

# Classification of extensions of $AT$ -algebras

Changguo Wei (魏常果)

Ocean University of China, Qingdao

April 19, 2012 at ECNU

# Extension

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras. Recall that an extension of  $A$  by  $B$  is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} A \rightarrow 0.$$

Denote this extension by  $e$  or  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  and the set of all such extensions by  $\mathcal{E}xt(A, B)$ .

The extension  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  is called trivial, if the above sequence splits, i.e. if there is a homomorphism  $\gamma : A \rightarrow E$  such that  $\beta \circ \gamma = id_A$ .

We call  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  essential, if  $\alpha(B)$  is an essential ideal in  $E$ . We denote the set of all essential extensions by  $\mathcal{E}xt^e(A, B)$ .

## Extension

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras. Recall that an extension of  $A$  by  $B$  is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} A \rightarrow 0.$$

Denote this extension by  $e$  or  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  and the set of all such extensions by  $\mathcal{E}\text{xt}(A, B)$ .

The extension  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  is called trivial, if the above sequence splits, i.e. if there is a homomorphism  $\gamma : A \rightarrow E$  such that  $\beta \circ \gamma = id_A$ .

We call  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  essential, if  $\alpha(B)$  is an essential ideal in  $E$ . We denote the set of all essential extensions by  $\mathcal{E}\text{xt}^e(A, B)$ .

## Extension

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras. Recall that an extension of  $A$  by  $B$  is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} A \rightarrow 0.$$

Denote this extension by  $e$  or  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  and the set of all such extensions by  $\mathcal{E}\text{xt}(A, B)$ .

The extension  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  is called trivial, if the above sequence splits, i.e. if there is a homomorphism  $\gamma : A \rightarrow E$  such that  $\beta \circ \gamma = id_A$ .

We call  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  essential, if  $\alpha(B)$  is an essential ideal in  $E$ . We denote the set of all essential extensions by  $\mathcal{E}\text{xt}^e(A, B)$ .

## Extension

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras. Recall that an extension of  $A$  by  $B$  is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} A \rightarrow 0.$$

Denote this extension by  $e$  or  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  and the set of all such extensions by  $\mathcal{E}\text{xt}(A, B)$ .

The extension  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  is called trivial, if the above sequence splits, i.e. if there is a homomorphism  $\gamma : A \rightarrow E$  such that  $\beta \circ \gamma = id_A$ .

We call  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  essential, if  $\alpha(B)$  is an essential ideal in  $E$ . We denote the set of all essential extensions by  $\mathcal{E}\text{xt}^e(A, B)$ .

# The Busby invariant

The Busby invariant of  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  is a homomorphism  $\tau$  from  $A$  into the corona algebra  $\mathcal{Q}(B) = M(B)/B$  defined by  $\tau(a) = \pi(\sigma(b))$  for  $a \in A$ , where  $\pi : M(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(B)$  is the quotient map, and  $b \in E$  such that  $\beta(b) = a$ .

Hence, we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & M(B) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}(B) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

If  $A$  is unital and the Busby invariant is unital, then  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  is called unital.

## The Busby invariant

The Busby invariant of  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  is a homomorphism  $\tau$  from  $A$  into the corona algebra  $\mathcal{Q}(B) = M(B)/B$  defined by  $\tau(a) = \pi(\sigma(b))$  for  $a \in A$ , where  $\pi : M(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(B)$  is the quotient map, and  $b \in E$  such that  $\beta(b) = a$ .

Hence, we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & M(B) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}(B) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

If  $A$  is unital and the Busby invariant is unital, then  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  is called unital.

## The Busby invariant

The Busby invariant of  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  is a homomorphism  $\tau$  from  $A$  into the corona algebra  $\mathcal{Q}(B) = M(B)/B$  defined by  $\tau(a) = \pi(\sigma(b))$  for  $a \in A$ , where  $\pi : M(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(B)$  is the quotient map, and  $b \in E$  such that  $\beta(b) = a$ .

Hence, we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & M(B) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}(B) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

If  $A$  is unital and the Busby invariant is unital, then  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  is called unital.



## The Busby invariant

The Busby invariant of  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  is a homomorphism  $\tau$  from  $A$  into the corona algebra  $\mathcal{Q}(B) = M(B)/B$  defined by  $\tau(a) = \pi(\sigma(b))$  for  $a \in A$ , where  $\pi : M(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(B)$  is the quotient map, and  $b \in E$  such that  $\beta(b) = a$ .

Hence, we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & M(B) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}(B) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

If  $A$  is unital and the Busby invariant is unital, then  $(E, \alpha, \beta)$  is called unital.

# Equivalence

Let  $e_i : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  be two extensions with Busby invariants  $\tau_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

## Definition 1

$e_1$  and  $e_2$  are called congruent, denoted by  $e_1 \equiv e_2$ , if there exists an isomorphism  $\eta$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \eta & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

# Equivalence

Let  $e_i : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  be two extensions with Busby invariants  $\tau_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

## Definition 1

$e_1$  and  $e_2$  are called congruent, denoted by  $e_1 \equiv e_2$ , if there exists an isomorphism  $\eta$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \eta & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

# Equivalence

Let  $e_i : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  be two extensions with Busby invariants  $\tau_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

## Definition 1

$e_1$  and  $e_2$  are called congruent, denoted by  $e_1 \equiv e_2$ , if there exists an isomorphism  $\eta$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \eta & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

# Equivalence

Let  $e_i : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  be two extensions with Busby invariants  $\tau_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

## Definition 1

$e_1$  and  $e_2$  are called congruent, denoted by  $e_1 \equiv e_2$ , if there exists an isomorphism  $\eta$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \eta & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

## Definition 2

$e_1$  and  $e_2$  are called (strongly) unitarily equivalent, denoted by  $e_1 \overset{s}{\sim} e_2$ , if there exists a unitary  $u \in M(B)$  such that  $\tau_2(a) = \pi(u)\tau_1(a)\pi(u)^*$  for all  $a \in A$ . Denote by  $\text{Ext}(A, B)$  or  $\text{Ext}_s(A, B)$  the set of (strongly) unitary equivalence classes of extensions of  $A$  by  $B$ .

