

The non-commutative Schwartz space

Krzysztof PiszczeK

Adam Mickiewicz University
Poznań, Poland
e-mail: kpk@amu.edu.pl

Special Week on Operator Algebras,
Shanghai, China, 18-22 June, 2012.

A Fréchet space X is a metrizable and complete lcs.

A Fréchet space X is a metrizable and complete lcs.

$$X = (X, (\|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$$

A Fréchet space X is a metrizable and complete lcs.

$$X = (X, (\|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \quad \text{or} \quad X = \text{proj}_k (X_k, \iota_k^{k+1}),$$

where

$$X_k = (X / \text{Ker}\|\cdot\|_k, \|\cdot\|_k) \tilde{}, \quad \iota_k^{k+1}: X_{k+1} \rightarrow X_k.$$

A Fréchet space X is a metrizable and complete lcs.

$$X = (X, (\|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \quad \text{or} \quad X = \text{proj}_k (X_k, \iota_k^{k+1}),$$

where

$$X_k = (X / \text{Ker} \|\cdot\|_k, \|\cdot\|_k)^\sim, \quad \iota_k^{k+1}: X_{k+1} \rightarrow X_k.$$

If $\iota_n: \prod_k X_k \rightarrow X_n$, $\iota_n((x_k)_k) := x_n$, then

$$\prod_k X_k \supset X = \{(x_k)_k : \iota_n^{n+1} x_{n+1} = x_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

A Fréchet space X is a metrizable and complete lcs.

$$X = (X, (\|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \quad \text{or} \quad X = \text{proj}_k (X_k, \iota_k^{k+1}),$$

where

$$X_k = (X / \text{Ker}\|\cdot\|_k, \|\cdot\|_k), \quad \iota_k^{k+1}: X_{k+1} \rightarrow X_k.$$

If $\iota_n: \prod_k X_k \rightarrow X_n$, $\iota_n((x_k)_k) := x_n$, then

$$\prod_k X_k \supset X = \{(x_k)_k : \iota_n^{n+1} x_{n+1} = x_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\iota_{n+1}} & X_{n+1} \\ & \searrow \iota_n & \downarrow \iota_n^{n+1} \\ & & X_n \end{array}$$

A Fréchet space X is a metrizable and complete lcs.

$$X = (X, (\|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \quad \text{or} \quad X = \text{proj}_k (X_k, \iota_k^{k+1}),$$

where

$$X_k = (X / \text{Ker}\|\cdot\|_k, \|\cdot\|_k), \quad \iota_k^{k+1}: X_{k+1} \rightarrow X_k.$$

If $\iota_n: \prod_k X_k \rightarrow X_n$, $\iota_n((x_k)_k) := x_n$, then

$$\prod_k X_k \supset X = \{(x_k)_k : \iota_n^{n+1} x_{n+1} = x_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\iota_{n+1}} & X_{n+1} \\
 & \searrow \iota_n & \downarrow \iota_n^{n+1} \\
 & & X_n
 \end{array}
 \quad \|x\|_n = \|\iota_n x\|_{X_n}, \quad U_n = \{x \in X : \|x\|_n \leq 1\}.$$

- 1 $C^\infty(K)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \|f^{(j)}\|_\infty$,
- 2 $C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \max_{x \in K_n} |f^{(j)}(x)|$, $\Omega = \bigcup_n K_n$,

- 1 $C^\infty(K)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \|f^{(j)}\|_\infty$,
- 2 $C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \max_{x \in K_n} |f^{(j)}(x)|$, $\Omega = \bigcup_n K_n$,
- 3 $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}^n)$,

- 1 $C^\infty(K)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \|f^{(j)}\|_\infty$,
- 2 $C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \max_{x \in K_n} |f^{(j)}(x)|$, $\Omega = \bigcup_n K_n$,
- 3 $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}^n)$,
- 4 $\omega = \{(x_j)_j \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \|x\|_n := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j|\}$,

- 1 $C^\infty(K)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \|f^{(j)}\|_\infty$,
- 2 $C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \max_{x \in K_n} |f^{(j)}(x)|$, $\Omega = \bigcup_n K_n$,
- 3 $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}^n)$,
- 4 $\omega = \{(x_j)_j \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \|x\|_n := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j|\}$,
- 5 Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

- 1 $C^\infty(K)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \|f^{(j)}\|_\infty$,
- 2 $C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \max_{x \in K_n} |f^{(j)}(x)|$, $\Omega = \bigcup_n K_n$,
- 3 $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}^n)$,
- 4 $\omega = \{(x_j)_j \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \|x\|_n := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j|\}$,
- 5 Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
- 6 $s := \{(x_j)_j \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |x_j|^2 j^{2k} < +\infty \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \text{proj}_k \ell_2((j^k)_j)$.

