds iff F is semistable.
- **Local canonical class inequality**(Tan, 1996)

$$c_1^2(F) < 4g - 4.$$

(J.Lu, S.-L. Tan): There are exactly 22 kinds of singular fibers such that $c_1^2(F) \geq 4g - \frac{11}{2}$.

2. Chern numbers of singular fiber

- **Positivity** (S.-L. Tan, 1996): $c_1^2(F) \geq 0$, $c_2(F) \geq 0$, $\chi_F \geq 0$.
One of "=" holds iff F is semistable.
- **Local canonical class inequality**(Tan, 1996)

$$c_1^2(F) < 4g - 4.$$

(J.Lu, S.-L. Tan): There are exactly 22 kinds of singular fibers such that $c_1^2(F) \geq 4g - \frac{11}{2}$.

2. Chern numbers of singular fiber

- **Positivity** (S.-L. Tan, 1996): $c_1^2(F) \geq 0$, $c_2(F) \geq 0$, $\chi_F \geq 0$.
One of "=" holds iff F is semistable.
- **Local canonical class inequality**(Tan, 1996)

$$c_1^2(F) < 4g - 4.$$

(J.Lu, S.-L. Tan): There are exactly 22 kinds of singular fibers such that $c_1^2(F) \geq 4g - \frac{11}{2}$.

2. Chern numbers of singular fiber

- **Positivity** (S.-L. Tan, 1996): $c_1^2(F) \geq 0$, $c_2(F) \geq 0$, $\chi_F \geq 0$.
One of "=" holds iff F is semistable.
- **Local canonical class inequality**(Tan, 1996)

$$c_1^2(F) < 4g - 4.$$

(J.Lu, S.-L. Tan): There are exactly 22 kinds of singular fibers such that $c_1^2(F) \geq 4g - \frac{11}{2}$.

2. Chern numbers of singular fiber

- Local Miyaoka-Yau inequality (S.-L. Tan):

$$c_1^2(F) \leq 2c_2(F).$$

Theorem (J.Lu, S.-L. Tan, Local dual theorem)

$$\chi_F + \chi_{F^*} = N_{\bar{F}},$$

where F^* is the dual model of F , \bar{F} is the normal-crossing model.

Theorem (J.Lu, S.-L. Tan, Local Arakelov inequality)

$$\frac{1}{6}N_{\bar{F}} \leq \chi_F \leq \frac{5}{6}N_{\bar{F}},$$

where F^* is the dual model of F , \bar{F} is the normal-crossing model.

2. Chern numbers of singular fiber

- **Local Miyaoka-Yau inequality** (S.-L. Tan):

$$c_1^2(F) \leq 2c_2(F).$$

Theorem (J.Lu, S.-L. Tan, Local dual theorem)

$$\chi_F + \chi_{F^*} = N_{\bar{F}},$$

where F^* is the dual model of F , \bar{F} is the normal-crossing model.

Theorem (J.Lu, S.-L. Tan, Local Arakelov inequality)

$$\frac{1}{6}N_{\bar{F}} \leq \chi_F \leq \frac{5}{6}N_{\bar{F}},$$

where F^* is the dual model of F , \bar{F} is the normal-crossing model.

2. Chern numbers of singular fiber

- **Local Miyaoka-Yau inequality** (S.-L. Tan):

$$c_1^2(F) \leq 2c_2(F).$$

Theorem (J.Lu, S.-L. Tan, Local dual theorem)

$$\chi_F + \chi_{F^*} = N_{\bar{F}},$$

where F^* is the dual model of F , \bar{F} is the normal-crossing model.

Theorem (J.Lu, S.-L. Tan, Local Arakelov inequality)

$$\frac{1}{6}N_{\bar{F}} \leq \chi_F \leq \frac{5}{6}N_{\bar{F}},$$

where F^* is the dual model of F , \bar{F} is the normal-crossing model.

Thank you!