### Definition 3

Weakly unitarily equivalent, denoted by  $e_1 \overset{w}{\sim} e_2$ , if there exists a unitary  $u \in \mathcal{Q}(B)$  such that  $\tau_2(a) = u\tau_1(a)u^*$  for all  $a \in A$ . Denote by  $\text{Ext}_w(A, B)$  the set of weakly unitary equivalence classes of extensions of  $A$  by  $B$ .

## Definition 4

$e_1$  and  $e_2$  are called isomorphic, denoted by  $e_1 \cong e_2$ , if there exist isomorphisms  $\beta, \eta, \alpha$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \alpha & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Denote the morphism of extensions by  $(\beta, \eta, \alpha) : e_1 \rightarrow e_2$ . Denote by  $\text{Ext}_I(A, B)$  the set of equivalence classes of extensions up to isomorphism.



# Sum of extensions

Suppose that  $B$  is a stable  $C^*$ -algebra. Then the sum of two extensions  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  is defined to be the homomorphism  $\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2$ , where  $\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 : A \rightarrow Q(B) \oplus Q(B) \subseteq M_2(Q(B)) \cong Q(B)$ .

- $\text{Ext}_s(A, B)$  and  $\text{Ext}_w(A, B)$  are semigroups
- Trivial extensions construct subsemigroups of  $\text{Ext}_s(A, B)$  and  $\text{Ext}_w(A, B)$ , respectively

## Sum of extensions

Suppose that  $B$  is a stable  $C^*$ -algebra. Then the sum of two extensions  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  is defined to be the homomorphism  $\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2$ , where  $\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 : A \rightarrow Q(B) \oplus Q(B) \subseteq M_2(Q(B)) \cong Q(B)$ .

- $\text{Ext}_s(A, B)$  and  $\text{Ext}_w(A, B)$  are semigroups
- Trivial extensions construct subsemigroups of  $\text{Ext}_s(A, B)$  and  $\text{Ext}_w(A, B)$ , respectively

## Sum of extensions

Suppose that  $B$  is a stable  $C^*$ -algebra. Then the sum of two extensions  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  is defined to be the homomorphism  $\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2$ , where  $\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 : A \rightarrow Q(B) \oplus Q(B) \subseteq M_2(Q(B)) \cong Q(B)$ .

- $\text{Ext}_s(A, B)$  and  $\text{Ext}_w(A, B)$  are semigroups
- Trivial extensions construct subsemigroups of  $\text{Ext}_s(A, B)$  and  $\text{Ext}_w(A, B)$ , respectively

# Ext-group

The stable Ext-group  $\text{Ext}(A, B)$  is the quotient of  $\text{Ext}_s(A, B)$  by the subsemigroup of trivial extensions. The equivalence class of an extension  $\tau$  in  $\text{Ext}(A, B)$  is denoted by  $[\tau]$ .

If  $[\tau_1] = [\tau_2]$  in  $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ , then  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are called stably unitarily equivalent, denoted by  $\tau_1 \overset{ss}{\sim} \tau_2$ .

- $[\tau_1] = [\tau_2]$  iff there are trivial extensions  $\sigma_i$  such that  $\tau_1 \oplus \sigma_1 \overset{s}{\sim} \tau_2 \oplus \sigma_2$
- If  $A$  is a separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra and  $B$  is a  $\sigma$ -unital  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $\text{Ext}(A, B)$  is an abelian group
- $\text{Ext}(A, B) \cong KK^1(A, B)$

# Ext-group

The stable Ext-group  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$  is the quotient of  $\text{Ext}_s(A, B)$  by the subsemigroup of trivial extensions. The equivalence class of an extension  $\tau$  in  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$  is denoted by  $[\tau]$ .

If  $[\tau_1] = [\tau_2]$  in  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$ , then  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are called stably unitarily equivalent, denoted by  $\tau_1 \overset{ss}{\sim} \tau_2$ .

- $[\tau_1] = [\tau_2]$  iff there are trivial extensions  $\sigma_i$  such that  $\tau_1 \oplus \sigma_1 \overset{s}{\sim} \tau_2 \oplus \sigma_2$
- If  $A$  is a separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra and  $B$  is a  $\sigma$ -unital  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$  is an abelian group
- $E\text{xt}(A, B) \cong KK^1(A, B)$

# Ext-group

The stable Ext-group  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$  is the quotient of  $\text{Ext}_s(A, B)$  by the subsemigroup of trivial extensions. The equivalence class of an extension  $\tau$  in  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$  is denoted by  $[\tau]$ .

If  $[\tau_1] = [\tau_2]$  in  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$ , then  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are called stably unitarily equivalent, denoted by  $\tau_1 \overset{ss}{\sim} \tau_2$ .

- $[\tau_1] = [\tau_2]$  iff there are trivial extensions  $\sigma_i$  such that  $\tau_1 \oplus \sigma_1 \overset{s}{\sim} \tau_2 \oplus \sigma_2$
- If  $A$  is a separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra and  $B$  is a  $\sigma$ -unital  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$  is an abelian group
- $E\text{xt}(A, B) \cong KK^1(A, B)$

# Ext-group

The stable Ext-group  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$  is the quotient of  $\text{Ext}_s(A, B)$  by the subsemigroup of trivial extensions. The equivalence class of an extension  $\tau$  in  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$  is denoted by  $[\tau]$ .

If  $[\tau_1] = [\tau_2]$  in  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$ , then  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are called stably unitarily equivalent, denoted by  $\tau_1 \overset{ss}{\sim} \tau_2$ .