- 1 $C^\infty(K)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \|f^{(j)}\|_\infty$,
- 2 $C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \max_{x \in K_n} |f^{(j)}(x)|$, $\Omega = \bigcup_n K_n$,
- 3 $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}^n)$,
- 4 $\omega = \{(x_j)_j \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \|x\|_n := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j|\}$,
- 5 Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
- 6 $s := \{(x_j)_j \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |x_j|^2 j^{2k} < +\infty \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \text{proj}_k \ell_2((j^k)_j)$.

$$s \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq C^\infty[a, b].$$

- 1 $C^\infty(K)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \|f^{(j)}\|_\infty$,
- 2 $C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \max_{x \in K_n} |f^{(j)}(x)|$, $\Omega = \bigcup_n K_n$,
- 3 $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}^n)$,
- 4 $\omega = \{(x_j)_j \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \|x\|_n := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j|\}$,
- 5 Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
- 6 $s := \{(x_j)_j \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |x_j|^2 j^{2k} < +\infty \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \text{proj}_k \ell_2((j^k)_j)$.

$s \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq C^\infty[a, b]$.

s is nuclear since $\text{id} : \ell_2((j^{k+2})_j) \hookrightarrow \ell_2((j^k)_j)$ is nuclear.

- 1 $C^\infty(K)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \|f^{(j)}\|_\infty$,
- 2 $C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\|f\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \max_{x \in K_n} |f^{(j)}(x)|$, $\Omega = \bigcup_n K_n$,
- 3 $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}^n)$,
- 4 $\omega = \{(x_j)_j \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \|x\|_n := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j|\}$,
- 5 Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
- 6 $s := \{(x_j)_j \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |x_j|^2 j^{2k} < +\infty \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \text{proj}_k \ell_2((j^k)_j)$.

$s \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq C^\infty[a, b]$.

s is nuclear since $\text{id} : \ell_2((j^{k+2})_j) \hookrightarrow \ell_2((j^k)_j)$ is nuclear.

Theorem (Kōmura, Kōmura)

A Fréchet space X is nuclear if and only if $X \subset s^{\mathbb{N}}$.

If U is a 0-ngbd in s and B is bounded in s then
 $W(U, B) := \{T \in L(s) : T(B) \subset U\}$ is a typical 0-ngbd in $L(s)$.

If U is a 0-ngbd in s and B is bounded in s then
 $W(U, B) := \{T \in L(s) : T(B) \subset U\}$ is a typical 0-ngbd in $L(s)$.
Caution: the above topology is **not** Fréchet.

If U is a 0-ngbd in s and B is bounded in s then
 $W(U, B) := \{T \in L(s) : T(B) \subset U\}$ is a typical 0-ngbd in $L(s)$.

Caution: the above topology is **not** Fréchet.

Simplification: $B(H) = B(H', H)$.

If U is a 0-ngbd in s and B is bounded in s then
 $W(U, B) := \{T \in L(s) : T(B) \subset U\}$ is a typical 0-ngbd in $L(s)$.

Caution: the above topology is **not** Fréchet.

Simplification: $B(H) = B(H', H)$.

Conclusion: investigate $L(s', s)$.

If U is a 0-ngbd in s and B is bounded in s then
 $W(U, B) := \{T \in L(s) : T(B) \subset U\}$ is a typical 0-ngbd in $L(s)$.

Caution: the above topology is **not** Fréchet.

Simplification: $B(H) = B(H', H)$.

Conclusion: investigate $L(s', s)$.

Take $U_n := \{x \in s : \|x\|_n \leq 1\}$, $U_n^\circ := \{\xi \in s' : |\xi(x)| \leq 1 \forall x \in U_n\}$
and define

$$W_n := \{T \in L(s', s) : \sup_{\xi \in U_n^\circ} \|T\xi\|_n \leq 1\}.$$

If U is a 0-ngbd in s and B is bounded in s then
 $W(U, B) := \{T \in L(s) : T(B) \subset U\}$ is a typical 0-ngbd in $L(s)$.

Caution: the above topology is **not** Fréchet.

Simplification: $B(H) = B(H', H)$.

Conclusion: investigate $L(s', s)$.

Take $U_n := \{x \in s : \|x\|_n \leq 1\}$, $U_n^\circ := \{\xi \in s' : |\xi(x)| \leq 1 \forall x \in U_n\}$
and define

$$W_n := \{T \in L(s', s) : \sup_{\xi \in U_n^\circ} \|T\xi\|_n \leq 1\}.$$

Then $(W_n)_n$ is a countable basis of 0-ngbds in $L(s', s)$.

$$x \in s \Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |x_j|^2 j^{2n} < +\infty,$$

$$x \in s \Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |x_j|^2 j^{2n} < +\infty,$$

$$\xi \in s' \Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N}: \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{-2n} < +\infty,$$

$$x \in s \Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |x_j|^2 j^{2n} < +\infty,$$

$$\xi \in s' \Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N}: \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{-2n} < +\infty,$$

consequently,

$$\ell_2 \hookrightarrow s', \quad s \hookrightarrow \ell_2.$$

$$x \in s \Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |x_j|^2 j^{2n} < +\infty,$$

$$\xi \in s' \Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N}: \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{-2n} < +\infty,$$

consequently,

$$\ell_2 \hookrightarrow s', \quad s \hookrightarrow \ell_2.$$

Finally,

$$L(s', s) \subset B(\ell_2) \quad (\text{as linear spaces}).$$

Let $\iota: s \rightarrow s'$ be the inclusion map,

Let $\iota: s \rightarrow s'$ be the inclusion map,
for $x, y \in L(s', s)$ define

$$xy := x \circ \iota \circ y.$$

Let $\iota: s \rightarrow s'$ be the inclusion map,
for $x, y \in L(s', s)$ define

$$xy := x \circ \iota \circ y.$$

Duality of the pair $\langle s, s' \rangle$:

$$\langle u, \xi \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} u_j \bar{\xi}_j \quad \forall u \in s, \xi \in s'.$$

Let $\iota: s \rightarrow s'$ be the inclusion map,
for $x, y \in L(s', s)$ define

$$xy := x \circ \iota \circ y.$$

Duality of the pair $\langle s, s' \rangle$:

$$\langle u, \xi \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} u_j \bar{\xi}_j \quad \forall u \in s, \xi \in s'.$$

Involution:

$$\langle x^* \xi, \eta \rangle := \langle \xi, x \eta \rangle \quad \forall x \in L(s', s), \xi, \eta \in s'.$$

Let $\iota: s \rightarrow s'$ be the inclusion map,
for $x, y \in L(s', s)$ define

$$xy := x \circ \iota \circ y.$$

Duality of the pair $\langle s, s' \rangle$:

$$\langle u, \xi \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} u_j \bar{\xi}_j \quad \forall u \in s, \xi \in s'.$$

Involution:

$$\langle x^* \xi, \eta \rangle := \langle \xi, x \eta \rangle \quad \forall x \in L(s', s), \xi, \eta \in s'.$$

$$L(s', s) \simeq s \quad \text{by} \quad (x_{ij})_{i,j} \mapsto (x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{31}, \dots).$$

Let $\iota: s \rightarrow s'$ be the inclusion map,
for $x, y \in L(s', s)$ define

$$xy := x \circ \iota \circ y.$$

Duality of the pair $\langle s, s' \rangle$:

$$\langle u, \xi \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} u_j \bar{\xi}_j \quad \forall u \in s, \xi \in s'.$$

Involution:

$$\langle x^* \xi, \eta \rangle := \langle \xi, x \eta \rangle \quad \forall x \in L(s', s), \xi, \eta \in s'.$$

$L(s', s) \simeq s$ by $(x_{ij})_{i,j} \mapsto (x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{31}, \dots)$.

Conclusion: $\mathcal{S} := L(s', s)$ is an **lmc Fréchet involutive algebra**.

Notation: $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} := \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{id}_{\ell_2}$.

Notation: $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} := \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{id}_{\ell_2}$.

Proposition (Domański, 2012)

$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is a Q -algebra.

Notation: $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} := \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{id}_{\ell_2}$.

Proposition (Domański, 2012)

$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is a Q -algebra.

Corollary (Fragoulopoulou-Mallios, Phillips, 1984)

$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is not a C^* -convex algebra.

Notation: $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} := \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{id}_{\ell_2}$.

Proposition (Domański, 2012)

$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is a Q -algebra.

Corollary (Fragoulopoulou-Mallios, Phillips, 1984)

$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is not a C^* -convex algebra.

Corollary (Inoue)

\mathcal{S} is not a C^* -convex algebra.

Theorem (Ciaś, 2012)

\mathcal{S} and $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ admit a functional calculus for normal operators.

Theorem (Ciaś, 2012)

\mathcal{S} and $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ admit a functional calculus for normal operators.