- $[\tau_1] = [\tau_2]$  iff there are trivial extensions  $\sigma_i$  such that  $\tau_1 \oplus \sigma_1 \overset{s}{\sim} \tau_2 \oplus \sigma_2$
- If  $A$  is a separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra and  $B$  is a  $\sigma$ -unital  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$  is an abelian group
- $E\text{xt}(A, B) \cong KK^1(A, B)$

# Ext-group

The stable Ext-group  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$  is the quotient of  $\text{Ext}_s(A, B)$  by the subsemigroup of trivial extensions. The equivalence class of an extension  $\tau$  in  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$  is denoted by  $[\tau]$ .

If  $[\tau_1] = [\tau_2]$  in  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$ , then  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are called stably unitarily equivalent, denoted by  $\tau_1 \overset{ss}{\sim} \tau_2$ .

- $[\tau_1] = [\tau_2]$  iff there are trivial extensions  $\sigma_i$  such that  $\tau_1 \oplus \sigma_1 \overset{s}{\sim} \tau_2 \oplus \sigma_2$
- If  $A$  is a separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra and  $B$  is a  $\sigma$ -unital  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$  is an abelian group
- $E\text{xt}(A, B) \cong KK^1(A, B)$



# Ext-group

The stable Ext-group  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$  is the quotient of  $\text{Ext}_s(A, B)$  by the subsemigroup of trivial extensions. The equivalence class of an extension  $\tau$  in  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$  is denoted by  $[\tau]$ .

If  $[\tau_1] = [\tau_2]$  in  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$ , then  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are called stably unitarily equivalent, denoted by  $\tau_1 \overset{ss}{\sim} \tau_2$ .

- $[\tau_1] = [\tau_2]$  iff there are trivial extensions  $\sigma_i$  such that  $\tau_1 \oplus \sigma_1 \overset{s}{\sim} \tau_2 \oplus \sigma_2$
- If  $A$  is a separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra and  $B$  is a  $\sigma$ -unital  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $E\text{xt}(A, B)$  is an abelian group
- $E\text{xt}(A, B) \cong KK^1(A, B)$

# Relations of equivalences

- $\equiv \implies \overset{s}{\sim} \implies \overset{w}{\sim} \implies \overset{ss}{\sim}$ . Conversely, they do not hold.
- $\overset{s}{\sim} \implies \cong \not\Rightarrow \overset{w}{\sim}$
- $\overset{w}{\sim} \not\Rightarrow \cong$

Note: In general,  $\text{Ext}_I(A, B)$  is not a semigroup since the isomorphism equivalence can not preserve the addition.

# Relations of equivalences

- $\equiv \implies \overset{s}{\sim} \implies \overset{w}{\sim} \implies \overset{ss}{\sim}$ . Conversely, they do not hold.
- $\overset{s}{\sim} \implies \cong \not\Rightarrow \overset{w}{\sim}$
- $\overset{w}{\sim} \not\Rightarrow \cong$

Note: In general,  $\text{Ext}_I(A, B)$  is not a semigroup since the isomorphism equivalence can not preserve the addition.

# Invariant

Suppose that  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Denote by  $T(A)$  the tracial state space of  $A$  and denote by  $Aff(T(A))$  the space of all real affine continuous functions on  $T(A)$ .

Define  $\rho_A : K_0(A) \rightarrow Aff(T(A))$  to be the positive homomorphism defined by  $\rho_A([p])(\tau) = \tau(p)$  for each projection  $p$  in  $M_k(A)$ .

Let  $A$  be a unital simple separable  $C^*$ -algebra. Recall that the Elliott invariant of  $A$  is the 6-tuple:  $(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A], K_1(A), T(A), r_A)$ . We denote it by  $Ell(A)$ .

When  $A$  is non-unital, let  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  be the set of lower-semicontinuous densely defined traces on  $A$  equipped with the weakest topology such that the functional  $\tau \rightarrow \tau(a)$  is continuous for any  $a \in A^+$  dominated by a projection. Let  $Inv(A) = (K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, \Sigma(A), K_1(A), \mathcal{T}(A), r_A)$ , where  $\Sigma(A) = \{[p] : p \in P(A)\}$  is the scale and  $P(A)$  is the set of projections in  $A$ .

# Invariant

Suppose that  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Denote by  $T(A)$  the tracial state space of  $A$  and denote by  $Aff(T(A))$  the space of all real affine continuous functions on  $T(A)$ .

Define  $\rho_A : K_0(A) \rightarrow Aff(T(A))$  to be the positive homomorphism defined by  $\rho_A([p])(\tau) = \tau(p)$  for each projection  $p$  in  $M_k(A)$ .

Let  $A$  be a unital simple separable  $C^*$ -algebra. Recall that the Elliott invariant of  $A$  is the 6-tuple:  $(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A], K_1(A), T(A), r_A)$ . We denote it by  $Ell(A)$ .

When  $A$  is non-unital, let  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  be the set of lower-semicontinuous densely defined traces on  $A$  equipped with the weakest topology such that the functional  $\tau \rightarrow \tau(a)$  is continuous for any  $a \in A^+$  dominated by a projection. Let  $Inv(A) = (K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, \Sigma(A), K_1(A), \mathcal{T}(A), r_A)$ , where  $\Sigma(A) = \{[p] : p \in P(A)\}$  is the scale and  $P(A)$  is the set of projections in  $A$ .

## Invariant

Suppose that  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Denote by  $T(A)$  the tracial state space of  $A$  and denote by  $Aff(T(A))$  the space of all real affine continuous functions on  $T(A)$ .

Define  $\rho_A : K_0(A) \rightarrow Aff(T(A))$  to be the positive homomorphism defined by  $\rho_A([p])(\tau) = \tau(p)$  for each projection  $p$  in  $M_k(A)$ .

Let  $A$  be a unital simple separable  $C^*$ -algebra. Recall that the Elliott invariant of  $A$  is the 6-tuple:  $(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A], K_1(A), T(A), r_A)$ . We denote it by  $Ell(A)$ .