Crucial is (DN) property, which gives

Lemma

If $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $e_n \in s$ and $(\alpha_n e_n)_n$ – bounded in s then $(\alpha_n^\theta e_n)_n$ – bounded in s for all $\theta \in (0, 1]$.

Theorem (Ciaś, 2012)

\mathcal{S} and $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ admit a functional calculus for normal operators.

Crucial is (DN) property, which gives

Lemma

If $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $e_n \in s$ and $(\alpha_n e_n)_n$ – bounded in s then $(\alpha_n^\theta e_n)_n$ – bounded in s for all $\theta \in (0, 1]$.

Corollary

If $x \geq 0$ in \mathcal{S} then $x^\theta \in \mathcal{S} \quad \forall \theta \in (0, 1]$.

Recall that

$$\|x\|_n = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} x_{ij} \xi_j \right|^2 i^{2n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} : \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{-2n} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Recall that

$$\|x\|_n = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} x_{ij} \xi_j \right|^2 i^{2n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} : \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{-2n} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

By nuclearity, for arbitrary $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ the original topology of \mathcal{S} is given by the norms:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \|x\|_n := \sup \{ \|x\xi\|_{\ell_p((i^n)_i)} : \|\xi\|_{\ell_q((j^{-n})_j)} \leq 1 \},$$

Recall that

$$\|x\|_n = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} x_{ij} \xi_j \right|^2 i^{2n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} : \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{-2n} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

By nuclearity, for arbitrary $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ the original topology of \mathcal{S} is given by the norms:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \|x\|_n := \sup \{ \|x\xi\|_{\ell_p((i^n)_i)} : \|\xi\|_{\ell_q((j^{-n})_j)} \leq 1 \},$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \|x\|_n := \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{+\infty} |x_{ij}|^p (ij)^{pn} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Recall that

$$\|x\|_n = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} x_{ij} \xi_j \right|^2 i^{2n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} : \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{-2n} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

By nuclearity, for arbitrary $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ the original topology of \mathcal{S} is given by the norms:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \|x\|_n := \sup \{ \|x\xi\|_{\ell_p((i^n)_i)} : \|\xi\|_{\ell_q((j^{-n})_j)} \leq 1 \},$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \|x\|_n := \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{+\infty} |x_{ij}|^p (ij)^{pn} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Fact

If $u_n = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then $(u_n)_n$ is an approximate identity in \mathcal{S} .

Proposition

\mathcal{S} does not have b.a.i.

Proposition

\mathcal{S} does not have b.a.i.

Proof.

Suppose $(u_\alpha)_\alpha$ is any b.a.i.

Proposition

\mathcal{S} does not have b.a.i.

Proof.

Suppose $(u_\alpha)_\alpha$ is any b.a.i. By nuclearity there exists
 $u = \sigma(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') - \lim_\alpha u_\alpha$.

Proposition

\mathcal{S} does not have b.a.i.

Proof.

Suppose $(u_\alpha)_\alpha$ is any b.a.i. By nuclearity there exists $u = \sigma(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') - \lim_\alpha u_\alpha$. Take any $x \in \mathcal{S}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}'$ and define $x \cdot \phi(y) := \phi(xy)$.

Proposition

\mathcal{S} does not have b.a.i.

Proof.

Suppose $(u_\alpha)_\alpha$ is any b.a.i. By nuclearity there exists $u = \sigma(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') - \lim_\alpha u_\alpha$. Take any $x \in \mathcal{S}, \phi \in \mathcal{S}'$ and define $x \cdot \phi(y) := \phi(xy)$. This gives $\phi(xu) = \phi(x)$.

Proposition

\mathcal{S} does not have b.a.i.

Proof.

Suppose $(u_\alpha)_\alpha$ is any b.a.i. By nuclearity there exists $u = \sigma(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') - \lim_\alpha u_\alpha$. Take any $x \in \mathcal{S}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}'$ and define $x \cdot \phi(y) := \phi(xy)$. This gives $\phi(xu) = \phi(x)$. By Hahn-Banach $xu = x$,

Proposition

\mathcal{S} does not have b.a.i.

Proof.

Suppose $(u_\alpha)_\alpha$ is any b.a.i. By nuclearity there exists $u = \sigma(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') - \lim_\alpha u_\alpha$. Take any $x \in \mathcal{S}, \phi \in \mathcal{S}'$ and define $x \cdot \phi(y) := \phi(xy)$. This gives $\phi(xu) = \phi(x)$. By Hahn-Banach $xu = x$, \mathcal{S} has a unit – contradiction. □