When  $A$  is non-unital, let  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  be the set of lower-semicontinuous densely defined traces on  $A$  equipped with the weakest topology such that the functional  $\tau \rightarrow \tau(a)$  is continuous for any  $a \in A^+$  dominated by a projection. Let  $Inv(A) = (K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, \Sigma(A), K_1(A), \mathcal{T}(A), r_A)$ , where  $\Sigma(A) = \{[p] : p \in P(A)\}$  is the scale and  $P(A)$  is the set of projections in  $A$ .

## Invariant

Suppose that  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Denote by  $T(A)$  the tracial state space of  $A$  and denote by  $Aff(T(A))$  the space of all real affine continuous functions on  $T(A)$ .

Define  $\rho_A : K_0(A) \rightarrow Aff(T(A))$  to be the positive homomorphism defined by  $\rho_A([p])(\tau) = \tau(p)$  for each projection  $p$  in  $M_k(A)$ .

Let  $A$  be a unital simple separable  $C^*$ -algebra. Recall that the Elliott invariant of  $A$  is the 6-tuple:  $(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A], K_1(A), T(A), r_A)$ . We denote it by  $Ell(A)$ .

When  $A$  is non-unital, let  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  be the set of lower-semicontinuous densely defined traces on  $A$  equipped with the weakest topology such that the functional  $\tau \rightarrow \tau(a)$  is continuous for any  $a \in A^+$  dominated by a projection. Let  $Inv(A) = (K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, \Sigma(A), K_1(A), \mathcal{T}(A), r_A)$ , where  $\Sigma(A) = \{[p] : p \in P(A)\}$  is the scale and  $P(A)$  is the set of projections in  $A$ .

## Invariant

Suppose that  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Denote by  $T(A)$  the tracial state space of  $A$  and denote by  $Aff(T(A))$  the space of all real affine continuous functions on  $T(A)$ .

Define  $\rho_A : K_0(A) \rightarrow Aff(T(A))$  to be the positive homomorphism defined by  $\rho_A([p])(\tau) = \tau(p)$  for each projection  $p$  in  $M_k(A)$ .

Let  $A$  be a unital simple separable  $C^*$ -algebra. Recall that the Elliott invariant of  $A$  is the 6-tuple:  $(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A], K_1(A), T(A), r_A)$ . We denote it by  $Ell(A)$ .

When  $A$  is non-unital, let  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  be the set of lower-semicontinuous densely defined traces on  $A$  equipped with the weakest topology such that the functional  $\tau \rightarrow \tau(a)$  is continuous for any  $a \in A^+$  dominated by a projection. Let  $Inv(A) = (K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, \Sigma(A), K_1(A), \mathcal{T}(A), r_A)$ , where  $\Sigma(A) = \{[p] : p \in P(A)\}$  is the scale and  $P(A)$  is the set of projections in  $A$ .



Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two unital simple separable amenable  $C^*$ -algebras with stable rank one. We write  $Ell(A) \cong Ell(B)$  if

$$(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A], K_1(A), T(A), r_A) \cong (K_0(B), K_0(B)^+, [1_B], K_1(B), T(B), r_B)$$

that is, if there are an isomorphism  $\alpha_1 : K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(B)$ , an order isomorphism  $\alpha_0 : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$  such that  $\alpha_0([1_A]) = [1_B]$  and an affine homeomorphism  $\gamma : T(B) \rightarrow T(A)$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(B) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & T(A) \\ \downarrow r_A & & \downarrow r_B \\ S(K_0(B)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0^*} & S(K_0(A)) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Similarly, one can define an isomorphism  $Inv(A) \cong Inv(B)$  when  $A$  and  $B$  are non-unital.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two unital simple separable amenable  $C^*$ -algebras with stable rank one. We write  $Ell(A) \cong Ell(B)$  if

$$(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A], K_1(A), T(A), r_A) \cong (K_0(B), K_0(B)^+, [1_B], K_1(B), T(B), r_B)$$

that is, if there are an isomorphism  $\alpha_1 : K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(B)$ , an order isomorphism  $\alpha_0 : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$  such that  $\alpha_0([1_A]) = [1_B]$  and an affine homeomorphism  $\gamma : T(B) \rightarrow T(A)$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(B) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & T(A) \\ \downarrow r_A & & \downarrow r_B \\ S(K_0(B)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0^*} & S(K_0(A)) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Similarly, one can define an isomorphism  $Inv(A) \cong Inv(B)$  when  $A$  and  $B$  are non-unital.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two unital simple separable amenable  $C^*$ -algebras with stable rank one. We write  $Ell(A) \cong Ell(B)$  if

$$(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A], K_1(A), T(A), r_A) \cong (K_0(B), K_0(B)^+, [1_B], K_1(B), T(B), r_B)$$

that is, if there are an isomorphism  $\alpha_1 : K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(B)$ , an order isomorphism  $\alpha_0 : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$  such that  $\alpha_0([1_A]) = [1_B]$  and an affine homeomorphism  $\gamma : T(B) \rightarrow T(A)$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(B) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & T(A) \\ \downarrow r_A & & \downarrow r_B \\ S(K_0(B)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0^*} & S(K_0(A)) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Similarly, one can define an isomorphism  $Inv(A) \cong Inv(B)$  when  $A$  and  $B$  are non-unital.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two unital simple separable amenable  $C^*$ -algebras with stable rank one. We write  $Ell(A) \cong Ell(B)$  if

$$(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A], K_1(A), T(A), r_A) \cong (K_0(B), K_0(B)^+, [1_B], K_1(B), T(B), r_B)$$

that is, if there are an isomorphism  $\alpha_1 : K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(B)$ , an order isomorphism  $\alpha_0 : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$  such that  $\alpha_0([1_A]) = [1_B]$  and an affine homeomorphism  $\gamma : T(B) \rightarrow T(A)$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(B) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & T(A) \\ \downarrow r_A & & \downarrow r_B \\ S(K_0(B)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0^*} & S(K_0(A)) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Similarly, one can define an isomorphism  $Inv(A) \cong Inv(B)$  when  $A$  and  $B$  are non-unital.

Let  $e : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  be an extension of  $A$  by  $B$ . Denote by  $K(e)$  the six term exact sequence of  $e$  in  $K$ -theory:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) \\
 \delta_1 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \delta_0 \\
 K_1(A) & \longleftarrow & K_1(E) & \longleftarrow & K_1(B)
 \end{array}$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{H}ext(A, B)$  all such  $K(e)$  of extensions of  $A$  by  $B$ .

Let  $e : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  be an extension of  $A$  by  $B$ . Denote by  $K(e)$  the six term exact sequence of  $e$  in  $K$ -theory:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) \\
 \delta_1 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \delta_0 \\
 K_1(A) & \longleftarrow & K_1(E) & \longleftarrow & K_1(B)
 \end{array}$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{H}ext(A, B)$  all such  $K(e)$  of extensions of  $A$  by  $B$ .

Let  $e_i \in \mathcal{E}xt(A_i, B_i) (i = 1, 2)$ . We call  $(\alpha_*, \beta_*, \lambda_*) : K(e_1) \rightarrow K(e_2)$  a morphism if there are homomorphisms  $\alpha_* : K_*(A_1) \rightarrow K_*(A_2)$ ,  $\beta_* : K_*(B_1) \rightarrow K_*(B_2)$ , and  $\lambda_* : K_*(E_1) \rightarrow K_*(E_2)$  making the obvious diagram commutative.

If  $\alpha_*$ ,  $\beta_*$  and  $\lambda_*$  are isomorphisms, then  $K(e_1)$  and  $K(e_2)$  are called isomorphic, written  $K(e_1) \cong K(e_2)$ . If  $A_1 = A_2 = A$ ,  $B_1 = B_2 = B$  and there is an isomorphism  $(id_{K_*(A)}, id_{K_*(B)}, \lambda_*) : K(e_1) \rightarrow K(e_2)$ , then they are called congruent, written  $K(e_1) \equiv K(e_2)$ .

Let  $Hext(A, B)$  denote the set of congruent classes of six term exact sequences in  $\mathcal{H}ext(A, B)$ .

Let  $e_i \in \mathcal{E}xt(A_i, B_i) (i = 1, 2)$ . We call  $(\alpha_*, \beta_*, \lambda_*) : K(e_1) \rightarrow K(e_2)$  a morphism if there are homomorphisms  $\alpha_* : K_*(A_1) \rightarrow K_*(A_2)$ ,  $\beta_* : K_*(B_1) \rightarrow K_*(B_2)$ , and  $\lambda_* : K_*(E_1) \rightarrow K_*(E_2)$  making the obvious diagram commutative.

If  $\alpha_*$ ,  $\beta_*$  and  $\lambda_*$  are isomorphisms, then  $K(e_1)$  and  $K(e_2)$  are called isomorphic, written  $K(e_1) \cong K(e_2)$ . If  $A_1 = A_2 = A$ ,  $B_1 = B_2 = B$  and there is an isomorphism  $(id_{K_*(A)}, id_{K_*(B)}, \lambda_*) : K(e_1) \rightarrow K(e_2)$ , then they are called congruent, written  $K(e_1) \equiv K(e_2)$ .

Let  $Hext(A, B)$  denote the set of congruent classes of six term exact sequences in  $\mathcal{H}ext(A, B)$ .



Let  $e_i \in \mathcal{E}xt(A_i, B_i) (i = 1, 2)$ . We call  $(\alpha_*, \beta_*, \lambda_*) : K(e_1) \rightarrow K(e_2)$  a morphism if there are homomorphisms  $\alpha_* : K_*(A_1) \rightarrow K_*(A_2)$ ,  $\beta_* : K_*(B_1) \rightarrow K_*(B_2)$ , and  $\lambda_* : K_*(E_1) \rightarrow K_*(E_2)$  making the obvious diagram commutative.

If  $\alpha_*$ ,  $\beta_*$  and  $\lambda_*$  are isomorphisms, then  $K(e_1)$  and  $K(e_2)$  are called isomorphic, written  $K(e_1) \cong K(e_2)$ . If  $A_1 = A_2 = A$ ,  $B_1 = B_2 = B$  and there is an isomorphism  $(id_{K_*(A)}, id_{K_*(B)}, \lambda_*) : K(e_1) \rightarrow K(e_2)$ , then they are called congruent, written  $K(e_1) \equiv K(e_2)$ .

Let  $Hext(A, B)$  denote the set of congruent classes of six term exact sequences in  $\mathcal{H}ext(A, B)$ .

Let  $e_i \in \mathcal{E}xt(A_i, B_i) (i = 1, 2)$ . We call  $(\alpha_*, \beta_*, \lambda_*) : K(e_1) \rightarrow K(e_2)$  a morphism if there are homomorphisms  $\alpha_* : K_*(A_1) \rightarrow K_*(A_2)$ ,  $\beta_* : K_*(B_1) \rightarrow K_*(B_2)$ , and  $\lambda_* : K_*(E_1) \rightarrow K_*(E_2)$  making the obvious diagram commutative.

If  $\alpha_*$ ,  $\beta_*$  and  $\lambda_*$  are isomorphisms, then  $K(e_1)$  and  $K(e_2)$  are called isomorphic, written  $K(e_1) \cong K(e_2)$ . If  $A_1 = A_2 = A$ ,  $B_1 = B_2 = B$  and there is an isomorphism  $(id_{K_*(A)}, id_{K_*(B)}, \lambda_*) : K(e_1) \rightarrow K(e_2)$ , then they are called congruent, written  $K(e_1) \equiv K(e_2)$ .

Let  $Hext(A, B)$  denote the set of congruent classes of six term exact sequences in  $\mathcal{H}ext(A, B)$ .

Denote by  $KK(A, B)^{++}$  those elements  $x \in KK(A, B)$  such that

$$K_0(x)(K_0(A)_+ \setminus \{0\}) \subset K_0(B)_+ \setminus \{0\}.$$

Suppose that both  $A$  and  $B$  are unital. Denote by  $KK_e(A, B)^{++}$  the set of those elements  $x$  in  $KK(A, B)^{++}$  such that  $K_0(x)([1_A]) = [1_B]$ .

Denote by  $KK(A, B)^{++}$  those elements  $x \in KK(A, B)$  such that

$$K_0(x)(K_0(A)_+ \setminus \{0\}) \subset K_0(B)_+ \setminus \{0\}.$$

Suppose that both  $A$  and  $B$  are unital. Denote by  $KK_e(A, B)^{++}$  the set of those elements  $x$  in  $KK(A, B)^{++}$  such that  $K_0(x)([1_A]) = [1_B]$ .

# Classification — — nonunital case

## Some Results

Consider the extension  $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$

- Rordam, 1997: When  $A, B$  are stable Kirchberg algebras, then  $K(e)$  is a complete invariant for extensions up to stable isomorphism
- Eilers-Restorff-Ruiz, 2009: Suppose that  $A, B$  are in a certain class of  $C^*$ -algebras which are classified by  $K_*^+(A) = (K_0(A), K_0(A)_+, K_1(A))$  and  $B$  has CFP, then  $K_*^+(A) + K(e)$  is a complete invariant for full extensions being stably isomorphic.

# Classification — — nonunital case

## Some Results

Consider the extension  $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$

- Rordam, 1997: When  $A, B$  are stable Kirchberg algebras, then  $K(e)$  is a complete invariant for extensions up to stable isomorphism
- Eilers-Restorff-Ruiz, 2009: Suppose that  $A, B$  are in a certain class of  $C^*$ -algebras which are classified by  $K_*^+(A) = (K_0(A), K_0(A)_+, K_1(A))$  and  $B$  has CFP, then  $K_*^+(A) + K(e)$  is a complete invariant for full extensions being stably isomorphic.

# Classification — — nonunital case

## Some Results

Consider the extension  $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$

- Rordam, 1997: When  $A, B$  are stable Kirchberg algebras, then  $K(e)$  is a complete invariant for extensions up to stable isomorphism
- Eilers-Restorff-Ruiz, 2009: Suppose that  $A, B$  are in a certain class of  $C^*$ -algebras which are classified by  $K_*^+(A) = (K_0(A), K_0(A)_+, K_1(A))$  and  $B$  has CFP, then  $K_*^+(A) + K(e)$  is a complete invariant for full extensions being stably isomorphic.

## Definition

Let  $B$  be separable stable  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $B$  is said to have the Corona Factorization Property (CFP) if every full projection in  $M(B)$  is M-v equivalent to  $1_{M(B)}$ .

If  $B$  has CFP, then

- Note: every nonunital full extension is absorbing, and every unital full extension is unital-absorbing.
- Lin-Kucerovsky-Ng:  $KK^1(A, B) = \{[\tau]_u : \tau \text{ is nonunital, full}\}$  for separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .



## Definition

Let  $B$  be separable stable  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $B$  is said to have the Corona Factorization Property (CFP) if every full projection in  $M(B)$  is M-v equivalent to  $1_{M(B)}$ .

If  $B$  has CFP, then

- Note: every nonunital full extension is absorbing, and every unital full extension is unital-absorbing.
- Lin-Kucerovsky-Ng:  $KK^1(A, B) = \{[\tau]_u : \tau \text{ is nonunital, full}\}$  for separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .

## Definition

Let  $B$  be separable stable  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $B$  is said to have the Corona Factorization Property (CFP) if every full projection in  $M(B)$  is M-v equivalent to  $1_{M(B)}$ .

If  $B$  has CFP, then

- Note: every nonunital full extension is absorbing, and every unital full extension is unital-absorbing.
- Lin-Kucerovsky-Ng:  $KK^1(A, B) = \{[\tau]_u : \tau \text{ is nonunital, full}\}$  for separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .

## Lemma (Ortega-Perera-Rordam)

Let  $B$  be a separable, unital  $C^*$ -algebra with finite decomposition rank. Then  $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$  has the corona factorization property.

## Corollary

Let  $B$  be a unital  $AT$ -algebra, then  $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$  has CFP.

## Lemma (Ortega-Perera-Rordam)

Let  $B$  be a separable, unital  $C^*$ -algebra with finite decomposition rank. Then  $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$  has the corona factorization property.

## Corollary

Let  $B$  be a unital  $AT$ -algebra, then  $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$  has CFP.

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be a simple  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra with unit. Suppose that  $a \in KK_e(A, A)^{++}$  and  $\gamma : T(A) \rightarrow T(A)$  is an affine homeomorphism such that

$$K_*(a) : (K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A], K_1(A)) \rightarrow (K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A], K_1(A))$$

is an isomorphism and  $\gamma$  is compatible with  $K_0(a)$ .

It follows that there is an automorphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow A$  such that  $KK(\phi) = a$  in  $KK(A, A)$  and  $\phi_T = \gamma$ .

## Lemma (Rordam)

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras in  $\mathcal{N}$  with  $B$  stable, and let  $x_1, x_2 \in \text{Ext}(A, B)$ . Then  $K(x_1) = K(x_2)$  in  $\text{Hext}(A, B)$  if and only if there exist elements  $a$  in  $KK(A, A)$  and  $b$  in  $KK(B, B)$  with  $K_*(a) = K_*(id_A)$  and  $K_*(b) = K_*(id_B)$  such that  $x_1 b = a x_2$ .

## Lemma

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be simple  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras with  $A$  unital and  $B$  stable. Assume that  $a \in KK(A, A)$ ,  $b \in KK(B, B)$  such that  $K_*(a) = id_{K_*(A)}$  and  $K_*(b) = id_{K_*(B)}$ . Then there are isomorphisms  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ ,  $\beta : B \rightarrow B$  such that  $KK(\alpha) = a$  and  $KK(\beta) = b$ .

## Theorem

Let  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  be simple  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras with  $A$  unital and  $B$  stable. Suppose that  $e_i : 0 \rightarrow B_i \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow A_i \rightarrow 0$  are non-unital full extensions. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $E_1$  is isomorphic to  $E_2$ .
- (2) There is an extension isomorphism  $(\beta, \eta, \alpha) : e_1 \rightarrow e_2$ , i.e.  $e_1 \cong e_2$ .
- (3) The six term exact sequences associated to  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are isomorphic, i.e. there are isomorphisms  $\beta_{\#} : \text{Inv}(B_1) \rightarrow \text{Inv}(B_2)$ ,  $\eta_* : K_*(E_1) \rightarrow K_*(E_2)$  and  $\alpha_{\#} : \text{Ell}(A_1) \rightarrow \text{Ell}(A_2)$  such that  $(\beta_*, \eta_*, \alpha_*) : K(e_1) \rightarrow K(e_2)$  is an isomorphism.



## Theorem

Suppose that  $A_i$  are simple  $AT$ -algebras with units, and  $B_i$  are stabilizations of unital  $AF$ -algebras. Let  $e_i : 0 \rightarrow B_i \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow A_i \rightarrow 0$  be non-unital full extensions. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $E_1 \cong E_2$ .
- (2)  $e_1 \cong e_2$ .
- (3) The six term exact sequences associated to  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are isomorphic, i.e. there is an isomorphism  $(\beta_*, \eta_*, \alpha_*) : K(e_1) \rightarrow K(e_2)$  for some isomorphisms  $\beta_* : (K_0(B_1), K_0(B_1)^+) \rightarrow (K_0(B_2), K_0(B_2)^+)$  and  $\alpha_{\sharp} : Ell(A_1) \rightarrow Ell(A_2)$ .

# When is an extension an $AT$ -algebra?

Given an extension  $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$

**Question:** Let  $A, B$  be in a class  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $C^*$ -algebras. Which condition will make  $E$  be in  $\mathcal{A}$ ?

Brown-Effros-Elliott, 1980s

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\text{AF-algebras}\} \implies E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

# When is an extension an $AT$ -algebra?

Given an extension  $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$

**Question:** Let  $A, B$  be in a class  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $C^*$ -algebras. Which condition will make  $E$  be in  $\mathcal{A}$ ?

Brown-Effros-Elliott, 1980s

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\text{AF-algebras}\} \implies E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

## Lin-Rordam, 1992

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras with real rank zero and let  $e$  be an extension  $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ . Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $E$  is an  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra of real rank zero.
- (2)  $E$  has real rank zero and stable rank one.
- (3) The index maps  $\delta_i : K_i(A) \rightarrow K_{1-i}(B)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$  are both trivial.

## Dadarlat-Loring, 1993

Assume that  $A, B$  are  $AD$ -algebras with real rank zero,  $K_1(B) = 0$  or  $K_1(A)$  torsion free. TFAE:

- (1)  $E$  is an  $AD$ -algebra of real rank zero.
- (2)  $RR(E) = 0$ ,  $st(E) = 1$ .
- (3)  $\delta_i = 0$

## Theorem

Suppose that  $A$  is an  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra and  $B$  is the stabilization of a unital  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra. Let  $e : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  be a non-unital full extension of  $A$  by  $B$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $E$  is an  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra.
- (2) The index maps of  $e$  are zero.
- (3) The extension  $e$  is quasidiagonal.

## Proof:

(2)  $\iff$  (3) and (1)  $\implies$  (3) are immediate.

We only need to show that (3)  $\implies$  (1).

### Lemma 1

Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $AT$ -algebras with  $B$  stable. Then there is an absorbing trivial extension which is also quasidiagonal.

### Lemma 2

Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $AT$ -algebras with  $B$  stable. Let

$e : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\psi} A \rightarrow 0$  be an essential trivial extension of  $A$  by  $B$ . If  $e$  is quasidiagonal, then  $E$  is an  $AT$ -algebra.

## Proof:

(2)  $\iff$  (3) and (1)  $\implies$  (3) are immediate.

We only need to show that (3)  $\implies$  (1).

### Lemma 1

Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $AT$ -algebras with  $B$  stable. Then there is an absorbing trivial extension which is also quasidiagonal.

### Lemma 2

Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $AT$ -algebras with  $B$  stable. Let  $e : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\psi} A \rightarrow 0$  be an essential trivial extension of  $A$  by  $B$ . If  $e$  is quasidiagonal, then  $E$  is an  $AT$ -algebra.



## Proof:

(2)  $\iff$  (3) and (1)  $\implies$  (3) are immediate.

We only need to show that (3)  $\implies$  (1).

### Lemma 1

Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras with  $B$  stable. Then there is an absorbing trivial extension which is also quasidiagonal.

### Lemma 2

Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras with  $B$  stable. Let

$e : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\psi} A \rightarrow 0$  be an essential trivial extension of  $A$  by  $B$ . If  $e$  is quasidiagonal, then  $E$  is an  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra.

Suppose that  $e$  is a quasidiagonal extension. Let  $A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n, \iota_n)$ , where  $A_n$  is isomorphic to a quotient of a circle algebra and  $\iota_n$  are the inclusion maps. Set  $\tau_n = \tau \circ \iota_n$  and  $E_n = \pi^{-1}(\tau_n(A_n))$ , where  $\tau$  is the Busby invariant associated to  $e$ . Then we have an essential extension  $e_n$  of  $A_n$  by  $B$

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow 0$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_n & \longrightarrow & A_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Since  $A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n, \iota_n)$ , it follows that  $\tau(A) = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_n(A_n)}$ .

Therefore, it follows that

$$E = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n.$$

For each  $A_n$ , there is an increasing sequence  $\{A_{n,k}\}$  of  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $A_n$  such that each  $A_{n,k}$  is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of  $C^*$ -algebras of the form  $M_m(C(X))$  and  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{n,k}$  is dense in  $A_n$ , where  $X$  is a connected compact subset of the unit circle. Set  $\tau_{n,k} = \tau \circ \iota_{n,k}$  and  $E_{n,k} = \pi^{-1}(\tau_{n,k}(A_{n,k}))$ , where  $\iota_{n,k} : A_{n,k} \rightarrow A$  is the inclusion map. Let  $e_{n,k}$  be the essential extension of  $A_{n,k}$  by  $B$ :

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_{n,k} \rightarrow A_{n,k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Obviously, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_{n,k} & \longrightarrow & A_{n,k} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_n & \longrightarrow & A_n & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

As the above proof, we have

$$E_n = \overline{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{n,k}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_{n,k}.$$

Since  $e$  is non-unital and full, then  $e_{n,k}$  is a non-unital full extension. Hence  $e_{n,k}$  is absorbing. By the above proof, the index maps  $\delta_i : K_i(A) \rightarrow K_{1-i}(B)$  of  $e$  are trivial. Since  $\tau_{n,k} = \tau \circ \iota_{n,k}$ , then the index maps of  $e_{n,k}$  are also trivial. From Lemma 1, it follows that  $A$  is quasidiagonal relative to  $B$ , so the subalgebra  $A_{n,k}$  is also quasidiagonal relative to  $B$ . Note that  $K_*(A_{n,k})$  is free. Hence,  $e_{n,k}$  is a trivial and quasidiagonal extension. It follows from Lemma 2 that  $E_{n,k}$  is an  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra. Therefore,  $E_n$  is an  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra. Consequently,  $E$  is an  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra.

# Classification — — unital case

## Lemma

Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $C^*$ -algebras with  $A$  unital and  $B$  stable. Let  $e_i : 0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{l_i} E_i \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  be essential unital extensions. Suppose  $\tau_2 = \text{Ad}u \circ \tau_1$  for some unitary  $u$  in  $\mathcal{Q}(B)$ . Let  $v$  be a partial isometry in  $M(B)$  such that  $\pi(v) = u$ , and let  $p = v^*v$  and  $q = vv^*$ . Then

$$(K(e_1), [1]_0) \equiv (K(e_2), [q]_0 + [1 - p]_0).$$

Let  $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  be an extension with index maps  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta_1$  in its  $K$ -theory. We set  $G' = \{f([1]_0) \mid f \in \text{Hom}(\text{Ker}\delta_0, \text{Coker}\delta_1)\}$  and let  $\pi : K_0(B) \rightarrow \text{Coker}\delta_1$  be the quotient map.

### Lemma

Let  $e_i$  be essential unital extensions with Busby invariant  $\tau_i$ . If  $e_1$  is weakly unitarily equivalent to  $e_2$  by a unitary  $u \in \mathcal{Q}(B)$ . Then

$$(K(e_1), [1]_0) \equiv (K(e_2), [1]_0)$$

if and only if  $\pi([u]_1)$  is in  $G'$ .

## Lemma

Suppose  $e_i$  are essential unital extensions with Busby invariant  $\tau_i$  and  $e_1$  is weakly unitarily equivalent to  $e_2$ . If the index maps of  $e_i$  are trivial and

$$(K(e_1), [1]_0) \equiv (K(e_2), [1]_0),$$

then  $[e_1] = [e_2]$  in  $\text{Ext}_S^u(A, B)$ .

## Theorem

Let  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  be simple  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras with  $A_i$  unital and  $B_i$  stable. Suppose that  $e_i : 0 \rightarrow B_i \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow A_i \rightarrow 0$  are unital quasidiagonal extensions. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $E_1 \cong E_2$ .
- (2) There is an extension isomorphism  $(\beta, \eta, \alpha) : e_1 \rightarrow e_2$ .
- (3) There are isomorphisms  $\beta_{\sharp} : Ell(B_1) \rightarrow Ell(B_2)$ ,  $\eta_* : (K_*(E_1), [1]_0) \rightarrow (K_*(E_2), [1]_0)$  and  $\alpha_{\sharp} : Ell(A_1) \rightarrow Ell(A_2)$  such that  $(\beta_*, \eta_*, \alpha_*) : (K(e_1), [1]_0) \rightarrow (K(e_2), [1]_0)$  is an isomorphism.



## Theorem

Let  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  be simple  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras with  $A$  unital and  $B$  stable. Suppose that  $e_i : 0 \rightarrow B_i \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow A_i \rightarrow 0$  are unital essential extensions. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $E_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}$  is isomorphic to  $E_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ .
- (2) There is an extension isomorphism  $(\beta, \eta, \alpha) : Se_1 \rightarrow Se_2$ .
- (3) The six term exact sequences associated to  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are isomorphic, i.e. there are isomorphisms  $\beta_{\#} : Ell(B_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow Ell(B_2 \otimes \mathcal{K})$ ,  $\eta_* : K_*(E_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_*(E_2 \otimes \mathcal{K})$  and  $\alpha_{\#} : Ell(A_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow Ell(A_2 \otimes \mathcal{K})$  such that  $(\beta_*, \eta_*, \alpha_*) : K(Se_1) \rightarrow K(Se_2)$  is an isomorphism.

## Question

Suppose that  $e : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  is essential extension of  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras.

- Without the condition "absorption or fullness",  $e$  is trivial  $\implies e$  is QD or  $E$  is an  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra?
- Without the condition "absorption or fullness",  $e$  is QD  $\implies E$  is an  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra?
- Is  $(K(e), [1]_0)$  a complete invariant of unital extensions  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras?

## Question

Suppose that  $e : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  is essential extension of  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras.

- Without the condition "absorption or fullness",  $e$  is trivial  $\implies e$  is QD or  $E$  is an  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra?
- Without the condition "absorption or fullness",  $e$  is QD  $\implies E$  is an  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra?
- Is  $(K(e), [1]_0)$  a complete invariant of unital extensions  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras?

## Question

Suppose that  $e : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  is essential extension of  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras.

- Without the condition "absorption or fullness",  $e$  is trivial  $\implies e$  is QD or  $E$  is an  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra?
- Without the condition "absorption or fullness",  $e$  is QD  $\implies E$  is an  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra?
- Is  $(K(e), [1]_0)$  a complete invariant of unital extensions  $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras?

# Thanks